1 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA 2 CHARLESTON DIVISION 3 WILLIAM B. TUTTLE, JR., RAYMOND J. JOHNSON, GEORGE H. KUCHEN, JOHN E. 4 STRAIT, RICHARD SIROIS, WILLIAM MENKINS and JAMES A. TAYLOR 5 VS. 6 DANIEL MARVIN, TRINE DAY, LLC, and 7 CHICAGO REVIEW PRESS, INCORPORATED : 2:04 CV 948 8 9 Trial in the above-captioned matter held on Monday, 10 October 31, 2005, commencing at 9:07 a.m., before the 11 Hon. David C. Norton, in the United States Courthouse, 12 Courtroom II, 85 Broad Street, Charleston, South Carolina. 13 14 15 APPEARANCES: 16 BOBBY G. DEAVER, ESQUIRE, 3760 Bald Mountain Rd., West Jefferson, NC, appeared for plaintiffs. 17 BENJAMIN W. DEAVER, ESQUIRE, 705 Princess St., 18 Wilmington, NC, appeared for plaintiffs. 19 CHRIS OGIBA, ESQUIRE, 205 King St., Charleston, SC, appeared for defendants. 20 BARRY A. BACHRACH, ESQUIRE, 311 Main St., 21 Worchester, MA, appeared for defendants. 22 23 REPORTED BY DEBRA L. POTOCKI, RMR, RDR, CRR Official Court Reporter for the U.S. District Court 24 P.O. Box 835 Charleston, SC 29402 25 843/723-2208

1	INDEX	
2		
3	WITNESS: DANIEL MARVIN	
4	Direct Examination by Mr. Bobby Deav	7er 42
5	DEPOSITION OF WILLIAM TUTLE READ	104
6	DEFOSITION OF MIDDING TOTAL MARKETING TOTAL AND THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY O	
7	WITNESS: JAMES TAYLOR	
8	Direct Examination by Mr. Bobby Deaver	
9		
10	necross mainracron by mr. bachrach	
11		
12		
13	EXHIBITS:	Received in Evidence
14	Defendants' Exhibit 16 Defendants' Exhibit 8	44 94
15	Defendants' Exhibit 7 Defendants' Exhibit 9	204 207
16	Defendants' Exhibit 27	211
17	Plaintiffs' Exhibit 11	203
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

1 (Jury not present.)

THE COURT: I'm ready when y'all are.

MR. BACHRACH: We're ready, Your Honor.

THE COURT: You filed three motions; I don't know whether you want to go with those or what you want to do.

MR. BACHRACH: Yes, we would -- we'd like to, Your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. I guess every journey of a thousand miles starts with the first step.

MR. BACHRACH: Your Honor, my name is Barry Bachrach, and I'm from the law firm Bowditch and Dewey, and I represent defendants Trine Day and Colonel Daniel Marvin. I'd like to address the first motion in limine, and Mr. Ogiba will address the second and third.

The first motion in limine addresses our seeking a ruling in advance to preclude plaintiffs from bringing extraneous materials into this case. In particular, we're concerned about — after viewing their exhibit list, they're trying to bring in information about a book, A Smoking Gun, which has nothing to do with the book at issue. It relates to issues involving the Kennedy assassination and aftermath of the Kennedy assassination. And if we bring these extraneous materials into this trial, it would require us to call the author of the book, and basically we'd have a trial within a trial as to the truth of that matter, instead of focusing on

.

what is important in this case, is whether or not what is stated in the book Expendable Elite, is true.

So, Your Honor, we'd ask for a ruling in advance that the Court preclude the plaintiffs from mentioning or introducing evidence concerning the issues concerning Without a Smoking Gun, issues concerning the Martin Luther King assassination, the Kennedy assassination, just so that this case, particularly in the time lines that His Honor wants to try it, so that we don't turn this into a number of collateral issues.

And that's the crux of our motion, because we're concerned that if this other information gets in, we're going to be required to bring in rebuttal evidence, and turn this into trials within trials. And I believe it will confuse and cause unfair prejudice to the jury.

THE COURT: Okay. Who's on? Y'all going to bring that stuff in? I don't mind refighting the Vietnam War, but taking on the Vietnam War and the Kennedy assassination and the Martin Luther King assassination is a tall order.

MR. BEN DEAVER: And Martin Luther King, Your Honor.

MR. BOBBY DEAVER: We are somewhat in agreement with that. We're trying our best now to streamline this trial as much as we can and avoid any extraneous information, and probably if we were to use anything from that, it would be pursuant to the rules of prior statements of the witness, and it goes to his credibility.

There may be -- we're not going to relitigate the suicide of Colonel -- Lieutenant Commander Pitzer. We have no intention of that. But any prior statements that are disseminated by defendant Marvin, I think are fair game to attack his credibility.

So we don't have any intention to bringing in that issue, except as to his comments about this very -- about the very book that we're litigating.

THE COURT: Okay. All right. So you're not seeking to introduce Without a Smoking Gun, or -- but just if, in fact, something that Mr. Marvin said is inconsistent with something he says in this trial?

MR. BOBBY DEAVER: Yes, Your Honor. No, we wouldn't introduce the book into evidence, but we might present it to Mr. Marvin to identify, and then his statements in there that are inconsistent with Expendable Elite, he would be bound by those. But at this point we don't intend to do that.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. BOBBY DEAVER: But we would not like to leave that with the Court, and whatever the Court's ruling --

THE COURT: All right, well, yes, sir?

MR. BACHRACH: Excuse me, Your Honor. My concern is Expendable Elite in no way mentions the Pitzer situation, nor does it make any mention concerning the issues of the Kennedy assassination. And so I'm somewhat at a loss of my brothers

wanting to keep open and try to get in, inconsistent statements. Because frankly, from our perspective, if he tries to bring in any statements from that book, they're not relevant to Expendable Elite. I challenge them to say anywhere within Expendable Elite, Pitzer or the Kennedy assassination is mentioned. And so I think it's completely irrelevant. And I'm just concerned about if it gets in, it then puts the onus on us to have to rebut it, and actually call witnesses to verify the statements that Colonel Marvin made in that book.

THE COURT: Okay. Well, I think we'll cross that bridge when we come to it. I mean, I'm not going to do a blanket ruling on a motion in limine that was brought, you know, Friday afternoon, till I listen to the trial. And it would have to be a function of what Mr. Marvin has to say here in this courtroom, I would think. So we'll just -- we'll handle that when it comes up. All right?

MR. BACHRACH: Thank you, Your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. The exclusion of witnesses motion?

MR. OGIBA: Yes, Your Honor. As our motion indicates, we -- The plaintiffs have listed a significant number of witnesses in their pretrial brief which was submitted, you know, post-deadline under the local rules, that had not been identified at any point during the litigation.

2

THE COURT: Actually, under the local rules they

don't give you a copy either, so --

9

11

10

12

14

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. OGIBA: Right, right, we kind of lucked out on that, I guess. But they never filed the 26(f) report, there was never any -- never any disclosure of many of these witnesses. Our brief sets forth the witnesses that they have identified. They've identified the plaintiffs, they've

identified their experts, Overholt and Dix, but they haven't identified anybody else.

We concede that we have identified Jimmy Dean, and we've identified Mackem in our 26(f) report, and in our proposed voir dire for the jury. They, again, did not submit any proposed voir dire for the jury, so the jury had no -- so no voir dire was allowed for those individual witnesses.

So as to Mackem and Dean, we don't have any objection as to Dean. As to Mackem, we had listed him as a witness, but we were unable to locate him. We would just respectfully request that we be allowed to depose him prior to his testimony. I spoke with counsel yesterday; it didn't seem like there was a problem with that, but I'll let them speak for themselves.

THE COURT: Okay. Yes, sir, Mr. Deaver?

MR. BEN DEAVER: Yes, sir, I'm Benjamin Deaver. As far as -- we really don't have any objections to allowing that motion to come in. We are in the process of trying to make arrangements for Walter Mackem to be deposed, hopefully this

evening. It was a last minute thing that was thrown on us to try to arrange his deposition, so we were in the process, my office in Wilmington is trying to make that arrangement as we speak today.

I guess there's no objections to Jimmy Dean, Overholt, and the other witnesses that they have objections to, we'll concede to that, that we won't bring them in, based on the fact that they're saying they're not going to bring in Valentine at this point.

THE COURT: All right. So Roxanne Merritee,

Christenson, McCarthy, Vanek, Bogart, Oerding, O-E-R-D-I-N-G,

and Bleachard are not going to be called in the case in chief?

MR. BEN DEAVER: No, sir. We're not going to -based on what we were told this morning as far as that, their
expert's not going to come in, a lot of that was used to
attack the credibility of the expert. But if he's not coming
in, then they're not going to be needed.

THE COURT: Well, they wouldn't be coming up in your case in chief anyway.

MR. BEN DEAVER: Correct, Your Honor. Well, except Merritee, she would come in, in the case in chief. But we have a document that we hopefully can just bring in that way, without having her presence necessary.

THE COURT: All right. So I'll grant the motion to exclude Christenson, McCarthy, Vanek, Bogart, Oerding and

Bleachard from testifying in the case in chief. Okay. 1 2 Anything else? 3 MR. OGIBA: Okay. Your Honor, I guess just for 4 clarity's sake, you're reserving the right to -- with Merritee, you're allowing the plaintiffs to call Merritee in 5 6 their case in chief? 7 THE COURT: Well, probably not, but then I want to 8 listen to it first, all right? 9 MR. OGIBA: Okay. THE COURT: All right. 10 11 MR. OGIBA: Thanks. As to our third motion in 12 limine, Your Honor. 13 THE COURT: Um-hum. 14 MR. OGIBA: Again, this is a motion under the local 15 rules, Local Rule 30.03, this is as to the videotape of the 16 deposition of plaintiff Tuttle. We were provided with a 17 letter late last week, I think on -- actually, yes, on Friday 18 we were provided with a letter from plaintiffs that Tuttle 19 will not be attending the trial, due to illness. 20 However, as to his videotape deposition, we would object 21 to that, because we have not had an opportunity to review that 22 tape, we have not had an opportunity to edit that tape 23 pursuant to the local rules. And so that's the crux of our 24 motion. This may have already been conceded. 25 MR. BOBBY DEAVER: We will bring in the video, we

want to try to, we have a written transcript, and we would use 1 2 that. 3 THE COURT: Okay, that's fine. 4 MR. BOBBY DEAVER: And incidentally, I just would like to -- while we're addressing that, we have a letter from 5 6 the doctor about Colonel Tuttle that I'll give to the --7 THE COURT: That's fine. I mean, I think you can --8 and you said in his deposition it was anticipated that due to 9 his health that he probably wasn't going to be able to attend 10 trial anyway. 11 MR. OGIBA: That's correct. We would just request an 12 opportunity to review the transcript that's being submitted, 13 prior to its submission. 14 THE COURT: Well, I mean, they're going to do it the 15 old-fashioned way, somebody is going to get up here and read 16 it, questions and answers, then when you come to object, then 17 you object and I'll read along with you. 18 MR. OGIBA: Thank you, Your Honor. 19 THE COURT: So for purposes of trial, I think that 20 you can tell the jury that Colonel Tuttle is in such bad 21 health he couldn't be here, but you'll hear from him by 22 deposition, whatever you want to tell them, just give them a 23 reason why he's not here. 24 MR. BOBBY DEAVER: Thank you.

THE COURT: Anything else?

25

MR. OGIBA: Yes, Your Honor, we have two other minor matters.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. OGIBA: We would just like to reiterate what we had mentioned to you in our pretrial conference, that Tony Trung, who is one of our witnesses, will not be arriving until Thursday. We did not think that was going to be a problem, given the number of witnesses that the plaintiffs had identified. But now looking at how streamline the case is, we just wanted to make Your Honor aware of that.

along that we have to wait for Mr. Trung on Thursday morning, then everybody will be happy. I'm not going to go to the jury Wednesday. I mean, I've reserved all week, so if Mr. Trung comes in, then you can call him, and if that's the last witness, then we'll just go ahead and argue and charge and rest and all that kind of thing.

MR. OGIBA: Thank you. And the last matter that I wanted to bring up, just to bring to the Court's attention, I don't know how many Catholics are on the witness list or in the -- or among jurors, but tomorrow is a holiday of obligation for Catholics. And I've brought in the bulletin from the Cathedral of Saint John the Baptist. There's -- I would just request that we have additional time tomorrow at noon. There's a mass at 12:05, mass should take about an

hour, just additional time for that break for the holy day of 1 obligation. 2 3 THE COURT: I mean, is that -- is there somebody that 4 we know needs to go there? 5 MR. OGIBA: Me. 6 THE COURT: Okay. Well, you might have a few more 7 sins to confess to. So remind me of that tomorrow, okay? 8 MR. OGIBA: I will, thank you. 9 THE COURT: Anything else? 10 MR. OGIBA: That's all we have, Your Honor. 11 THE COURT: Anything from the plaintiff? 12 MR. BOBBY DEAVER: No, Your Honor. 13 THE COURT: I know that you got together and you 14 marked some of the exhibits into evidence. You can go ahead and mark those into evidence. Now, the ones that you object 15 to, when they're offered, you state your objection and I'll 16 17 rule on them at that time, okay? 18 MR. OGIBA: Okay. 19 So anything else? So if you think of THE COURT: 20 anything, we'll just wait for the jury to get here. 21 who's going to make the opening statement for the plaintiffs, 22 Mr. Deaver? 23 MR. BOBBY DEAVER: Excuse me, Your Honor. 24 exhibits, we have a notebook, and it has -- we have the ones 25 that are agreed upon at the front, but we have the others at

the back of it. We might need a few minutes to remove those 1 2 from the notebook. 3 THE COURT: You have one for each juror? 4 MR. BOBBY DEAVER: Yes, Your Honor. 5 THE COURT: That's fine, they're not going to be here till 10:00 o'clock, so we have 40 minutes. All right? Or, 6 you know, I'm just thinking out loud with you, I have no 7 8 objection to you giving the juror the complete notebook, with the proviso that I tell them that they cannot look at any 9 10 exhibit unless and until it's in evidence. 11 MR. BACHRACH: Well, Your Honor, we hadn't 12 anticipated that, and we haven't made books for each of the 13 jurors. And I think it would be unfair to give them entire 14 books at this point of just the plaintiffs' exhibits. I mean, if we can get ours together and give them to them at an 15 16 appropriate time, but I also think it's dangerous to give it 17 to them in the very beginning, before the case has started, 18 because people naturally look at things. And so I would ask 19 the Court that we present to them later, and not right now. 20 MR. BOBBY DEAVER: We will be needing those exhibits 21 as soon as we start the trial, Your Honor. 22 THE COURT: Okay. 23 MR. BOBBY DEAVER: Of course with instructions, we 24 don't have to worry about any of that.

25 THE COURT: How many are agreed to? I can't

1 remember. MR. BEN DEAVER: We have 20 that are agreed to, and, 3 Your Honor, I believe maybe 12, 13 that are not agreed to, 4 Your Honor. 5 THE COURT: Since we have time, you can give them the 6 20 that are agreed to. Okay? 7 MR. BEN DEAVER: Yes, sir. THE COURT: Because it's 1 through 20 that y'all have 8 9 in the notebooks? 10 MR. BEN DEAVER: Yes, sir. 11 THE COURT: And then we can supplement it as they 12 come in. 13 MR. BEN DEAVER: Yes, sir. 14 THE COURT: But so you've got some time to redact 15 those, okay? And then you've got some time to get your own 16 notebooks, if you want to. 17 MR. BACHRACH: We will, Your Honor. 18 THE COURT: All right, let me know if you need 19 anything else. 20 (A recess was held at this time.) 21 (Jury not present.) 22 THE COURT: Ready to go? 23 MR. BOBBY DEAVER: Plaintiffs are, Your Honor. 24 MR. OGIBA: Yes, Your Honor. 25 THE COURT: Who's going to make the opening statement

for plaintiff? 1 2 MR. BOBBY DEAVER: Your Honor, I will. 3 THE COURT: How about for y'all? MR. OGIBA: I will, Your Honor. 4 5 THE COURT: Okay. And I'm not mentioning a 6 negligence cause of action in my opening remarks, I'm just 7 going to go on libel, because I'm not so sure that there is 8 such a thing, so rather than mention it in the opening and then withdrawing it, I'm just not going to mention it. If we 10 add it in, we add it in, okay? 11 MR. BOBBY DEAVER: Yes, Your Honor. 12 MR. OGIBA: Yes, Your Honor. We would just bring up 13 our abuse of process counterclaim as well. 14 THE COURT: Yeah, the other one -- I already 15 informally or formally threw that one out. 16 MR. OGIBA: That's right, and we've just got the 17 libel and abuse of process left. 18 THE COURT: And I informally threw out the false 19 light cause of action, and I'm still thinking about the 20 negligence. And the research that I've done so far, leads me 21 to believe there's probably not a negligence cause of action, 22 but I'm open for suggestion after I hear the facts. 23 MR. BOBBY DEAVER: Yes, Your Honor, we feel that 24 there is negligence against Trine Day, the publisher. 25 THE COURT: Okay. I understand that, but I just --

I'm not going to mention negligence. This is a boilerplate thing that takes about three minutes, five minutes, and just outlines some of the issues, then y'all get to outline any issues you want to, okay?

Did you want to give them pads and pencils please, Gail.

And if you have your exhibits ready for the jurors?

MR. BOBBY DEAVER: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay. I mean, there's only 11 jurors because I had to excuse one. There's one from Richland who was -- didn't show up today because he thought it was tomorrow, so rather than wait an hour and a half for him to get here, I just excused him, we've got plenty of jurors. I don't know, what's his name?

THE CLERK: Mr. Carter.

THE COURT: Billy Carter. No relation. Ready to bring the jury in? Bring them in.

(Jury present.)

THE COURT: Ladies and gentlemen of the jury, why
don't you move this way as much as possible, because this is
where the action is, right here. And y'all can pass out those
pads and pencils. If you want to take notes, you're welcome
to do so, all right? Y'all can sit down.

Ladies and gentlemen of the jury, Happy Halloween. Glad to see some of y'all back here. I'm going to give you some preliminary instructions right now to guide you in your participation at this trial.

It will be your duty as jurors to find the facts from the evidence that will be presented to you. You and you alone are the judges of the facts. You'll then have to apply to those facts, the law as I will give it to you at the conclusion of the trial, and you must follow that law whether you agree with it or not. And you must not be influenced by any personal likes or dislikes, opinions, prejudices or sympathy. This means you must decide this case solely on the evidence before you, and according to the law. And nothing that I might say or do during the course of this trial is intended to indicate or should be taken by you as indicating what your verdict should be. That's entirely for y'all to decide.

I'll now talk to you about the different types of evidence that may be presented to you in this case, and from which you are to decide what the facts are. The evidence in the case will be the sworn testimony of the witnesses, both on direct and cross-examination, regardless of who called the witness, any exhibits which will be received into evidence and which y'all have back in the jury room, and any facts to which all the lawyers will agree or stipulate to.

But certain things are not evidence, and you may not consider them in deciding what the facts are. I'll list them for you now. The arguments and the statements by the lawyers are not evidence. The lawyers are not witnesses. What they

1,4.,5.5

will say in their opening statements, closing arguments and at other times, is intended to help you interpret the evidence, but it is not evidence. At the end of the trial, if the facts the way y'all remember them, differ from the way the lawyers have stated them, your memory of them controls.

Questions and objections by the lawyers are not evidence. Attorneys have a duty to their clients and to the Court to object when they believe a question is improper under our rules of evidence. You should not be influenced by the objection or by my ruling on it.

Testimony that may be excluded or stricken or that you may be instructed to disregard is not evidence and must not be considered. Of course, anything you may have seen or heard when the Court is not in session is not evidence. You're to decide this case solely on the evidence received at this trial.

Now, there are two kinds of evidence, direct and circumstantial evidence. Direct evidence is direct proof of a fact, such as the testimony of an eyewitness. Circumstantial evidence is indirect evidence, that is, proof of a chain of facts from which you could find another fact exists, even though it's not been proved directly. It is for you to decide whether a fact has been proven by circumstantial evidence.

In making that decision, you must consider all the evidence in the light of reason, your common sense and

1 experience.

You're entitled to consider both kinds of evidence. The law permits you to give equal weight to both, but it's for you to decide how much weight to give to any evidence in this case.

Now, in deciding what the facts are, you must consider all the evidence. In doing this, you must decide which testimony to believe and which testimony not to believe. You may disbelieve -- you may believe or not believe all or any part of any witness' testimony.

In making this decision, you can take into account a number of factors, including the following. Was the witness able to see or hear or know the things about what that witness testified? How well was the witness able to recall and describe those things for y'all? What was the witness' manner or demeanor while testifying? Did the witness have an interest in the outcome of the case, or any bias or any prejudice concerning any party or any matter involved in the case? How reasonable was that witness' testimony, considered in the light of all the evidence in the case? And was that witness' testimony contradicted by what that witness had said or done at another time, or by the testimony of other witnesses or by other evidence?

Now, in deciding whether or not to believe a witness, keep in mind that people sometimes forget things. You need to

consider, therefore, whether a contradiction is an innocent lapse of memory or an intentional falsehood. And that may depend upon whether it has to do with an important fact or only a small detail. These are some of the factors y'all can consider in deciding whether to believe testimony.

Now, during the trial of this case certain testimony will be read to you by way of a deposition. A deposition testimony of a witness who, for some reason, can't be present to testify from the witness stand, is usually presented in writing, under oath, in the form of a deposition.

Such testimony is entitled to the same consideration, and insofar as possible, is to be judged as to credibility and weighed by you in the same manner as if the witness had been present.

Now, this is a civil case. The plaintiffs have the burden of proving their case by a preponderance of the evidence.

This means the plaintiffs have to produce evidence which, considered in the light of all the facts, leads you to believe that what the plaintiff claims is more likely true than not.

To put it differently, if you were to put the plaintiffs' and the defendants' evidence on opposite sides of a set of scales, the plaintiffs would have to make the scales tip slightly on their side. If the plaintiffs fail to meet this burden, your verdict must be for the defendants.

Additionally, the defendants have brought several

counterclaims against the plaintiffs. When considering each of those counterclaims, the defendants simply take on the role as the plaintiff in their own separate case, and you're to treat it as such. Therefore, the defendants bear the burden of proving their claims by a preponderance of the evidence, in order to receive a verdict in their favor.

If, in your consideration of all the evidence, the defendants have failed to fulfill their burden of proof, then you must return a verdict for the plaintiffs on the counterclaim.

Now, those of you who have been jurors on a criminal case have heard of proof beyond a reasonable doubt. That's a much stricter standard, it requires a lot more proof than a preponderance of the evidence. The reasonable doubt standard does not apply in this case, and you should, therefore, put it out of your mind.

In this case the plaintiffs allege that the defendants wrote, published and promoted a book that inaccurately portrayed the plaintiffs and the plaintiffs' activities near the border between South Vietnam and Cambodia during the Vietnam War. The plaintiffs have brought a claim for defamation.

Defendants contend the book is accurate, and have brought counterclaims for abuse of process and libel.

I'll give you detailed instructions on the law at the end

of the case, and those instructions will control your deliberations and decision. However, to help you follow the evidence, I'll give you a brief summary of the applicable law in this case.

Please keep in mind that defamation law is complex. The following brief explanation is only meant to help you understand the evidence, and is not a thorough recitation on the law.

Defamation. The tort of defamation allows a plaintiff to recover for injury to his reputation as a result of a defendants' communication to others of a false message about the plaintiff. Slander is spoken defamation, while libel is written defamation, or one accomplished by actions or conduct. Plaintiffs allege both slander and libel.

In order to recover under a cause of action for defamation, the plaintiffs must establish four essential elements by the preponderance or greater weight of the evidence.

One, a false and defamatory statement by defendants concerning the plaintiffs; two, an unprivileged publication to a third party; three, fault on the defendants' part in publishing the statement; and four, either actionability of the statement irrespective of special harm, or the existence of special harm caused by the publication.

Defendants have counterclaimed for libel and abuse of

14 15

10

11

12

13

16

17 18

19

20 21

22 23

24

25

process. Libel, I've already talked about. Abuse of process is the employment of a legal process for some purpose other than what it was intended by the law to effect; the improper use of a regularly issued process. In order to recover under a cause of action for abuse of process, the plaintiffs must establish two essential elements by the preponderance or greater weight of the evidence. One, an ulterior purpose, and two, a willful act in the use of the process not proper in the conduct of the proceeding.

This is just a brief overview of the parties' positions on the case. I will give you detailed instructions on the law at the end of the case that will control your deliberations and decisions.

Now a few words about your conduct as jurors. First, during the trial you're not to discuss this case with anyone, or permit anyone to discuss it with you. Until you retire to the jury room at the end of the case to deliberate on your verdict, you're simply not to talk about the case.

Second, do not read or listen to anything touching the case in any way. If anyone should try to talk to you about it, bring it to my attention promptly. Third, do not try to do any research or make any investigation about the case on your own. And finally, don't form any opinion until all the evidence is in. Keep an open mind until you start your deliberations at the end of the case.

Now, if y'all wish, you can take notes, but if you do, leave them -- either turn them over on your chair or take them in the jury room when you leave at night. Remember, they're for your own personal use, and they're not to be given or read to anyone else.

The trial is now going to begin by each side making an opening statement. An opening statement is neither evidence nor argument. It is an outline of what that party intends to prove, offered to help you follow the evidence, like the table of contents in the front of a book.

Then the plaintiffs will present their witnesses and the defendants may cross-examine them. Then the defendants will present their witnesses and the plaintiffs may cross-examine them.

After that, the attorneys will make their closing arguments to summarize and interpret the evidence for you, and I'll give you your final instructions on the law. You will then retire to deliberate on your verdict.

Okay. This case is going to be completed sometime this week, but we don't know exactly when right now, but we're going to try to start at 9:30 in the morning for the rest of the week, if it's convenient with everybody. We'll have a midmorning break, we'll have a mid-afternoon break, lunch break, and we'll finish sometime between 5:00 and 6:30, something like that, depending upon how the evidence flows in.

1 Okay?

Ladies and gentlemen, service on a jury is hard work but it's also a rare privilege. By the oath you took, you are each active participants in the public administration of justice, and y'all are the judges of the facts in this case, just as I'm the judge of the law. I'm confident that you will all discharge this duty to the best of your ability. Okay?

Mr. Deaver, be glad to hear from you in your opening statement.

MR. BOBBY DEAVER: Thank you, Your Honor.

Good morning, members of the jury, ladies and gentlemen.

I'm Bobby Deaver. I was not privileged to be at the voir dire
or the jury selection, and just so that you know, I'm -that's -- I'm Ben's father, and he was here and did the jury
selection.

This case that is before you now is going to be proved by the plaintiffs' -- we will present the evidence that we feel establishes the claim that they have filed in their complaint with the Court.

The evidence will show that these plaintiffs were members of the -- of an A Detachment in Vietnam in 1965 and 1966.

This evidence shows that this happened a long long time ago.

The defendant Marvin was also in that A Detachment. The period of time was approximately seven months that Mr. Marvin was the captain, the commander of the A Detachment.

And the plaintiffs were, during that period of time, not all in that detachment, but over -- some were in and some out during that time.

The evidence will show that these men have enjoyed good reputations in the military, that they're all retired from the military, that they served honorably during that period of time, and once they were out of the Army, they went on about becoming good citizens, parents, husbands in the community in which they were living. Their reputations continued during that long period of time between 1966, and until sometime in 1988.

During that period of time defendant, Mr. Marvin, started contacting some of these members, former members of this A Detachment, and informed them that he was writing a book about his and their activities and accomplishments in An Phu, South Vietnam.

Now, An Phu, just for reference, will show that An Phu was a village, and under the governmental organization of Vietnam, the nation had several provinces which are equivalent, I would say, to a state. They had districts that were equivalent to a county, and then they had hamlets or villages that were somewhat equivalent to a precinct, as we know it, or a township.

The evidence will show that Chau Duc was the provincial capital, and it was on the confluence of a couple rivers in

6

7

8 9

10

12

11

13 14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22 23

24

25

the Delta area. And that the plaintiffs were in this village occupying a compound which was somewhat like a fortress or an outpost, and they were advising the indigenous South Vietnamese soldiers and arming them, feeding them, uniforms, and advising and training them.

An Phu was one of the, or if not the most pacified area of Vietnam at that time. Pacified, meaning that there were no Viet Cong in that village or that township or that state, in that province.

Their work consisted of doing civil affairs type work, working on projects, building schools, communicating with the people, helping them to develop an infrastructure of schools and roads, piers, docks, because they were on a river area. And primarily, some of these plaintiffs were medics, and went on medical patrols to the villagers and treated them, giving them shots, treating illnesses, doing paramedical work in those communities. And they were very well appreciated. The people and these men got along wonderfully well. It was a very successful operation that they did.

None of these plaintiffs fired their weapon, personal weapons, in anger during their entire tour in An Phu, except John Strait, he, on one patrol that where there was some hostile fire.

The combat in that area was conducted on forward operation observation posts or bases that were out from An Phu, along

the Bassac River that bordered Cambodia. And that's where the action took place, when it did take place.

The evidence will show that there was not one hostile round fired into Camp Dan Nam, which was the camp in which they were located, during that entire period of time they were there.

They completed their tours of duty, went back to the next higher echelon, which was a B Detachment, and then they were assigned to other A detachments, because A-424, which they belonged to and which Mr. Marvin commanded, was dissolved. The operation of that area was turned over to Regional Forces, and these men were reassigned and they left.

They went on to complete their military careers. They, as I said earlier, established themselves as good citizens, they were honorably retired from the military, until about 1988, when Mr. Marvin started contacting them by phone, or some by e-mail and some by mail, and telling them that he was going to write a book.

Well, they had the respect, their respect for Mr. Marvin, he was a former commander of theirs, they cooperated with him very well. Some of them sent photographs that they had that were -- and memorabilia from their short stay there at An Phu. And some sent -- he had sent out questionnaires to them to ask them what recollections and things of that nature, and evaluating him.

They responded in various ways, but they responded. And some of them sent tapes, cassette tapes voicing their recollection instead of having to write everything out.

And then -- because they thought that this was a novel.

They were told it was a novel. And so they sent all of this stuff and said -- a couple of them said yeah, you can use my name, if you want to, you know, it doesn't matter.

Colonel Tuttle did not. Colonel Tuttle was the superior officer to all of these, and he was the one who commanded the C Detachment, which was the third step up from the A Detachment. And just for information, the next higher echelon would have been group, a Special Forces group, and it was the Fifth Group in that Fourth Corps sector.

And he was the one who issued the orders or -- in fact, he assigned Mr. Marvin, it was Captain Marvin then, to An Phu.

And Colonel Tuttle will testify. Incidentally, he will not be here personally to testify, because infirmity, illness, he's 84 years old. But he was deposed, which was taken many months ago, before he had some -- had to have some surgery.

And he will tell you that he met Captain Marvin at Can
Tho, which -- at an echelon that he commanded. And that
Captain Marvin, at that time, was a quartermaster officer, and
he was with Special Forces. But he was sent there because
this camp was going to be wound down and turned over to the
Regional Forces. And there has to be accountability for

property, for weapons, for ammunition, for buildings, for vehicles, everything that was there, they were just going to turn it over to a regional force, which would be advised by the MACV, they called it, military eval group in Vietnam. So that was done.

When they found out that this book -- they had portions of the transcript from Bassac Bastards, was the name of the transcript that had been written by Mr. Marvin, they didn't read it that closely, and they were mentioned about things that they did that they didn't do. But it didn't matter, it was a novel, and they were really pulling for him to have a successful book. It was -- they still had the loyalty that you developed in military service, with particularly your commander and your fellow soldiers, in foreign environments in particular.

An Phu. It was then changed to Snuff Crown. And ultimately it was published by defendant Trine Day as Expendable Elite.

When they got the copies of Expendable Elite and read it, they were absolutely ballistic for what Mr. Marvin said this -- every word of this book is true. And they read the book, and the first thing there is that Colonel Tuttle is alleged to have told him that we're going to violate the international law, we're going to violate the general orders that are in existence. You are going to take the war to the

4...

---

Viet Cong in Cambodia. You're going to fire over into the -into their areas or sanctuaries, as they were called, you're
going to patrol it, you're going to attack them physically.
You will go into Cambodia and do this.

Well, Colonel Tuttle read it, and he said that never happened. It just didn't -- he was never -- he didn't even have authority to authorize anything like that.

Then when you start reading about -- when they started reading about a particular incident toward the end of the -- winding down of the An Phu camp and the dissolution of A-424, they were depicted as mutineers. The book penned by Mr. Marvin said that they were given a direct order to leave An Phu, to go back to B-42, which they did. I mean, they didn't receive that order that way, but they went back to B-42 and were reassigned and completed their military careers.

But according to Mr. Marvin's account of this incident, and you will hear it from the words of the book, right from his pen, Mr. Marvin told Colonel Tuttle and sent him a message back and says, we are not going, we will not leave. And this is in the presence of several of his men there, and he said — he writes that John Strait said, well, after Mr. Marvin said, well, they're going to have to come in and take me out, he quotes Mr. Strait as saying, well, I'm with you. I'll stay with you. And later on, one of the other members who was not a party to this — we don't know where he is — said, well,

I'm with you, too. And then later on Jim Taylor, one of the plaintiffs, said, well, I'm staying with you, too.

And then the book says that they went on to send out a patrol. Because in the -- you'll hear the exact wording on this -- that they were going to send out a patrol to block any men from B-42, their upper next higher echelon, from coming into camp. It will bar anybody, everybody, everybody was barred from coming into their camp. And they sent out an ambush patrol to keep them away. With the instructions, with the specific instructions that -- to shoot over their heads, and if they don't stop, do whatever is necessary. And Mr. Taylor is quoted in the book as saying, well, do you mean to kill them? He said yes, kill them. Your own fellow soldiers now.

And then John Strait was supposed to have -- was quoted as -- when coming back in there, laughing that they had fired at these men from B-42, there were two Americans and some South Vietnamese, and they ran like scalded dogs, or something along those lines. And that John thought it was funny.

Then they wired, according to the book -- although the bunkers had been wired the whole time it was built -- they wired the bunkers, had the switches ready. They said that there was a regiment of South Vietnamese, or ARVN, Army of Republic of Vietnam, the ARVN regiment was amassing at Chau Duc, which was the provincial capital, not far from where An

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Phu was, boarding landing barges and everything, and they were going to come up the river and wipe them out, kill every one of them, and all of the four or 500 South Vietnamese soldiers that were in the camp. And that was underway.

So they wired everything with explosives, with the intention of blowing themselves up, and all of these Special Forces that are ARVN or CIA people that came in with them to wipe them out. They'd fight them as long as they could, and then they would blow themselves up.

That is what has been alleged, what they, these men, have been accused of in the book. And, you know, you'll hear it.

Then you will hear from Hugh Overholt, who is a Major General retired United States Army, who was the JAG of the United States Army for over two years. And he will tell you what offenses that this account, this written word describing the conduct of these men constituted. And he'll tell you that one them is mutiny, and the punishment for that is death.

He will tell you that when they disobeyed that direct order from Colonel Tuttle, that that is a specific offense also under the Uniform Code of Military Justice, that in time of war warrants the death penalty as a maximum punishment.

He will tell you what conspiracy is, what solicitation of someone to commit a felony is, and how these allegations in this book against these men and against Colonel Tuttle, constitute the most heinous crime that a person can commit in

the military. And that the punishment in the civil courts that warrants the death penalty is murder. But in the military it is -- there are three or four offenses that warrant the death penalty, and that these men are accused of having committed those.

The plaintiffs will get on the witness stand and they will testify that none of these things happened. They just didn't happen, that they were fantasies brought about by Mr. Marvin, who was their commander who they respected, but sometime between 1966 and 1988, he had rebuilt, redesigned himself, created a new persona of Captain Marvin that never existed, except in his mind.

But yet, the -- when today, through their Special Forces
Association, wrote Mr. Millegan, who is the president and
owner of Trine Day, that don't publish this book in this
fashion; it is embarrassing, humiliating, it charges these men
with crimes of enormous proportion. And he ignored it.

All they wanted him to do, all they wanted Mr. Marvin to do, and Trine Day, the publisher, was don't call this nonfiction. It is fiction. You can publish exactly what you've written any time you want to, except don't say that it's true and that these men did those acts. You can leave their names in there. And they refused to do it.

So the only way these men could protect their honor, their integrity, their reputations, the respect that they have in

the community, is to bring this action into this court, to have a redress of their grievances, and to get relief from the Court, which is permitted under the Rules of Civil Procedure for the Federal Court.

That's why they're here and that's why you're here. And you will listen to the evidence that the plaintiffs present, and then the defendants. But we feel that if you -- that all of the evidence, that the preponderance of it will show that these men have been libeled, and that's what they're suing for, and that Trine Day, the publisher, was negligent, in not vetting the book that he was getting ready to publish, as a true nonfictional account of what happened there, if he would just simply have presented it to the public in its identical form as fiction, then we wouldn't be here.

But the only recourse that they have is this, and they're here. It's a lot of trouble for them, a lot of expense for them, and it's a lot of trouble for -- we won't say trouble -- it's one of the functions of the court and that's why we have the court system, and that's why we're here. Thank you.

THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Deaver. Mr. Ogiba?

MR. OGIBA: Thank you. Thank you, Your Honor.

Good morning, ladies and gentlemen of the jury. Before I start, my name is Chris Ogiba, I'm an attorney here in Charleston, I'm with the law firm of Nexsen Pruet. I just want to make a couple quick introductions before I start. My

co-counsel sitting at the table over here is Barry Bachrach, he's with the law firm of Bowditch and Dewey. Sitting on the bench back here, I know Mr. Deaver was making some reference to these individuals, but the gentleman in the black suit is Colonel Marvin. He's going to -- he's been referenced as Captain Marvin. He was captain at the time, the time frame that's set forth in the book, but he retired as a lieutenant colonel. And the gentleman sitting next to him in the three-piece suit is Chris Millegan. He is a representative of Trine Day, the publisher of this book.

Mr. Deaver indicated in his opening statement, obviously we have a lot of differences. We disagree with their characterization of the book. We disagree with what the book says. I think the plaintiffs are trying to paint Colonel Marvin as anti-military, as somebody who is accusing his men of all these heinous crimes, that he was -- he's subversive. That's how the plaintiffs are trying to paint him. And he's not that way at all. And I think the evidence, as it will come out in this trial, will indicate to you, as it does to us, that that is not the case.

Speaking of myself, I'm the oldest of 11 kids. I have a brother who is in the Marines, he's actually the middle child, he's number six. He joined the Marines right after high school, and this is back in 2001. And he went to Iraq, and he

 served in Iraq, he was in Basra and he was in Falujah for about a year and a half. And while he was over there, he e-mailed me all the time, e-mailed me every day, most of the time every day.

And it was strange to me -- he left a wife and two kids at home -- and when he e-mailed me and he told me what he was afraid of, he wasn't afraid of dying, that he never e-mailed me about being afraid of dying or being afraid of the enemy or anything like that. He was afraid of how the war was being portrayed at home, and how he was going to be treated when he got home. And that always blew my mind, because I never thought of it in those terms.

And I can tell you, from that perspective, that if you read this whole book, if you read Expendable Elite, you will come away not with the opinion that Mr. Deaver is leaving with you, but that these men, that these plaintiffs, were heroes, that they served honorably in Vietnam, and that they served heroically in Vietnam. And that's what this book is about. This book is about their honorable service.

Mr. Deaver takes out portions of the book, and I would argue, mischaracterizes portions of the book, as setting these men up as criminals, as traitors, as mutineers. That's not the case. And the evidence, as we move forward in this trial the evidence will show that that is not the case.

Now, the judge, Judge Norton, indicated to you and

2 3 4

explained to you what the plaintiffs must establish in order to prove defamation in this case, what they must do to prove libel. Because what they claim is included in this book, the fact that it's written down, that would constitute libel. Defamation is broken down into two categories, libel and slander. If it's written down, it's libel.

What the plaintiffs must prove to establish libel, and part of this is what the judge has explained to you, they must prove that the statements in the book were defamatory.

And what does it mean, defamatory? What does it mean to defame someone? It means that it harms the plaintiffs' reputations. The plaintiffs must prove to you that the allegations in this book harmed their reputations.

Or, they could also establish that the allegations in this book lowered their estimation in their communities. They've got to prove that to you. It can't be speculation, there's got to be proof of that.

Or, they can prove that it deterred third parties from dealing with them. Other individuals decided not to become friends with them because of what was written in this book. They have got to prove that. That's what they need to prove, to prove that the statements in the book were defamatory.

Additionally, the plaintiffs must establish that the defamatory statements in the book, if they can establish that they're defamatory, were false. They have to prove that it

didn't happen. They have to establish evidence of that.

And that really is kind of the crux of our case. I think, when you see the evidence as it's presented to you, you will see that more likely than not in this case, and certainly from our perspective, absolutely, that the statements in this book are true.

You will hear from the plaintiffs' own mouths that the statements in this book are true. Mr. Deaver kind of, you know, glossed over the fact that when Colonel Marvin sent them the manuscript, the Bassac Bastards, back in 1988, that some of these plaintiffs furnished Mr. Marvin with audio tapes of comments, and he solicited this, he wanted to make the book as accurate as possible, so he asked these plaintiffs to contribute to the book.

And on the transcript of Bassac Bastards that he sent to these individuals, it says right on the front, it says this is a true story. It represents that it's a true story. I mean, they come in here now and say that, you know, that they didn't read it or that it's not — they didn't know it was true, but it says it on the transcript. And you will hear from these tapes that Mr. Deaver referred to briefly, but which we will introduce into evidence, you will hear on these tapes what these plaintiffs said, to contribute to this book.

I mean, they're claiming, I guess, now, that they thought the book was fiction. Well, listen to these tapes, use your

\_\_

common sense and listen to these tapes, and see -- and you make -- I mean, you will make the decision as to whether you believe that the statements made in these tapes, whether they were recollections of what happened, which is what we think the tapes sound like, and which was represented to Colonel Marvin when the tapes were presented to him, or, are they fantasies that some individual -- that the plaintiffs just concocted in their own heads, you know, sitting down and recorded tapes of fantasies that could be included in this, you know, fantastic fictional book.

You're going to make that determination, and you're going to hear that evidence in this case.

I would just -- we would just ask you, respectfully, to keep -- to not lose the forest through the trees in this case. As you can see from Mr. Deaver's opening statement, there's a lot of stuff in this case, there are a lot of allegations and a lot of facts in this case. The plaintiffs are going to try to throw as much stuff up against the wall to see what sticks. That's what they're going to try to do.

We, we just ask that for every allegation that they make about what is in the book, that they point to where in the book it is, and quote from the book where they say, you know, for example, Mr. Deaver claims that Colonel Tuttle had issued an order that they were to join the B Company. And that the plaintiffs — that in the book it alleges that the plaintiffs

....

and Colonel Marvin, at the time Captain Marvin, disobeyed that order, a mutiny. When you look at the book, if you read the book, you will not see that in the book. You will not see that kind of allegation in the book.

I would just ask that if they're going to make allegations, that you hold their feet to the fire. That if they're going to say this is in the book, I read this in the book, that they point to where in the book they read that.

And I just ask that you consider, when you hear the testimony, when you hear the tapes that we present on cross-examination of the plaintiffs, that you listen to these tapes and determine if the plaintiffs are believable in those tapes, if those tapes of credible, if what they're saying on those tapes, if you were Colonel Marvin or if you were Chris Millegan in Trine Day, if you were listening to these tapes, would you believe what was on them? And we would argue that you would, but that's for you to determine.

I would also ask that you consider, during the course of this trial, how the plaintiffs were harmed by this book. You will hear evidence during this trial that when the book, or when the manuscript, and when the book came out, that the plaintiffs wanted extra copies to hand to their families, to hand to their children. If this is full of lies, accusing them of crimes and, you know, atrocious crimes for which they could be convicted and killed, why would they want to provide

that to their kids and to their families? I would just ask 1 that you consider how they were harmed by what was written in 2 3 this book. And I guess the last thing I would just ask to leave you 4 with is when you hear the tapes and when you hear the 5 plaintiffs' testimony here today, that you consider -- or 6 during the course of this trial -- that you consider whether 7 8 the plaintiffs were telling the truth then, in 1988 when they 9 were providing these tapes and providing these written answers 10 to written questionnaires, were they telling the truth then, 11 or are they telling the truth now. 12 The one thing that you will know throughout the course of 13 this trial is that Colonel Marvin, his stories never changed. 14 Thank you. 15 THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Ogiba. Mr. Deaver, do you 16 want to call your first witness? 17 MR. BOBBY DEAVER: We call Mr. Marvin. 18 THE COURT: Okay. 19 State your name for the record. 20 A. Colonel Dan Marvin. 21 Daniel Marvin, a witness called by the plaintiff, first 22 having been duly sworn, testified as follows: 23 DIRECT EXAMINATION 24 BY MR. BOBBY DEAVER:

Good morning, Mr. Marvin.

- A. Good morning.
- Q. You are the author of the Expendable Elite?
- 3 A. Yes, I am.
- Q. And you -- May I see that? I'll show you Exhibit No. 16,
- 5 and ask that you identify that, please, sir.
- 6 A. That is a book that I wrote, and Trine Day published,
- 7 called Expendable Elite, One Soldier's Journey into Covert
- 8 Warfare.
- 9 Q. Thank you. You were a captain when you went to Vietnam in
- 10 1965, were you not?
- 11 A. That is correct.
- 12 Q. And you were assigned by Colonel Tuttle to An Phu, to A
- 13 Detachment A-424?
- 14 A. I was asked by Colonel Tuttle if I would volunteer to
- 15 command A-424. Because normally Colonel Tuttle would assign
- 16 men to the B Team, B-42, and Major Arnn, who was at that time
- 17 the commander, was actually killed the day I arrived there,
- 18 would have assigned me to an A Team that he wanted to assign
- 19 me to.
- 20 Q. In any event, you left the C Detachment and went to take
- 21 command of the A Detachment 424?
- 22 A. That is correct, I went to the B Team first, and then from
- 23 the B Team to the A Team.
- Q. Was that just for transportation?
- 25 A. I had to report to Major Arnn, but actually Major

- Willifer, in his absence, because Major Arnn was killed when I
  was en route to Chau Duc, where B-42 was.
- 3 Q. You mean Can Tho.
  - A. No, I came from Can Tho.
- Q. You came from Can Tho to Chau Duc.
- A. To Chau Duc, and then by assault boat with Specialist
- Menkins, one of the plaintiffs, met me there.
- Q. And that's one of the plaintiffs that's here today?
- 9 A. No.

4

- 10 Q. He is not. It was not -- he was one of the -- he was one
- of the members of the A-424 that was assigned about the same
- 12 time you were?
- 13 A. That is correct.
- Q. Will you look at page 282 of the Expendable Elite,
- 15 please?
- 16 A. I have it in front of me.
- 17 Q. Would you start reading at the top of that?
- MR. COLLINS: Excuse me, Your Honor, do you want to get the exhibits?
- 20 MR. BOBBY DEAVER: We offer that into evidence.
- 21 Exhibit 16.

- 22 THE COURT: Any objection?
- 23 MR. OGIBA: No objection, Your Honor.
- (Defendants' Exhibit No. 16 received.)
  - MR. OGIBA: Your Honor, just so you know, we've got

1 copies of our exhibits now, too.

2 THE COURT: Okay.

MR. BOBBY DEAVER: That is Defendants' Exhibit 16.

THE COURT: Do you want to just hand out a copy to each juror?

MR. OGIBA: We have enough copies for all the jurors.

A. Did you want me to start at the first full paragraph?

THE COURT: Let's wait till we get everything handed out first.

A. Excuse me, Judge.

THE COURT: That's no problem. Now, there's going to be some exhibits passed around and you're going to have copies of the exhibits with you, but don't refer to the exhibits until they're in evidence, until the lawyers refer them to you, okay? Because there's a bunch of stuff in there you can look at if you want to; if you don't want to look at it, you don't have to, but you'll at least have a copy of it, and the defendants will have some exhibits and will probably update your books as we go.

- BY MR. BOBBY DEAVER:
- Q. If you would, please, we'll start at page 281, at the paragraph in about the middle of the page.
- A. Excuse me, sir?
  - Q. Start at the paragraph that starts on page 281, that again Marvin --

"'In other words,' I told John Strait and Jim Taylor,

"'we've been ordered to abandon ship, to desert the Hoa Hoas,

to betray our pledge to keep -- to help them against the

communists. I can't do that. They'll have to come and take

me out of here. How do you guys stand on this?'

"Lieutenant Strait, sitting across the table from me, spoke first. 'I can't quit these good people, Dangerous. I'm staying with you.'

"I shook his outstretched hand. 'Thanks, John, I appreciate it. But, look, you men know what the message said. So far none of you have gone against orders. I can't or won't ask you to stay. If you decide to stay, I'll cover you by ordering you to stay and I'll send a message to B-42 telling them so. You'll have that on file for your protection later, if there is a later. Just think about it for now then let me know your answer when we get back from the FOBs.'" And that means forward operation bases.

"'We will, Dai-uy.	But, you kn	ow it's possible	that they
have another reason for	ordering us	all out at once	and having
us open up having us	on that ope	n river this after	ernoon?!

"'John, the possibility of our being ambushed on orders of the company --" that means the CIA "-- and then reported as casualties of a VC action crossed my mind. We could bypass Chau Duc going cross-country over to Tan Chau, and then bum a ride down the Mekong River past Hoa Hoa Village to Can Tho, but who's to say how friendly the rest of our guys would be if they were told we'd gone against the CIA? John, none of us want to desert the Hoa Hoas, so go and talk to Lieutenant Ba and find out what we can do to help the families of any who choose to leave the CIDG.'"

Can you hear me okay, sir?

Q. Yes, that's fine, thank you.

A. "'I say leave because I like that word better than desert.

It just doesn't fit.'

"'Jim, I want you to get with Sergeant Hung and his demolition people and make certain there's enough plastic explosive wired in the two big ammo bunkers and each of our commo bunkers to blow this whole camp to the moon if I have to pull the switch. We're not going to leave this to the VC, the company or anyone else.'

"'Sure thing, Dai-uy. And, I'm staying with you, too.'
"'Thanks, Jim. Woolley, I know you've got to get back to

- the commo bunker pretty quick. Take this message and send it
  to C-4 and copy B-42.' I handed him a short message that
  read, 'Secret. To Tuttle. Amnesty must be granted Strikers
  of An Phu.'" Strikers are the Vietnamese fighters. "'Will
  advise later today if I will send team members to B-42. I am
  staying. Signed, Marvin.'
  - "'Will do, Dai-uy. And you can also count on me to ride this thing out with you.' We smiled at each other and shook hands."
- Q. All right. If you could stop there, and let's go back to page 281, where it reads, "Secret. To Marvin. Urgent.
- Repeat. Urgent. A-424 must depart An Phu and report to B-42 not later than 2000 hours today. Commander of B-42 advised.
- 14 Signed, Tuttle."
- 15 A. Right.

7

8

- Q. Now, Colonel Tuttle was Colonel Tuttle, who was the C commander, is that correct?
- 18 A. That is correct.
- 19 Q. And he was your superior officer?
- A. He was -- he was Major Brewer's -- Colonel Brewer's

  superior officer, who was the C.O. of the B Team.
- Q. And everybody in the echelon ahead of you would be your superior officer?
- 24 A. Certainly. All the way up to the Commander in Chief.
- 25 Q. Well, Colonel Tuttle was in that chain of command, wasn't

- 1 he?
- 2 A. But not in a position to give me orders.
- Q. But the message is clear.
- 4 A. As is stated, yes.
- Q. That you and A-424 are to leave and report to B-42, which
- 6 is your next immediate command.
- 7 A. That is correct.
- Q. And then you said, "They'll have to come and take me out
- 9 of here."
- 10 A. That's right. I was not about to betray the Vietnamese
- 11 people I was charged to defend.
- 12 Q. You were going to betray the United States Army though,
- 13 weren't you?
- 14 A. No, I was not.
- 15 Q. You were disobeying this order.
- 16 A. It was an illegal order.
- 17 Q. Do you really think that a quartermaster captain has the
- 18 right to check the judgment of Colonel Tuttle, who is a
- 19 commander of that whole B and C and A Detachments, to order
- 20 you to go to another -- to your next superior headquarters and
- 21 report for duty?
- 22 A. That is correct. I was commanding an independent
- operation, as it's indicated in the book. An independent
- operation, you don't report to anybody until the operation is
- 25 over. It's a plan between the United States Army and the

- 1 Central Intelligence Agency.
- Q. Mr. Marvin, you know that that is absolutely false, don't
- 3 you?
- 4 A. I wouldn't say it, if it was false.
- Q. You are saying that you and these men had -- did not have to report to anybody?
- A. My men had to report to me, and they followed my orders in every instance throughout the entire time that they and I were
- 9 there together. I was proud of them.
- 10 Q. And you were disobeying Colonel Tuttle's order, were you
- 11 not?
- 12 A. It was an illegal order that I did not have to obey.
- 13 Q. And then you said that John Strait was going to stay with you?
- 15 A. That's right.
- 16 Q. And Jim Taylor?
- 17 A. All of them.
- 18. Q. And all of them were going to stay with you. And then you
- told them to -- in your book, you told them to wire the -- all
- 20 the bunkers around there --
- 21 A. The bunkers were already wired, sir.
- 22 Q. They --
- A. And it was just to double check to make sure that there
- 24 was enough plastic explosive, as I say in the book, to just
- 25 blow the whole damn thing up.

- 1 Q. The -- and --
- A. Excuse my language.
- Q. And you and the men and all of the United States Army
  advisers and the CIA and the ARVN regiment that was coming in
- 5 there, just blow them all to hell?
- 6 A. Why do you say CIA, sir?
- 7 Q. You did.
- 8 A. No, I did not. Show me where in the book.
- 9 Q. You do in the book, do you not?
- 10 A. No.
- Q. Let's look then at the -- look right above on page 282.
- 12 "Jim, I want you and Sergeant Hung."
- 13 A. Right.
- Q. What is the last sentence? "We're not going to leave this
- 15 to the VC, the company, " meaning the CIA, "or anyone else."
- A. See, the camp was under the jurisdiction of the Central
- 17 Intelligence Agency, as long as I commanded that camp as an
- independent operation. And I would just as soon they had
- 19 nothing left, because they betrayed the Hoa Hoas and my men
- 20 and the Vietnamese Special Forces.
- 21 Q. So you independently are telling the United States Army
- 22 how they're supposed to run this war?
- 23 A. The U.S. Army gave me the independent operation, asked me
- 24 if I'd accept it, on the 26th day of December of 1965. I
- 25 accepted it. And when Colonel Tuttle told me that when I left

- the door, it's as if I never met him, that's the way it was.
- 2 That's how independent operations work.
- Q. You did not -- you never left the command of Colonel
- 4 Tuttle, did you?
- 5 A. Yes.
- Q. And you didn't have to report to anybody?
- 7 A. That is correct. I could ask for logistical support, and
- 8 | they had to provide it. And they --
- 9 Q. Excuse me. Didn't they tell you in the book that he
- 10 wasn't going to give you any?
- 11 A. Not that I know of. Show me where that is.
- 12 Q. If you'll bear with me one moment.
- 13 A. I know what you're thinking of, counsel.
- 14 Q. Okay. Well, you tell me what I'm thinking of; I won't
- 15 have to look this up.
- 16 A. When I accepted the independent operation, he said, you
- 17 knew that you or your men, when they are caught inside of
- 18 Cambodia, will be dropped from the roles as deserters. When
- 19 you are anyplace on the other side of the border, fighting the
- 20 enemy, you will receive no artillery support, no air support,
- 21 and not even med evac support.
- Q. None of the B-42, or none of the -- well, let's go to
- 23 that, the B-42. Go a little further. Did you send out an
- 24 ambush to stop anyone coming into Camp An Phu?
- 25 A. When is this, sir?

- 1 Q. Let's see. It was --
- 2 A. Sir, are you speaking of the time when Colonel Brewer was
- 3 going to send some people up the Bassac River, after he'd
- 4 ordered us out?
- 5 Q. Yes.
- 6 A. Yes, I did set up an ambush, because I had closed the area
- 7 to all outsiders, and that was my legal authority as the
- 8 commander of an independent operation, which comprised the
- 9 complete district of An Phu, not just the villages you stated
- 10 earlier.
- 11 Q. And you sent out an ambush and told them that to shoot
- 12 over their heads, and if they didn't stop, to kill them?
- 13 A. They were ordered not to come, by me.
- 14 Q. The patrol that you sent out, the ambush patrol, was
- 15 instructed to shoot over their heads, and if they didn't stop,
- 16 to kill them, didn't you?
- 17 A. That is correct.
- 18 Q. Your own soldiers, your own fellow soldiers?
- 19 A. Not my own soldiers, no. They'd be people sent by the B
- 20 Team, against lawful orders.
- 21 Q. The B Team is the next higher echelon and is also Special
- 22 Forces, isn't it?
- 23 A. Not in an independent operation.
- Q. This camp, An Phu, at Dan Nam, was being -- it was
- 25 programmed already to be turned over to the Regional Forces

- 1 and to MACV, wasn't it?
- 2 A. It was supposed to have been converted to Regional Forces
- on the first day of June 1966. But there was so much action,
- 4 in fact, more action, combat action in An Phu than any other
- 5 district along the border, that they had to delay the
- 6 conversion.
- Q. This incident we're talking about that you say happened on
- 8 June -- that we're just discussing, happened on June 17th,
- 9 | didn't it?
- 10 A. The biggest combat actions were in May, 19th to the 24th.
- 11 Q. This order from Colonel Tuttle was on the 17th --
- 12 A. That was in June, right.
- 13 Q. June 17, wasn't it?
- A. That's correct. And we were over -- about two months from conversion by that time.
- 16 Q. If you will look at page 287, please.
- 17 A. Yes, sir.
- 18 Q. Colonel Brewer was the B-42 commander, wasn't he?
- 19 A. That is correct.
- 20 Q. You sent regular reports to Colonel Brewer, didn't you?
- 21 A. I sent regular reports, whether they be SPOTREPS, SITREPS
- 22 and MOPSUMs, which are monthly operational summaries, for all
- 23 actions other than that that was within the top secret area of
- 24 my mission, which was anything inside or going into Cambodia.
- They were all sent through the B Team to the C Team to the

- 1 Fifth Group headquarters at Nha Trang.
- Q. And these were just regular reports that were going out?
- 3 They were required --
- 4 A. You'd have to have been there to know what regular means.
- 5 Each one was different, and it all depended what actions were
- 6 taking place.
- Q. Well, that was one of the provisions in the monthly
- 8 operation reports, wasn't it?
- 9 A. That is correct.
- 10 Q. But they were just routine, it -- what happened in the
- 11 camp? And what your --
- 12 A. It provided information to the higher headquarters, to the
- 13 different channels within Special Forces, of what happened at
- An Phu, and there was absolutely nothing routine, other than
- 15 it had to go out every month. The SITREPS and SPOTREPs went
- 16 out based on what happened at that time. It's a situation
- 17 report and a spot report, and it's based on an enemy action.
- 18 There's nothing routine.
- 19 Q. Okay. If you'll read there from 287 then, please, start
- 20 at the -- yeah, start at the top, if you would, please.
- 21 A. At the very top at and?
- 22 Q. Yes, please.
- 23 A. "'And, who knows what will happen to us once we're under
- 24 Colonel Brewer's control? The CIA, Premier Ky and our embassy
- 25 all knew a lot of the Strikers would desert, if they withdrew

1 amnesty. If we hadn't stood by them, how many more would have 2 left? I don't know, but I believe we would have been sitting 3 ducks for the southward regiment. And then what? I'll get 4 with Major Le and let him know about the escort party. We 5 have an ambush place -- in place on the Bassac River, three kilometers from Chau Duc.'" That's the B Team headquarters. 6 7 "'They have orders to fire warning shots to all intruders. If 8 their warning goes unheeded, they are to do whatever is 9 necessary to stop them.'"

Did you want me to continue?

Q. Please.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

- A. "'Even kill them, asked Woolley?'
  - "'Yes, I answered.' Sergeant Taylor lifted up his voice in support, saying, 'I agree with Dai-uy. This is serious business. Our Hoa Hoa's could be slaughtered if we don't stand tough.'
- "I found Major Le --"
- Q. That will be fine, if you will. Now, that's Sergeant
  Taylor you're talking about is Jim Taylor who is sitting here
  as a plaintiff, isn't that correct?
- A. That is absolutely correct.
- 22 Q. And every word in that book is true?
- 23 A. Excuse me?
- 24 Q. Every word in that book is true?
- 25 A. I have made some mistakes in the book. Like I quoted all

the messages, I quoted from -- to Captain Marvin from Colonel Tuttle. Really the messages were done on one-time pads, and there were code names used. I was just trying in the book to put people into it that were there and were doing the actions.

Other than that, I would say I've left a lot of swear words out. I left all them out. Because my wife especially was -- said she'd like to have the book so it could be read by everybody. So I mean, we swore like mad. I mean, you can ask your plaintiffs when they get up here if they used a lot of swear words. John Strait himself asked me to take the F-words out of the book. And it was full of them, and it was true. It's still true without the F-words.

- Q. But other than the profanity, everything else is true?
- A. Everything that's in here, actually happened. If there's something in here that happened that I didn't put in here, it might be something like one of my men telling me about going to the whorehouse in Chau Duc. I didn't want that in the book. I wanted this to be a book showing a brave team. The bravest men I've ever met in my life are represented in this
- Q. And they're also represented in this lawsuit, aren't they?
- 22 A. That's correct.
- Q. Look at the forward there, if you will, please.
- 24 A. Where it says Colonel Maggie?
- 25 Q. Yes.

book.

- 1 A. Right.
- Q. Yes, and it's signed down there, Lieutenant Colonel Martha
- 3 Raye, United States Army Nurses Corps?
- 4 A. Okay. I was giving Colonel Maggie some -- a reward,
- 5 really, for what she'd done. She is the only representative
- 6 that went around the country for the media, for the
- 7 entertainment groups, that visited every Special Forces camp
- 8 in Vietnam. And I don't care what anybody says; when she came
- 9 into a camp, and I've talked to other camp commanders while I
- 10 was there, she had her black bag with her when she came off
- 11 that chopper, and she went in, and she helped Sirois in the
- 12 | medical center when she arrived that time she arrived, one of
- 13 the plaintiffs. Now whether he remembers that or not, I don't
- 14 know, that's a long time ago.
- 15 Q. But he did --
- 16 A. But she was -- she was appointed an acting lieutenant
- 17 colonel by the commanding officer of the Fifth Special Forces
- 18 Group.
- 19 Q. Mr. Marvin, you know that she was given an honorary title
- 20 and nothing else. She's never been in the military.
- 21 A. That's a lie. She served in World War II, Korea and
- 22 Vietnam, supporting the troops and making us happy.
- Q. I said she was never in the military, and you know that.
- 24 A. She was serving with the military. She was never a
- 25 military officer.

- Q. She was entertaining the military.
- 2 A. Well, entertaining, yes, and helping.
- Q. Okay. But she was never in the military.
- 4 A. Nope.

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- Q. And she was never a lieutenant colonel.
- A. She was an acting lieutenant colonel by the order of the
  full colonel who commanded the Fifth Special Forces Group in
  Vietnam. And you can see that in the book that's been written
- 9 about Martha Raye, too.
- Q. Now, you know that that Fifth Group commander had no authority to commission anyone.
- 12 A. Does this in any way defame my men?
  - Q. Well, later -- it does later on when you have her getting a couple of bullet holes in the helicopter coming in for a landing.

And you're sure that Mr. Sirois assisted her, or she assisted him in the room, in the --

- A. She had to have assisted Specialist Sirois at the time, because he was in charge. We had the finest medics in the whole United States Army there. And I couldn't do anything in the dispensary, without the medic in charge approving it, whether it be Sirois or Kuchen or Tenorio or whoever.
- Q. Now, this -- that she came into the camp in January, did she not, of 1966?
  - A. Whatever it says here in the book. I think it was mid

- January, but I'll look it up here.
- Q. If you would, please.
- 3 A. It's in Chapter 7, I believe. Oh, it's in the forward?
- 4 12 January. 12th of January 1966. Thank you.
- 5 Q. Do you know when Mr. Sirois came to A-424?
- 6 A. Well, whatever medic was in --
- 7 Q. Sirois. Sirois. You remember specifically it was Sirois
- 8 that assisted.
- 9 A. I said that without referring to the book. But when
- 10 Martha Raye came to the camp, she went right to the
- 11 dispensary.
- 12 Q. Refer to the book, and it says Sirois in there.
- 13 A. He came in on the 12th day of March of 1966. That's an
- 14 error I'm sure that we'll correct in the new edition of the
- 15 book, to have the right medic in there. But it's what
- 16 happened. And there was a medic. And they should be given
- 17 credit whenever they can be.
- 18 Q. Mr. Sirois was not there, was he?
- 19 A. At that time, no.
- 20 MR. BOBBY DEAVER: Excuse me, please, Your Honor.
- 21 THE COURT: Sure.
- 22 (Brief interruption in proceedings.)
- A. I do thank you for pointing that out to me, because when we redo the book, we'll make a correction.
- Q. Certainly; we want it accurate.

- 1 A. Excuse me?
- Q. We do want it accurate.
- 3 A. Absolutely. That's important.
- Q. If you will turn to page one, please.
- 5 A. Excuse me. May I go back to that last question? Because
- if you go back to 91 of the book, it's Colonel Maggie,
- 7 Sergeant Tenorio is the medic, not Sirois.
- 8 Q. Did you get that from some tape that Sirois sent you?
- 9 A. I guess I just happened to see him here, and let me tell
- 10 you, he and those other medics did jobs that nobody could
- ll believe, really, in this building. And I mistakenly mention
- 12 his name here in the court as being the one that was there
- 13 when Colonel Maggie came, but he was not. And it's not in the
- 14 book.
- 15 Q. Okay. Page one, please.
- 16 A. I'm at page one.
- Q. All right. If you'll read that, the bold print up there
- 18 starting, Lieutenant Colonel Tuttle.
- 19 A. "Lieutenant Colonel Tuttle, the commander of all Special
- 20 Forces in the Fourth Tactical Corps of South Vietnam, spoke in
- 21 a whisper, 'Dan, if you take command of A-424 and accept this
- 22 top secret mission, you'll be on your own.'" Which is, of
- 23 course, typical. Independent. "'When you leave this room, it
- 24 | will be as if we never met. We can't and won't stand behind
- 25 you if you are caught doing what I'm about to tell you to do.

- 1 Got it, Captain?'"
- Q. That's a question, isn't it?
- 3 A. Do I understand him, right, is what he meant.
- 4 Q. Yeah. "You got it, Captain?" I mean, that's what it
- 5 says.
- 6 A. That's right.
- 7 Q. Now, you have -- you were present on the telephone
- 8 whenever Colonel Tuttle's deposition was taken, weren't you?
- 9 A. That is correct.
- 10 Q. And he denied anything at all about having any meeting
- 11 with you, other than in the mess hall, if you happened to have
- 12 been there, he didn't know. And that none of this ever
- 13 happened. Didn't he?
- 14 A. I knew that from the time that he told me that when I left
- 15 the door, it would be as if I never met him. He was forbidden
- 16 by the joint policy between CIA and the Department of Defense,
- 17 in acknowledging any activity that he ever had with the CIA
- 18 that was illegal in any nature whatsoever. He would have to
- 19 say that he didn't do it.
- 20 Q. Do you know --
- 21 A. Wasn't a surprise to me.
- Q. Do you know what that joint resolution was?
- 23 A. Everything to do with covert operations is verbal.
- Q. Which means that you can say just about anything you want
- 25 to, and you've got nothing to back it up. Is that right?

- 1 A. I do not have anything official from higher headquarters,
- 2 no. And I understood that when I went into it, and probably
- 3 it hurt my wife more than anybody else.
- 4 Q. But you have nothing, absolutely nothing to confirm or to
- 5 substantiate or corroborate what you're saying there that
- 6 | Colonel Tuttle had.
- 7 A. That is correct. And you would never expect to have it.
- 8 There wouldn't be anybody allowed into a meeting of that
- 9 nature. There would be no recording, no writing, no typing,
- 10 no nothing.
- 11 Q. And if it never happened, that's still the way it would
- 12 happen, isn't it?
- 13 A. I can't answer that question because it did happen.
- 14 Q. Despite what Colonel Tuttle says.
- 15 A. Despite what Colonel says, which I expected him to say.
- Q. He was not a person of integrity, he was not a person of
- 17 honesty?
- 18 A. Absolutely he was a person of integrity. But he can't say
- 19 what he's been ordered not to say.
- 20 Q. Well, you can, can't you?
- 21 A. In 1984, I came to know the Lord as my personal savior.
- 22 And that day is the day I started writing this book. Because
- 23 I no longer feared the company sending somebody like me, a
- 24 trained assassin, to kill me for telling the truth, because I
- 25 depended on him to keep me alive, and he's done it.

- Q. You have never had one day training as an assassin, have you?
- A. You know I have, because I've talked to you about it before, and written to you about it before. Yes, I have in Special Forces training.
- 6 Q. At Fort Bragg?
  - A. Fort Bragg, the spring of 1964.
- 8 Q. And you were taught assassin training?
- 9 A. In fact, it was titled counterassassination technique.
- 10 There were no written lesson plans. In fact, when I talked to
- 11 General Yarborough, and you have a copy of the tape, he
- 12 admitted that we had CIA advisers, he called them, and that we
- 13 did conduct counterassassination training and counterterrorist
- 14 training.

for.

7

- 15 Q. Let me show you what is in our book here, Plaintiffs'
- 16 Exhibit 8, and that is a cassette tape that was provided to us
- 17 by you. Is that correct?
- 18 A. Well, I provided them to my attorney and then he provided
- 19 them to you, to be straight.
- Q. Okay. But you provided at our request, through your attorney.
- 22 A. Well, he asked me for it. I don't know what you asked
- Q. And that is that tape recording of your conversation with
  Major -- General Yarborough?

1	A. Major General Yarborough and Rudy Gresham, his attorney,
2	who you know from listening to the tape said that the most
3	important thing is loyalty to Special Forces. Otherwise, not
4	the truth, just loyalty to Special Forces.

- And in that taped conversation with General Yarborough --Now, he was one of the fathers of Special Forces, wasn't he?
- He's an old timer, yes. Good man. 8
  - You told him that you got orders from Colonel Tuttle to take the war into Cambodia, didn't you?
  - A. No, I did not. I never told anybody that. I never got orders from anybody. I was asked if I would volunteer.

All covert operations, whether it be across border, whether it be assassinations, industrial sabotage, anything of a covert nature is completely off the records, and it's got to be accepted by the individual himself.

Q. And you were offered, I believe you said in one of your writings, that you were asked by the Mafia to assassinate some of the other Mafioso in their area?

MR. OGIBA: Objection, Your Honor. I think this is covered under our first motion in limine. This is irrelevant to the book and has nothing to do with plaintiffs' causes of action.

THE COURT: I'll overrule this one. Go ahead.

No, the Mafia never asked me to kill anybody. Period.

13

14

15

16

12

5

6

7

9

10

11

17

18

19 20

21 22

23

- 1 Q. They offered you a job doing that, didn't they?
- 2 A. They offered Master Sergeant Joe Hill and I --
- 3 Q. Well, that's you, right?
- 4 A. -- a job. Hum?
- Q. That's you, is it not? You're saying I?
- 6 A. Master Sergeant Joe Hill and I.
- 7 Q. Okay. Well, you -- that's what I asked you, if you were
- 8 not offered that job.
- 9 A. No, you had asked me if I was asked by them to kill
- 10 somebody, and I said no.
- 11 Q. Well, that's what -- to assassinate?
- 12 A. If I would have taken a job. If I would have taken the
- 13 job, and that's a big if. And I didn't. Then I would have
- been asked to kill somebody, maybe. I don't know. It would
- 15 be up to the Don.
- 16 Q. You also said, in one of your e-mails, did you not, that
- 17 you were asked -- that you volunteered to assassinate the
- 18 president at that time of Iraq? Hussain?
- MR. OGIBA: Your Honor, we object again, renewing our
- 20 objection. Irrelevant.
- 21 MR. BOBBY DEAVER: He's talking about assassination
- 22 training.
- 23 THE COURT: I'll overrule that.
- 24 A. You asked me -- you didn't ask about assassination
- 25 training. You asked if I was asked by who?

- Q. You were the one that said it, that --
  - A. What did I say?

get a new I.D. card.

- Q. You said that you were promoted to colonel, full bird colonel, when you volunteered to do the assassination of Sadam Hussain, didn't you?
- A. I volunteered to be recalled to active duty to work as a Special Forces member to command a Special Forces operation.

  And I said if I am ordered to act in duty, I want to be ordered as a full colonel, because I felt I deserved it by that time. They called me from the office at Carlisle barracks, the Army War College, and asked me to come down and

And I went down and got a new I.D. card, took my wife with me, renewed hers while we were there, and they gave me an I.D. card showing me as a full colonel. So I figured they'd done just as I'd asked them to do.

I never went to Iraq. I never, in writing, asked to -that I know of -- asked to specifically assassinate Sadam
Hussain. I would assassinate any foreign leader that our
government determined to be going against this nation, and
that they couldn't get any other way.

And then when that whole thing was scrubbed and they decided -- I got a letter from the State Department saying that they didn't need me, basically, then I went down to Carlisle barracks and I got a new I.D. card. I've got it

right here. This is kind of proof of the pudding, because
when you retire, I retired May of '73, you get an I.D. card.
That I.D. card is as good as you're alive. As long as you're
alive. My I.D. card then should have read May of '73. This
reads -- I have a hard time without my glasses here. 12
October 1990.

THE COURT: Give him his glasses.

- A. Thank you very kindly. October 1990 is when I received this, and that's when the mission was obviously aborted, and they didn't need old Dangerous Dan.
- 12 Q. Do you have anything in your records anywhere, or any copies of your I.D. card showing you as a colonel?
- A. No, they take your old I.D. card when they issue a new one. Simple as that.
  - Q. But there's nothing to support that you were promoted to colonel, is there?
  - A. I don't think I need anything. That was not -- never used. I was never actually brought on active duty as a colonel.
  - Q. Well, you started putting it on your letterhead.
  - A. Absolutely. You would, too.
- 22 Q. You started --

7

8

9

10

15

16

17

18

19

20

- 23 A. Wouldn't you?
- 24 Q. You started putting it on letterhead --
- 25 A. That's correct.

- 1 Q. -- that you were Colonel --
- 2 A. Colonel Daniel Marvin, that is correct.
- Q. -- Daniel Marvin. And the only way that you could get a
- 4 new card was to turn in your old one, is that right?
- 5 A. They called me and told me to come get a new one. So I
- 6 did. And I'd already got a letter from Department of the
- 7 Army, stating that they didn't need me.
- 8 Q. Do you have that letter?
- 9 A. Not here, no. It doesn't matter. Doesn't have anything to do with defaming the defendants.
- 11 Q. It has something to do with credibility, Mr. Marvin.
- 12 Everything you have said so far is totally unsupported by --
- MR. BACHRACH: Objection, Your Honor, argumentative.
- THE COURT: Well, I'll sustain that. Ask a question.
- 15 BY MR. BOBBY DEAVER:
- Q. You can also get a new date on your card whenever you lose
- 17 your card, you can get a new card issued?
- 18 A. That is correct, but if you'll check the records and the
- 19 Department of the Army, and the office that issues the I.D.
- 20 cards, it will show the reason for the replacement of the I.D.
- 21 card, and it was a change in rank.
- Q. Is that what it says on your new card?
- A. It says lieutenant colonel indefinite. You're welcome to look at it.
  - Q. I've seen it; thank you.

1	A. Okay.
2	Q. And if your card wears out, if it gets too
3	A. If you ran it through the wringer or the combine or
4	something like that, you'd go get a new one, yeah. But it
5	says right there on there why, on the form.
6	Q. But it also says the date of issue.
7	A. Absolutely. I mean, everything is a date of issue in the
8	army; you know that.
9	MR. BOBBY DEAVER: Can I have one moment, Your Honor
10	THE COURT: Sure.
11	(Brief interruption in proceedings.)
12	THE COURT: Why don't we take our morning break at
13	this time. We'll start in about ten minutes, okay?
1.4	(Jury excused.)
15	(A recess was held at this time.)
16	MR. BACHRACH: Your Honor, before the jury comes in,
17	could we have a side bar? Because there's going to be an
18	evidentiary issue almost immediately.
19	THE COURT: Okay.
20	(Jury present.)
21	(Discussion held off the record at side bar.)
22	THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Deaver?
23	MR. BOBBY DEAVER: Thank you, Your Honor.
24	BY MR. BOBBY DEAVER:
2.5	Q. Mr. Marvin, there were general orders that prohibited

			Cambodia,					was	ê
2	court-	martia	al offense	to do t	hat,	wasn't	it?		

- A. Well, you actually brought that out, and we asked you to provide a copy of the general orders, and you never could.
- Q. Answer the question yes or no and then you can explain it.

  THE COURT: If the question --
- 7 A. Would you ask the question again, please?

8 THE COURT: Mr. Marvin?

A. Yes, sir.

THE COURT: There's rules. He's not going to talk when you talk, and you're not going to talk when he talks, because it's very difficult for the court reporter to get everybody down at the same time. And nobody talks when I talk.

A. Yes, sir. I understand.

THE COURT: All right. So if you can answer the question yes or no, do so, and then you have every right to explain any answer you want to.

A. Thank you.

THE COURT: Ask your question again.

BY MR. BOBBY DEAVER:

Q. The question was, you knew there was a general order that precluded firing into Cambodia, in any manner intruding into Cambodia from Vietnam by the American forces, wasn't there?

A. No.

- 1 Q. There was not?
- A. No.

9

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- 3 Q. And you didn't know anything about it, if there was?
- 4 A. No. Not for an independent operation.
- Q. The question, Mr. Marvin, was, was there a general order prohibiting firing into Cambodia?
- 7 A. Not that I know of. I was never involved in anything 8 other than an independent operation.
  - Q. Well, will you look at page 43 of your book, please?
- 10 A. I gave it back to you. Yes, sir, I'm at 43.
- 11 Q. Start with the top of the page, please.
  - A. "I told them I wouldn't allow what happened at Vinh Gia Camp to happen here. They probably knew the team leader was relieved, but didn't know he was serving time in Leavenworth Penitentiary because he was honest. He admitted firing HE rounds into the VC base in Cambodia in retaliation for their rocket attack from that same base earlier in the day that killed or wounded some of his Strikers. Sergeant John Eleam told how he'd heard about that while monitoring B Team radio channels. 'Did they really put him in prison for firing on the enemy, Dai-uy?' John had handsome features, stood about five seven, was black and slight, but muscular.
    - "'That's what I was told,' I answered."
  - Q. That's far enough. This says, does it not, and quoting you, about the camp commander who was sent to Fort Leavenworth

- 1 Prison for firing into Cambodia?
- 2 A. That is correct.
- Q. There had to be some violation of some military law by his
- 4 doing that, in order to put him in the penitentiary, wasn't
- 5 there?
- 6 A. I can't answer yes or no on that. I can say -- Judge, all
- 7 I could say is that I don't know who gave him orders at all.
- 8 Whether they were Fifth Group orders, C Team orders, B Team
- 9 orders, that told him he couldn't fire against the enemy. I
- 10 think whoever gave those orders was sick, that they wouldn't
- 11 allow our men to fire against the enemy.
- 12 Q. But the answer then is yes, there was a regulation
- 13 prohibiting that?
- 14 A. I don't know that there was a regulation, sir. Somebody
- 15 told him. I don't know who it was; was it an official order?
- 16 I know you could not find any orders when we asked you to
- 17 provide them.
- 18 Q. I would appreciate it if you wouldn't comment about any
- 19 conversations that we have -- might have had.
- 20 A. Yes, sir.
- 21 Q. Because that would tend to make me a witness, and I don't
- 22 want to be.
- 23 A. Yes, sir.
- Q. Look at the bottom of page 42.
- 25 A. Yes, sir, I'm at the bottom of page 42.

Q. Read that, starting with I told my men.

A. "I told my men that we had to be prepared to deny having taken any action that would have been the basis for reports we would receive coded, quote, 'Nantucket,' unquote, the code word for all reports relating to the violation of neutral territory, and we would have to deny them fast." And then, quote, "'I'll prepare some canned responses and give them to Eleam to keep handy in the commo bunker. Eleam, I want you to make sure our commo men know where they are.'" Unquote.

"'Will do, Dai-uy,' Eleam answered.

"'Unless you want to go to Leavenworth,' I warned, 'and take me with you, you'll all keep quiet about everything we do here except civic action and medical patrols. That way you aren't apt to slip to say the wrong thing.'"

- Q. So you were aware at that time that there were prohibitions against any intrusion into Cambodia, weren't you?

  A. Yes. But not in my area. Ours was a top secret mission.

  And as I told you earlier, I could not include in any of my
- reports, SITREPS, SPOTREPs or MOPSUMs, anything to do with any of the top secret part of the mission, which was going into Cambodia or firing into Cambodia.
- Q. When you wrote Bassac Bastards, it was not top secret, was it?
- A. Yes. As a matter of fact, you know, I really had hoped -I know I scared my wife half to death -- that the CIA would

- try and take me to court, but then I was told by a friend of mine in the CIA --
- 3 MR. BOBBY DEAVER: Object.
- THE COURT: You can't say what somebody else told you.
- 6 A. Oh, okay.
- THE COURT: That's okay, no problem. Unless it's one of the people here in this court.
- 9 A. I apologize.
- 10 THE COURT: That's no problem.
- 11 BY MR. BOBBY DEAVER:
- 12 Q. And I apologize, I'm kind of familiar with the rules of 13 the court, and I'm sure that you aren't, and --
- 14 A. Right.
- Q. -- I don't mean to try to take advantage of you or anything.
- 17 A. I understand.
- Q. But you have two instances right there, where you are
  acknowledging that you might go to a penitentiary, your men
  might go to the penitentiary, you knew about this lieutenant
  that was already in Leavenworth for firing into Cambodia,
  cross-border intrusion.
- 23 A. That's right. One of the reasons for the book.
- Q. Because there was a prohibition against --
- 25 A. Because I thought the world should know what Green Berets

- have to put up with, and what good work they did where they

  were, and so that they would -- I tried to get -- I wanted

  this to go into all the service academies and all of that

  nature, so that people could learn that if you're going to get

  involved in covert operations, and that's the reason for this

  title, Expendable Elite, is that they're in danger of being

  the victim of our own government.
- Q. These men have been at risk because they were with you,
  and they should look behind their backs all of the time, that
- 10 some CIA agent might try to assassinate them?
- 11 A. No, sir, I didn't say that.
- Q. What are you saying about the risk of being in the military?
  - A. Primarily people like myself, when they accept a covert operation, that they understand that there's nothing in writing, there's no back-up, it's all done in what they call compartmentalized basis. That's why Colonel Brewer knew nothing of what I was doing. And that's the kind of danger you get involved in, to the extent that you have the CIA sending a regiment to kill you and your men.
  - Q. And do you really believe that a regiment was being sent to wipe you out?
- 23 A. I know it was.

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

Q. You don't know a Chuck Borg, do you, who was the senior adviser to the 15th ARVN regiment?

- 1 A. I don't know -- no, I -- no is the answer, and I don't 2 know that the 15th ARVN regiment was involved. I have never 3
  - Q. Well, you know that the 15th ARVN regiment was the -- had
- 5 the area of operation, being Chau Duc province, don't you?

mentioned that designation to anybody any way.

- 6 A. No, I do not. I had only to do with unconventional 7 operations.
- Q. Okay. Let's -- and this is roundabout, but we're going to 8 9 put that tape on of your conversation with General Yarborough.

10 Now --

4

11

12

13

14

THE COURT: This is subject to the defense objection.

MR. BOBBY DEAVER: Subject --

MR. OGIBA: Thank you, Your Honor.

THE COURT: No problem.

- 15 BY MR. BOBBY DEAVER:
- 16 Q. This was a conversation with General Yarborough that you 17 initiated, was it not?
- 18 That is correct. A.
- 19 0. And you recorded it?
- 20 A. That is correct.
- 21 And in it, he -- you told him that you had been ordered
- 22 by -- you had volunteered to Colonel Tuttle to take this
- 23 independent operation and go into Cambodia?
- 24 A. I may have. It's been so long since I listened to that 25 tape, I don't have the least idea.

- 1 Q. And you don't remember what his response was, do you?
- 2 A. No, I do not, but it was probably a negative.
- 3 Q. Negative what?
- 4 A. I mean not saying anything that would give credence to it,
- 5 because it's a top secret covert operation.
- 6 Q. Well, everything you did was top secret, wasn't it?
- 7 A. Only at certain times when I was on those kind of
- 8 missions.
- Q. That kind of mission, that mission, that one mission that you're talking about at An Phu.
- 11 A. That is correct, that was the top secret mission. I've
  12 been in others, too.
- Q. Do you know if these men were cleared for top secret?
- 14 A. I doubt that any of them were.
- Q. But yet you shared this top secret information that you had, with them?
- had, with them?

  A. No. One of their complaints was, justifiably, that I
- 18 shared very little of the secret information with them, and I
- 19 did that so as not to have them knowledgeable about something
- 20 that could cause them trouble with our Government.
- 21 Q. You told them that you were -- in the book -- that you
- 22 were going to take the war to Cambodia, didn't you?
- 23 A. Yes. Have you got a page number on that, sir?
- 24 Q. 40.
- 25 A. Did you say 40, sir?

- 1 0. 40.
- 2 A. Thank you. Yes, I did, and I could hardly take it all by
- 3 myself. I needed the team to work with the Vietnamese CIGD,
- 4 to work with the Regional Forces, to everybody else that
- 5 backed us up, to support any operations.
- 6 Q. Were you cleared for top secret?
- 7 A. Absolutely. Had been since I was a young captain.
- 8 Q. And you then -- then you know that you do not share top
- 9 secret information with anyone not authorized to receive top
- 10 secret information, don't you?
- 11 A. That's right. And I didn't.
- 12 Q. You didn't tell them you were going to take the war into
- 13 Cambodia, that Colonel Tuttle told -- asked you to?
- 14 A. That is correct.
- 15 Q. You did?
- 16 A. I did tell them.
- 17 Q. That's top secret, wasn't it?
- 18 A. They didn't know that.
- 19 Q. Oh, if they don't know it's top secret, you can tell them
- 20 anything?
- 21 A. We were on an independent operation, okay? Everything
- 22 that goes on independent operation is up to the commander of
- 23 that independent operation, which was me. Anything that I
- 24 told them to do, they had to do. And I didn't refer to any
- 25 classification of any kind whatsoever. And if the Government

- 1 wants to take me to court for that, I'd say they should do it.
- Q. You know that they couldn't prove their case, don't you?
- 3 A. I know that it was a top secret covert operation, and they
- 4 | couldn't prove anything. Unless they brought the CIA into it.
- Q. Back to it. You gave top secret information to persons
- 6 who were unauthorized to receive it. Is that correct?
- 7 A. Yes and no. I gave instructions to work with our men and
- 8 the Vietnamese, to attack the area inside of Cambodia that our
- 9 president had illegally given to the enemy.
- 10 Q. And that's your opinion, isn't it?
- 11 A. No.
- 12 Q. Oh, it isn't your opinion?
- 13 A. That's what I know.
- 14 Q. And you know all these orders, Colonel Tuttle's orders
- 15 were illegal?
- 16 A. That's correct. I know what independent covert operations
- 17 are.
- 18 Q. Well, would you please tell us -- you've used that a lot.
- Now tell us what covert independent operation is.
- 20 A. A covert operation is an operation that is completely
- 21 undocumented, and is to do normally an illegal act on behalf
- 22 of the United States of America. The independent part is when
- 23 they just wipe out the chain of command, so if you goof up,
- 24 there's no -- nobody has to stand accountable except the
- 25 commander of that independent operation. It's not what

- anybody or everybody would ever accept. But I happened to
  like that kind of situations. And I accepted it, and I did a
- 3 good enough job that they gave me a medal for it.
- 4 Q. For what?
- A. For all of my work at An Phu, Vietnam, as commander of an
- 6 independent operation.
- 7 Q. Who gave you the medal?
- 8 A. The United States Government. A Bronze Star. My attorney
- 9 has it.
- 10 Q. What period of time did that Bronze Star cover?
- A. The 27 December 1965 to 1 August 1966, unless I'm a day
- 12 off.
- 13 Q. And that's meritorious service?
- 14 A. That is correct.
- 15 Q. But there were no records. How did anybody know that you
- 16 did that, if there were no records whatsoever to verify it?
- 17 A. I guess you'd have to ask them that, sir.
- 18 Q. All right. You tell me who it was, and maybe we can
- 19 subpoena them, okay?
- 20 A. I don't know who it was. I mean, General Westmoreland
- 21 signed the certificate of award. And also Resor, who was the
- 22 Secretary of the Army. I think they're both dead now.
- Q. I know General Westmoreland is.
- 24 A. Yes, sir.
- Q. It was a terrible loss to the State of South Carolina and

- 1 | the United States, wasn't it?
- 2 A. I reserve my right on any comment about General
- 3 Westmoreland.

7

- Q. So let's just, to review, you told these men that you were
- going to be going into Cambodia, that you were going to be
- 6 taking the war to Cambodia, and they yelled, airborne.
  - A. Yes, I'd say they were happy as a hog in slop.
- Q. Were they bound by anything to deny that they were
- 9 involved in a covert operation?
- A. Almost everybody in Special Forces, there's only one other
- 11 man that I know of, and his name is Jerry Wilsey, who was
- 12 willing to go to Congress with me and testify to any of this,
- and we've notified our congressmen. To the best of my
- 14 knowledge there's no other Green Beret that is willing to come
- 15 forward to give the truth about covert operations.
- Q. And the truth as you see it, is that what you're saying,
- 17 or just --
- 18 A. The truth as I know it, sir.
- 19 Q. And Westmoreland was a traitor, wasn't he, to the country?
- 20 A. General Westmoreland, in his book, A Soldier Reports,
- 21 admits having complete knowledge of the enemy sanctuaries
- 22 inside of Cambodia, having complete knowledge of ships going
- 23 up and down the Mekong River carrying enemy goods. But he was
- 24 ordered not to tell Congress. He was ordered not to tell the
- 25 press. That is in his book, A Soldier Reports.

- 1 Q. And you said that he should have resigned his commission.
- 2 A. That is correct. Even according to what he said in his
- 3 book, where he has a quote from Napoleon Bonaparte, where if
- 4 an officer is given a command by the Commander in Chief, which
- 5 would be detrimental to his command, he should resign, rather
- 6 than obey that command.
- 7 Q. You were given an order by Colonel Tuttle to report to
- 8 B-42, and instead of resigning, you just ignored it.
- 9 A. That was an illegal order, and I continued to obey my
- 10 original charge that I volunteered to do, and that was to be
- 11 with the Buddhist Hoa Hoas of An Phu, and my men all stayed
- 12 with me, rather than abandon and betray those people.
- 13 Q. And these noble, bravest men that you know, you would
- 14 believe what they said, wouldn't you?
- 15 A. I believe what they did at the time.
- 16 Q. Do you believe what they say now?
- A. I haven't heard them, except in questionnaires that I've
- 18 read.
- 19 Q. But you believe that they're honorable men; do you?
- A. Not necessarily so, not based on what they've written, and
- 21 contradicted what they had written me in 1988.
- Q. Specifically, what are you referring to that they've
- 23 written you?
- A. Or put on audio tapes. You know, whatever. Whatever way
- 25 that they responded. In March of 1988 I sent them a copy of

the manuscript, which was called the Bassac Bastards. And just so y'all know, that was because we called -- the river was Bassac River, and we called ourselves the Bassac Bastards, as a team. I mean, it's not unusual for Special Forces.

And after I sent that to them, then I got information back, even from Sergeant Taylor, a questionnaire, just on, you know, where he'd been, what he'd done, he'd been in fights when he was young, what he thought of me as a leader. And I got these, plus audio tapes from Sirois, from Strait, and I recorded a telephone conversation between Ray Johnson and myself. And a lot of what's in those different tapes, is in the published book. Based on their word at that time.

Q. Well, we'll probably be hearing that a little later. But just to kind of lay the groundwork for that conversation with General Yarborough, when you told him that you had some CIA officer who said he was a CIA officer, gave you orders to assassinate Prince Sihanouk, that you volunteered to do it. Is that correct?

A. Part of what you said is correct, but the CIA doesn't give any orders to any of us to kill anybody. They ask us if we would do it, because it's our job to do. And you have to volunteer for any assassinations, because there's no statute of limitations on murder. And all you've got to do -- me -- have to do in my own mind, is determine whether I should do it because I believe it's good for the United States of America,

- or not do it because I think they're trying to send me into a suicide mission.
  - Q. And you did not question whether there had been a presidential review and a presidential report on whether the assassination was appropriate or proper or authorized?
- A. When a CIA man comes to you, you assume that it's okay.

  You don't question the CIA.
  - Q. And General Yarborough said that was foolish to assume anything, didn't he?
  - A. General Yarborough was -- I can't say what he was saying.
    What he's saying was not right.
  - Q. Did you tell him that it was not right?

A. I'd have to listen to the telephone conversation. I didn't want to lose General Yarborough on the phone. I was recording him, and I wanted to get information from him on certain things that were important to me on an investigation that I was running, actually at the bequest of a friend of mine in the CIA who chooses not to be known. And I did not want to lose General Yarborough. I even went to the extent, when he said to call Rudy Gresham -- I think that's on the same tape -- I called Rudy Gresham just to see what the party line would be, because basically that's what it was. He told me on the phone, and it's on that recording, he represented everybody but the White House of the United States. And said that I should be -- I think be careful to remain loyal to the

- 1 Green Berets. I'd rather remain loyal to the truth.
- Q. Wasn't he referring to wild accusations that would reflect
- on the integrity of the Special Forces, and that there are
- 4 people every day that were great Green Berets in their own
- 5 mind, and were not even in the Special Forces, and when
- 6 they -- statements such as that are made, that it does reflect
- 7 on the integrity of the Special Forces?
- 8 A. Excuse me, sir, did you say that people that were not
- 9 Green Berets, were Green Berets in their own mind? I don't
- 10 understand that. Did you have a specific question? Could you
- 11 narrow that down?
- 12 Q. Yes, okay. There are imposters, are there not, Green
- 13 Berets?
- 14 A. Even my very best friend, Master Sergeant Gerard B.
- Parmenter, as a sergeant first class, posed as an Air Force
- 16 general.
- 17 Q. But there are people who claim to be Special Forces, that
- 18 claim to have had Special Forces training and Special Forces
- operations and everything, that never were in uniform, aren't
- 20 there?
- 21 A. I've never run into any of those.
- 22 Q. You never have?
- 23 A. No, I have not. I ran into a man that was a veteran,
- 24 military veteran, who had worn a uniform, who was spouting off
- 25 at a memorial party that he was a Green Beret, and I soon

1 squashed that, because he wasn'		squashed	that,	because	he	wasn	t.
-----------------------------------	--	----------	-------	---------	----	------	----

- Q. This is what I'm referring to. And then that type of person can give the Army and the Special Forces a bad name,
- 4 | can't he?

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- A. I would say that's true. I don't know what it has to do
  with what we're doing here today.
- Q. Well, isn't that what Rudy Gresham referred to you in that tape?
- A. No, Rudy Gresham was trying, in his own way, to get me to
  be silent about the truth, to protect the Green Berets, and
  that's why this is happening today.
- 12 Q. He was trying to get you to quit making statements such as 13 you've been making today, wasn't he?
  - A. No, he was trying to quit me from telling the truth.

MR. BOBBY DEAVER: Your Honor, that's all I have at this time. And I wanted to put the tape into evidence.

THE COURT: Well, I think I want to listen to the tape first. We'll hear it right after lunch; how does that sound? All right, ladies and gentlemen of the jury, we can go to lunch right now, and we'll start again at 1:15. Okay? Excuse me, 2:15.

## (Jury excused.)

THE COURT: I'm going to listen to this, so y'all be back at 2:00 o'clock, and I'll listen to your objections about that.

1 (A recess was held at this time.)
2 (Jury not present.)

THE COURT: I'm ready to hear your objection.

MR. BACHRACH: Yes, Your Honor, we apologize for being a bit late. We got hung up on a tape recording snafu downstairs, so I'm sorry we're a little late.

THE COURT: That's all right.

MR. BACHRACH: Our objection is mainly that there are parts of the tape to which we have no objection, to the extent it discusses matters in the book, such as the assassination of Prince Sihanouk and that colloquy.

However, the tape begins discussing orders to kill, which was unrelated to this book. After the colloquy concerning Prince Sihanouk, it then discusses the issue concerning William Pitzer, which gets into Without a Smoking Gun. And we believe that's prejudicial and outside the scope of this case.

It then gets into issues of arms being illegally sent to -- from overseas, back here. Again, that's not in the book. We believe that's prejudicial. And it even raises issues of, at that point in time, whether they were being supplied to organizations such as the Black Panthers or other organizations such as that. And I believe that this type of information, which is irrelevant, is prejudicial and could bias the jury.

So we have no objection, if the tape were pruned down to

address exactly what the issues that are related in the book. But we do have an objection about the entire tape going in, because it's our position that several aspects of the tape do go beyond what is relevant here. It doesn't really shed any light on credibility, and creates issues of a trial within a trial.

If we have to get into defending the issue or the Colonel's involvement in the Martin Luther King assassination and what information he provided on that, which is what we may have to do if this tape goes in, we're going to turn this into a lengthy trial within a trial.

If we have to get into the gun running issues, that would also require the Colonel to get into an investigation he started that goes beyond the pale of what's at issue here, which is whether or not what's reported in Expendable Elite, is true or not.

So that's our objection, Your Honor, that the tape just discusses many issues beyond what's in the book. And like I said, we don't have a -- we're not objecting to the tape in toto. My suggestion would be that if the parties could agree overnight what should go in on the tape and what isn't, what we don't object to, it could be played first thing tomorrow.

THE COURT: Yes, sir.

MR. BEN DEAVER: Your Honor, we'd argue that it's not going to be overly prejudicial to them if the jury hears this

tape in its entirety. And I think it's extremely relevant to the nature of the allegations that -- of libel slander. It goes -- and I think probably Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 405, reputation and opinion, we kind of touch base on that, that this is a tape -- I take it Your Honor listened to the tape in chambers? It's a tape that was solicited by Daniel Marvin, advice or a conversation with General Yarborough. And he gets into these, these realms that are outside.

And that it goes to show that Daniel Marvin has trait, a tendency of not telling the truth. And that General Yarborough, somebody with superior knowledge of those times and what was going on in that area and of military, and it's evident from the tape that General Yarborough -- and I think that the jury can infer from it that -- didn't believe him. Thought that it was a little out -- gone. And kind of the -- like the Jimmy Buffett CD, Tuesday, Thursdays and Saturdays, where he introduces Coconut Telegraph, if you don't want it printed, don't say it. And he said it, Your Honor, and he actually taped it and provided us with copies of the tape, and we would say that it should come in, in its entirety.

THE COURT: Yeah?

MR. BACHRACH: If I may, Your Honor, with respect
to -- I've listened to the tape again closely at lunch. It's
not that General Yarborough is disagreeing with him. In fact,
in many instances, General Yarborough is indicating that there

may be mavericks out there that should be reported, you should report it like you are, Colonel. With -- particularly with the Sihanouk matter. So that -- it's for the jury to decide whether it's true or not.

My concern is the -- if I have a conversation with someone and discuss seven things with them, one of which is relevant to the case and others which aren't, the fact that I had this one discussion, shouldn't allow everything in, because it does create an issue for us, as to having to -- if the plaintiffs are going to challenge us on issues relating to these other topics, this trial could be extended. We have to bring in evidence to show the -- you know, it turns it into a trial within a trial.

And I don't have the case law with me on top of my head, but there is a good amount of case law that trials within a trial are not appropriate.

And I don't believe it does go to his credibility, other than what he talks about with the general as to what occurred in this book. I don't believe it goes to his credibility on what he wrote in this book, without us putting in more evidence to support the statements he's making in this one lengthy discussion he had on several topics.

THE COURT: Okay. I'll overrule part of your objection, I'll grant part of your objection. I listened to the tape. There is a fleeting reference to whatever that

doctor's name was that had the --

MR. BACHRACH: Pitzer.

THE COURT: Pitzer -- at the start of the tape and probably two-thirds of the way through the tape. First reference just mentions his name, which couldn't be prejudicial to anybody, because nobody knows anything about that. The second one is just about the fact that he had, I don't know, the tape, and somebody supposedly killed him and it really was suicide or something like that.

But you can't make any sense out of the General's responses, unless you listen to the question. Okay? I mean, it would just be -- the responses are not -- they're not irrelevant, because the General says essentially, you know, there's no such -- the Special Forces don't do this kind of thing, especially in the United States, and probably nowhere in the world. There's no such thing as blackouts. That's his opinion, that's what he says.

Now, I'll grant your objection when we get to the point of smuggling weapons from Thailand to Detroit. I think that's blatant hearsay, because he's not saying what he was asked to do, he said what someone else may have been doing at the time and he didn't want anything to do with it.

The other three areas that he brings up are things that Colonel Marvin says that he did, or he was asked to do, and the General responds, say well, you know, there may be people

1	out there that do that kind of thing, you ought not to bring
2	this up to your congressman and all that kind of stuff. So I
3	think that is relevant to this case. It's not unduly
4	prejudicial under Rule 403, which as I take your objection.
5	Okay? So you can cut that off right as when you start
6	getting into Thailand.
7	MR. BOBBY DEAVER: And there's a particular point, I
8	believe, Your Honor, that you referred to, that all after
9	that.
10	THE COURT: All after that.
11	MR. BOBBY DEAVER: That would simplify things.
12	THE COURT: Everything after once he mentions
13	Thailand or right before he mentions Thailand, cut it off.
14	MR. BOBBY DEAVER: Before he says he was sent to
15	Thailand
16	THE COURT: That's a good I don't know how you're
17	going to jump up you know, when he says he was sent to
18	Thailand, then we just quit, before he gets into the nuts and
19	bolts of the thing.
20	MR. BACHRACH: Your Honor, and may I ask that other
21	than on Prince Sihanouk, that there be no follow-up questions
22	on the tape; the tape speaks for itself?
23	THE COURT: You know, I don't know what you know.
24	MR. BACHRACH: We'll handle those
25	THE COURT: Yeah, I guess you handle those things. I

1	mean, it, in fact, Mr. Deaver said isn't it a fact that you
2	were asked to what is it do X, and he says yes, then the
3	tape doesn't come in. If he says no, then the tape comes in
4	as a prior inconsistent statement. Coming in one way or the
5	other. I don't understand your objection as to that. You're
6	saying it was overly prejudicial, and so I ruled on, you know
7	what your objection was, okay?
8	MR. BACHRACH: Okay. We'll play it question by
9	question then.
10	THE COURT: That's fine.
11	MR. BACHRACH: And I just want to I accept Your
12	Honor's ruling, but I want to preserve my objection for
13	THE COURT: No problem.
14	MR. BACHRACH: Thank you, Your Honor.
15	THE COURT: For the wise people in Richmond.
16	(Defendants' Exhibit 8 received.)
17	(Jury present.)
18	THE COURT: Hope everybody had a nice lunch.
19	Mr. Deaver, do you want to continue for me, please?
20	MR. BOBBY DEAVER: Ben will play the tape, Your
21	Honor, at this time.
22	THE COURT: That's fine.
23	MR. BEN DEAVER: All right, sir, please the Court.
24	(Tape recording was played.)
25	

- 1 BY MR. BOBBY DEAVER:
- Q. Do you recall that conversation now?
  - A. Absolutely.

3

- 4 Q. Do you agree with General Yarborough that anyone that
- 5 would take and accept an assignment from some rogue, somebody
- 6 that had no authority to do what you say that you were asked
- 7 to do, would be a fool?
- 8 A. I agree with that, but I did not accept an assignment, or
- 9 was never offered an assignment by a rogue.
- 10 Q. You were offered an assignment by Walter Mackem?
- 11 A. Did he tell you he's a rogue?
- 12 Q. Did you say he was a rogue?
- 13 A. No, I did not. I have -- he was a representative of the
- 14 Central Intelligence Agency who came to my camp in Vietnam,
- 15 with the proper credentials, and with plans for an operation
- 16 to kill Prince Sihanouk. And asked me if I would accept that
- 17 mission, and use indigenous forces dressed and equipped to
- 18 look as if they were Viet Cong or North Vietnamese, so the
- 19 blame would be put on the communists for his death, and not on
- 20 us.
- 21 Q. Did you hear General Yarborough say that there were no
- 22 such missions of that nature?
- 23 A. General Yarborough -- Yes, I did. General Yarborough, as
- 24 Commanding General of the U.S. Army John F. Kennedy Special
- 25 Warfare Center, would have nothing to do with operational

- 1 missions, and would be not in the chain of command whatsoever.
- Q. That wasn't his only job, was it?
- 3 A. Well, later -- No, it was not his only job. He has lots
- 4 of jobs. He went from there, I believe, to be Deputy Chief of
- 5 Staff for Intelligence for the United States Army. And that's
- 6 when William Pepper wrote him up in the book Orders to Kill,
- 7 and also an Act of State, and indicated in that book that
- 8 General Yarborough had organized Reserve Special Forces and
- 9 other actions that directly led to the assassination of
- 10 Dr. Martin Luther King.
- 11 Q. And you believed that, don't you? Didn't you?
- 12 A. Yes, I still do.
- 13 Q. And you heard what General Yarborough said, that not one
- 14 word in that book had any authenticity whatsoever, or was
- 15 emitted from his mouth, did he?
- 16 A. I heard that, yes. But would you -- well, I would not, if
- 17 I was General Yarborough, admit to having set up the
- 18 assassination plot to kill Dr. Martin Luther King.
- 19 Q. Well, anyone that denies that they did any assassination
- 20 or set up any plots, automatically, therefore, did do that?
- 21 Is that what you're saying?
- 22 A. No.
- Q. He told you that that whole book was a parcel of lies.
- A. You asked me if anybody, and this is one, just one person
- 25 we're talking about and one plan, and that's Dr. -- General

- 1 Yarborough and Dr. King.
- Q. And in that, he said he had no conversation, he had no
- 3 input, nothing at all in that book quoting him was true?
- 4 A. Yes, that's what he said. And if I was him, I probably
- 5 would have said the same thing to protect myself against
- 6 getting hung.
- 7 Q. You were not trying to protect yourself now from getting
- 8 hung, are you, admitting that you took orders from some CIA
- 9 agent that flew in on a white helicopter and told you to go
- 10 assassinate a head of state of a foreign nation?
- 11 A. Is that a yes or no answer?
- 12 Q. Yes.
- 13 A. I don't know exactly where you were leading there. I did
- 14 write the book purposely to tell the truth. And if I would
- 15 have been brought to court by the CIA or the Defense
- 16 Department, speaking for the special operations command, they
- 17 would have had to admit that everything in the book was true,
- 18 before they could bring me to court. Because the only thing
- 19 they could bring me to court for was releasing, number one,
- 20 the identity of a special -- of a CIA agent, and number two,
- 21 telling about the mission to assassinate Prince Sihanouk.
- 22 They'd have to think that was true, if they wanted to
- 23 court-martial me for telling top secret information.
- Q. Releasing top secret information is a serious offense,
- 25 | isn't it?

- 1 A. That is correct.
- Q. And there was just a vice presidential chief of staff that
- 3 resigned because he was indicted for doing exactly what you're
- 4 talking about, isn't it?
- 5 A. No, he was indicted for doing something that was illegal.
- 6 And I could not be -- I mean, I would have welcomed going to
- 7 court about that situation in Vietnam, because every bit of
- 8 top secret information that's in that book is based on illegal
- 9 operations that are stemmed from our government.
- 10 Q. The Attorney General of the United States, or the JAG of
- 11 the Army, would have to believe what you're saying before they
- 12 would prosecute you though, wouldn't they?
- 13 A. I think the jury -- Oh, before they would prosecute me?
- 14 Q. Yes.
- 15 A. Yeah, I doubt that the Judge Advocate General of the
- 16 United States Army even has had a briefing on top secret
- 17 classified covert operations.
- 18 Q. Well, perhaps we can find out tomorrow.
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 Q. And you say that you were given an assignment or asked to
- 21 assassinate a lieutenant commander of the United States Navy
- 22 at Bethesda Naval Hospital?
- 23 A. That's not in the book, sir.
- 24 MR. BACHRACH: Objection, Your Honor.
- 25 MR. BOBBY DEAVER: Well, that's what he said in the

1 tape.

2 THE COURT: Overruled.

- 3 BY MR. BOBBY DEAVER:
- 4 Q. That's what you said, isn't it?
- 5 A. That's correct. On the 6th day of August, I think it was,
- 6 in --
- 7 Q. 1964?
- 8 A. -- 1965.
- 9 0. '5?
- 10 A. '65 -- You're right, '64, excuse me. No. Let me think a
- 11 minute.
- 12 Q. Okay, it's been a long time.
- 13 A. That was 6th day of August of 1965. I'd been through my
- 14 training, and I was recognized as an outstanding officer that
- 15 could take on and wanted to take on most unusual missions.
- 16 And Colonel C.W. Patten, in fact, he's the one, when I called
- 17 him about this, he asked me to call General Yarborough. Or
- 18 write General Yarborough, but I didn't have his address.
- 19 C.W. Patten called me up to his office, Smoke Bomb Hill,
- 20 Fort Bragg, North Carolina, he was the group commander of the
- 21 6th Special Forces Group Airborne at Fort Bragg. I was the
- 22 logistics officer for the group.
- 23 He asked me to report to a -- to go meet, really, not to
- 24 report to, but to meet a company man under the pines in back
- 25 of the headquarters.

- When the Colonel tells you to do something like that, you do it. I mean, that's the kind of thing we did.
- Q. Yes, when you get an order from a colonel, you comply,
  don't you?
- 5 A. If you're directly working for him, yes.
- 6 0. Unless it is an illegal order?
- 7 A. Well, you can still get a direct order -- well, it -- that
- 8 was not an illegal order. You don't have to obey an illegal
- 9 order; you know that.
- 10 Q. Well, you heard what General Yarborough said, that there
- 11 was none of that authorized.
- 12 A. He would say that. I would have said that if I was him,
- 13 too. But anyway --
- 14 Q. You'd say whatever --
- 15 A. Did you want me to answer that question then?
- 16 Q. Go right ahead, yes.
- 17 A. I met the CIA agent, I looked at his identification. I
- 18 knew that it was false anyway, but I didn't pay any attention
- 19 to what it said. He asked me if I would volunteer to
- 20 assassinate a man who was about to give secrets to the enemy.
- 21 Now, if you were a Green Beret officer trained like me --
- 22 Q. You're not answering the question.
- 23 A. I'm not asking. You -- I'm just making a statement. You
- 24 would probably say yes, like I did.
- 25 But what I didn't know was that it was -- it was to happen

in the United States of America. The way that we were trained was that any time that we were asked to assassinate a man, it didn't matter if it was American or not, but it would be overseas.

I was on orders at the time to go to Vietnam. So I assumed -- maybe I shouldn't assume anything -- but I assumed that that target was somewhere overseas in some headquarters, some -- and had some information he was going to give to the enemy.

So I said yes, I'd accept it. Then I asked who it was and where he was, and he said Lieutenant Commander William Bruce Pitzer, and he's at Bethesda Naval Hospital. Well, I refused the mission.

Now, there was another man -- well, I told him, I said, I couldn't do that, I've got a wife and three children. You'd have to drop me from the rolls today, if I accepted that mission, so I could go off and do it. And then I'd come back when it was done and be picked up. And meanwhile, my wife and family wonder where the heck old Dangerous Dan was.

Well, when you go overseas, they know where you are, they know you're overseas, they know you're in Vietnam. So that's what I assumed it was. But that's not what I was asked. So I refused the mission.

Q. And you say there was another man went with you?

MR. BACHRACH: Objection, Your Honor. This is going

- 1 beyond --
- 2 THE COURT: I'll sustain that one.
- 3 BY MR. BOBBY DEAVER:
- Q. You said in there that -- in that tape to General
- 5 Yarborough, that Lieutenant Commander Pitzer was killed,
- 6 didn't you?
- 7 A. October '66.
- 8 Q. And that his widow and the Department of Navy made an
- 9 investigation and found it was suicide?
- 10 A. I don't believe I said that on the tape.
- 11 Q. You said his widow believed it was suicide.
- 12 A. Yes, that's what she was told. I -- I found the widow and
- 13 spoke to her twice, recorded both conversations. And started
- 14 investigation of my own on it. And I am convinced in my mind
- and in my heart that he was killed by somebody just like me,
- 16 who accepted the assignment.
- 17 Q. Did you hear General Yarborough say that there were no
- 18 such things occurring in this country, that it was not within
- 19 the parameters of Special Forces, not within the parameters of
- 20 the United States Army, and that there had to be a
- 21 presidential finding before anyone could be assassinated
- 22 anyway?
- 23 A. That's the aboveboard -- Yes. That's the aboveboard legal
- 24 policy, but nothing related to covert operations is in
- 25 writing, or is promulgated or is -- doesn't even have to go

through the White House, except assassination of a foreign leader, the president has to personally approve that. But it's all word of mouth.

I would expect General Yarborough to say that, to protect General Yarborough and his family.

MR. BOBBY DEAVER: I don't have any more questions at this time, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay, thank you.

MR. BACHRACH: Your Honor, we'd like to reserve our direct for our case in chief.

THE COURT: That would be fine, no problem.

MR. BACHRACH: Thank you, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Thank you. All right, step down. Thank you.

A. Thank you, sir.

MR. BOBBY DEAVER: Your Honor, we have the transcript of Colonel Tuttle that we'd like to have read to the jury.

And if you just allow us a moment to get them, the mechanics of it.

THE COURT: Like I said before, Colonel Tuttle couldn't be here because of his age and his health, so they took -- the lawyers were in a conference room, Colonel Tuttle was sworn, he gave a statement under oath, just like they took the oath here. It is evidence in this case and you can consider it as evidence in this case as if he were here.

1	MR. BEN DEAVER: May I approach, Your Honor, with a
2	copy of the transcript for the Court?
3	THE COURT: Thank you.
4	MR. BOBBY DEAVER: Your Honor, without objection from
5	Mr. Ogiba, we would ask Mr. Collins to read the deposition.
6	THE COURT: Okay.
7	MR. COLLINS: We're going to do this question and
8	answer?
9	MR. BOBBY DEAVER: We will do it on a question and
10	answer basis.
11	THE COURT: Good. So you're going to be the lawyer
12	and he's going to be Colonel Tuttle.
13	MR. BOBBY DEAVER: Yes, Your Honor.
14	THE COURT: Then on cross-examination Is there any
15	cross-examination?
16	MR. OGIBA: I'll read the cross-examination, if there
17	is.
18	MR. COLLINS: Your Honor, I'd ask the Court indulge
19	me on the Vietnamese wording, I'm not obviously not fluent
20	in the language.
21	MR. BOBBY DEAVER: Neither was the court reporter.
22	The language is bad, the typing, but that's to be expected.
3	This was and I'm reading from the deposition itself.
4	Deposition of William B. Tuttle, taken by the plaintiff, Lake

Wylie, South Carolina, January the 11th, 2005, reported by

25

Jackie Johnson, court reporter/notary public.

In attendance were myself for the plaintiffs, and Jay
Bender -- well, we'll just eliminate that, he's no longer a
party. David Collins, Barry Bachrach by telephone, and also
present were Daniel Marvin by telephone, and, well, Donald
Graves, the video, but that's not involved either.

"Proceedings: Present is Jay Bender --" this was by me.

"Present is Jay Bender, David Collins, Bobby Deaver, Jackie
Johnson and Donald Graves.

First, Colonel Tuttle, if you would, put your hand on the Bible and be sworn. Whereby William B. Tuttle, having been sworn, was examined and testified as follows:

Examination by counsel for the plaintiffs, by Mr. Deaver:

Q. Colonel Tuttle, we are at your residence at Blackberry

Lane in Lake Wiley, South Carolina, this is your present

residence. How long have you resided here?

- A. Since December of '95.
- Q. And your daughter, Louise, is present in and out. I'm going to try to make this very brief, Colonel. Colonel, I know that you're feeling very uncomfortable right now because of the surgery, but can you tell us what your military history is? Were you an enlisted man at any time?
- A. Yes, sir. I was an enlisted man in the Virginia National Guard before I went to the Military Academy.
- Q. And when did you -- at West Point?

- 1 A. Yes, sir.
- Q. When did you attend the Academy?
- A. '41 to '44. It was three-year course then because of the
- 4 war.
- Q. Then when you completed that, what was your first assignment?
- 7 A. My assignment was the infantry school basic training, and
- 8 then I went to parachute school. Then I went to the 13th
- 9 Airborne Division at Camp McCall for troop duty. Then I was
- 10 shipped out as a replacement to the 82nd Airborne, and I
- 11 joined them in the Bulge.
- 12 Q. That was the Battle of the Bulge we're referring to now,
- 13 World War II?
- 14 A. Yes, sir.
- 15 Q. Were you a platoon leader?
- 16 A. I was a platoon leader.
- Q. How long were you in the service as a platoon leader with
- 18 the division?

the 82nd.

- 19 A. Yes, I was -- later on, I stayed with the 508 parachute
- 20 regiment in Frankfurt, when the division went back, and I
- 21 stayed there until 1946. I was a company commander for a
- 22 short time there in the 508. Then I shipped out in 1946 to
- 23 the States, and for a short time I was at Fort Dix as a
- 24 training company commander, and then I went back to Bragg at
- 25

1	Q. And then you had somewhat of a career with the 82nd?
2	A. Yes, I had most of my career with the 82nd. I had been on
3	jump status practically my whole career. I went from there to
4	Korea, company commander in Korea, '52, '53.
5	Q. What unit was that?
6	A. That was 40th division.
7	Q. The National Guard?"
8	MR. COLLINS: Mr. Deaver, I seem to be missing page
9	six.
10	MR. BOBBY DEAVER: You are there?
11	MR. COLLINS: I'm missing page six.
12	MR. BACHRACH: Your Honor, I have page six.
13	(Brief interruption in proceedings.)
14	MR. COLLINS: Top of page six, answer of Colonel
15	Tuttle:
16	A. "Then I came back and joined the test board of bang rocket
17	recoils department. That's why I can't hear anymore. I was
18	on substatus there. Then I went from there to the Citadel for
19	three years as a tack officer. Then I went to Germany. I was
20	operations officer for a battle group, two battle groups,
21	assistant G-3 at the 24th Division. Then I returned to Bragg
22	and I joined the Special Forces in 1962.
23	Then while I was there, the Special Forces, I was a
24	training commander and an MATA director, Military Assistant
25	Training Adviser. That's where the regular advisers. Then I

- 1 shipped out and went as a -- a volunteer for Vietnam. Then I
- 2 got stuck there in Saigon for a few months until I volunteered
- 3 to get down to the Fifth. I joined the Fifth in August of
- 4 '65.
- 5 Q. This is the Fifth Special Forces?
- 6 A. Fifth Special Forces. I became C-4 commander in August
- 7 of -- August '65, and I left in June '66.
- 8 Q. Sir, if you would, please, can you explain what C-4 is?
- 9 A. C-4 is a unit. They use different -- back then there was
- 10 no branch. We had all branches, you know, artillery,
- 11 infantry, even quartermaster.
- 12 Q. But no Special Forces branch?
- 13 A. No Special Forces branch.
- 14 It was unfortunate, because a lot of the artillery
- 15 officers were discriminated against, because they said, you
- 16 haven't had a battery yet. You haven't had any troop duty.
- 17 Even they had an A Team in combat. So it was tough until --
- 18 it became a branch now, of course.
- 19 Q. When did it become a branch, if you recall?
- 20 A. Oh, it must have been -- I was out of the service then.
- 21 Five, maybe seven, eight years ago, ten years ago maybe. I
- 22 retired in January '59.
- Q. So at that time the Special Forces was not really a career
- 24 branch?
- 25 A. It was not a career branch, and unfortunately some

- officers suffered because of that. It was not a career branch on their efficiency reports.
- Q. That's been corrected though. But when you went to
  Detachment C, that was at Can Tho?
- A. That was at Can Tho. That was Cole Kidd with the Fourth Division, an adviser in Fourth Corps, and I saw him quite often.
- 8 Q. You saw whom now?

9

10

13

14

15

16

17

20

21

22

23

24

- A. The division -- I beg your pardon, the Fourth Corps, the corps adviser, the corps adviser, Fourth Corps adviser.
- 12 Q. And you were directly under the Fourth Corps from -12 actually the Fifth Group was?
  - A. I was under operational control of the Fifth in Nha Trang,
    way up there in Nha Trang, but I was administratively I'll say
    detached to the Fourth Corps, like all the C Teams were. But
    operational control of the -- we conducted our own operations
    and just coordinated with the corps adviser.
- 18 Q. Now, beneath the Detachment C, you had B detachments and A
  19 detachments?
  - A. I had two B detachments and 15 A detachments, plus a Mike Force detachment. So I had a total of 16. It was primarily border surveillance, 170 kilometers from the Cambodia border, from Third Corps boundary to the Bay of Siam. And I had a team on Phu Pai Island, and I had a team in Ca Mau, and I had two -- several in the Center Mountains area.

- 1 Q. And one of those units was A-424 at An Phu?
- 2 A. That was at An Phu that Captain Marvin took over.
  - Q. Before he took over, were there any plans for the dissolution of the detachment at An Phu?
  - A. No, there was no dissolution before. I mean, it was all known that An Phu, being a quiet sector, didn't have a full team. It would be the first ones to go to regional force.

Now, regional force, you know, were the province chiefs' main troops and under MAT program. They paid them, you know, all that and clothed them. Where as CIDC, they were under the CIA, and the CIA — the A Team commanders had a big job.

They're not only the commander of, say, four or five CIDG companies, but they handled between 20 and \$30,000 worth of piasters every month. It was a big job.

So when you go from CIDG first class under the CIA, where you had your own uniforms, weapons and pay, ammo, everything was different. So that's why Marvin, being handy in logistics, being a quartermaster officer, was sent there from Nha Trang. Nha Trang sent him down there and told him, this is the man to handle the transition and make it smooth as pie. Because he was an expert in logistics and accountability and so on.

- Q. Do you recall December 1965, around Christmas, whenever he arrived at Can Tho?"
  - MR. BOBBY DEAVER: Mr. Bachrach objected.

MR. BACHRACH: I'll waive the objection.

2 THE COURT: Okay.

3 BY MR. BOBBY DEAVER:

Q. "Question: Go ahead.

A. Yes, sir, I do. And there was nothing particularly unique about it. I mean, just they have an open mess area. You eat with a lot of people. So if he said he had breakfast with me, okay, he said he had breakfast with me. I had breakfast with a lot of A Teams coming in from the field. It was an open mess. So there was nothing particular that I can recall that was special about that breakfast.

MR. BOBBY DEAVER: If you would bear with me a moment, I'd like to have this marked as Deposition 1."

MR. BOBBY DEAVER: And we can skip over that. Let's go to the next question.

Q. "I'm going to show you -- in fact, I'll exchange with you."

MR. BOBBY DEAVER: On the next page?

MR. COLLINS: You're talking about page 11?

MR. BOBBY DEAVER: Page 11.

A. "Yes, I purchased this in --

MR. BOBBY DEAVER: Deposition Exhibit 1 is a copy of Expendable Elite by Daniel Marvin, a book, and Mr. Bender's examining it now. Mr. Bender: No objection to the book. The extraneous paper and the underlining are not part of the

- original. The book only is introduced into evidence, and the cover.
- Q. So I'll show you what's marked as Deposition Exhibit 1 and ask if you're familiar with this.
- 5 A. Yes, sir, I've read it.
- 6 Q. What is this now, for the record?
- 7 A. Oh, that's the Expendable Elite, written by Daniel Marvin.
- Q. I'm going to ask you some questions about that.
- Now, you have your personal copy there?
- 10 A. Yes, sir.
- 11 Q. Where did you acquire that?
- 12 A. At Rock Hill at Books-a-Million, South Carolina.
- 13 Q. Was that right after it was published?"
- MR. BACHRACH: You can go down to line 11.
- 15 A. "It's just a copy that I -- when I heard it was published,
- 16 I ordered a copy. That's all it is, a regular copy.
- 17 Q. Have you had an opportunity to read it?
- 18 A. Yes, sir.
- 19 Q. I'd like to ask you some questions about that, if you
- 20 will, please. Did you see any reference in that Expendable
- 21 Elite to you, yourself, by name? Were you referred to in that
- 22 book?
- A. Yes, I was referred to many times in the book. And I am
- 24 particularly offended by the fact that it starts out, really,
- 25 with Captain Marvin's timing -- stating in the book that I

1	gave him a secret order to invade Cambodia and assassinate and
2	kill Prince Sihanouk of Cambodia. That's really an outrageous
3	lie."
4	MR. BOBBY DEAVER: And Mr. Bachrach moved to strike.
5	MR. BACHRACH: I'll waive my motion to strike.

"MR. BOBBY DEAVER: Yes, you will have plenty of time to object to any of his testimony at a later time. We're not going to try to --" And then you reserve your motions.

## Colonel Tuttle?

MR. COLLINS: Do you want me to go with the extraneous banter?

MR. BOBBY DEAVER: After Mr. Bachrach reserving his motion. Line ten?

A. "Knock it off. Line ten: Knock it off. Who is that?

MR. BOBBY DEAVER: This is Barry Bachrach. He's the attorney for Dan Marvin.

A. He keeps chirping in all the time."

MR. BOBBY DEAVER: Just for the -- your understanding -- we have a speaker phone, and Mr. Bachrach was questioning him and attending -- and Mr. Marvin were attending this deposition through telephone. So that's why some of this doesn't make a lot of sense. But that's the -- what's going on.

"MR. BOBBY DEAVER: Well, he's just a part of this deposition, so get used to it.

1 A. Okay.

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

- 2 I understand. I got it. I've got it."
- MR. BOBBY DEAVER: Oh, you say it. You read the I've 3 4 got it.
- 5 A. "I've got it. I've got it.
  - MR. BOBBY DEAVER: And the admissibility of it, all of those things are reserved.
  - Q. Colonel, I'm going to quote from Chapter One on page one and just read this. 'Lieutenant Colonel Tuttle -- this is all in quotes -- the commander of all Special Forces in Fourth Tactical Groups of South Vietnam spoke in a whisper, quote, 'Dan, if you take command of A-424 and accept this top secret mission, you'll be on your own. When you leave this room, it
- will be as if we never met. We can't and won't stand behind 15 you, if you are caught doing what I'm about to tell you to do.
- 16 Got it, Captain?'
- A. That's the caption in the book and it's a complete lie. 17
- 18 Q. Does it have any implications to you and your career and 19 your reputation?
- A. Well, I would say that now that it's widely disseminated, 20 they must think what the hell is going on with the Special 21
- 22 Forces there. Yeah, it sure has.
- Q. And Colonel Tuttle in particular, right? 23
- 24 A. In particular, yes, when I had command of C-4.
- Are you familiar with Article 90 of the Uniform Code of 25

1 Military Justice?

3

- 2 A. Well, basically, yeah.
  - Q. Does it have anything to do with disobedience of orders?
  - A. Well, disobedience of orders in what respect do you mean?
- 5 MR. BOBBY DEAVER: Well, let me read this portion of
- 6 | it, and I will put this into evidence as Exhibit 2, and I'll
- 7 explain that to you in just a moment, Barry. Let Mr. Bender
- 8 examine it and I will state what it is. It's a photocopy of
- 9 the Uniform Code of Military Justice, Article 90, the
- 10 substance of the charge and the elements of the offense.
- 11 Mr. Bender: No objection.
- 12 Q. Would you read the highlighted portion of the Article 90,
- 13 please?
- A. Any person subject to this chapter who strikes a
- 15 superior --
- 16 Q. No, excuse me. Just this, the highlighted portion.
- 17 A. Oh, willfully disobeys a lawful command of his superior
- 18 commissioned officer, shall be punished, if the offense was
- 19 committed in time of war, by death or such other punishment as
- 20 a court-martial may direct.
- 21 Q. Thank you. Now, were there any orders of superior
- 22 officers in existence at that time that prohibited exactly
- 23 what Dan Marvin has stated that you and he conspired to do?"
- MR. BACHRACH: Your Honor, I will maintain this
- 25 objection, because the order's never been introduced into

- 1 evidence.
- 2 THE COURT: I'll overrule that objection. Go ahead.
- 3 MR. BOBBY DEAVER: Go ahead.
- A. "Well, all I can state is that he made a statement in his book that's a complete lie.
- Q. Well, was that statement that you were conspiring with him to disobey or to avoid any orders that were already standing orders that were in existence about border crossings?
- 9 A. Yes. We were violating -- everybody knew we weren't
  10 supposed to go into Cambodía. Everybody knew that, and that
  11 would, of course, be violating that order.
- 12 Q. There was a general order in effect prohibiting that?
- 13 A. A general order in effect. That's affirmative.
- Q. And are you familiar with international law that prohibits
  one person going into -- a citizen or one person going into
- 16 another country, crossing that line --
- 17 A. Yes, sir.
- 18 Q. -- without permission of the country to which you're
- 19 visiting?
- 20 A. Yes, sir.
- 21 Q. And that was in effect at the time, was it not?
- 22 A. Yes, it was.
- 23 Q. And was there also a Special Forces regulation that
- 24 prohibited a member of Special Forces to use their diplomatic
- 25 passport to go into any country that was not approved by

- 1 Special Forces?
- 2 A. That's correct.
- 3 Q. In effect, Dan Marvin is saying that you and he conspired
- 4 to violate those standing orders, wasn't he?
- 5 A. I understand --
- 6 Q. What was Daniel Marvin suggesting in there?
- 7 A. Yes.
- 8 Q. What was he suggesting?
- 9 MR. BOBBY DEAVER: He's objecting to that.
- Q. What was he objecting, by stating this, that you and he
- 11 were doing? Go ahead.
- 12 A. He was stating that I was giving him a secret order that
- 13 nobody would know about, which was entirely wrong, to invade
- 14 Cambodia and assassinate Prince Sihanouk. Of course it's a
- 15 total lie.
- 16 Q. And you remember when you read about that Article One,
- 17 what the maximum punishment was -- would have been?
- 18 A. They said death, yes.
- 19 Q. Under the UCMJ. Thank you. Now, there's a reference also
- 20 to page nine of the book.
- 21 A. What about it?
- 22 Q. I believe you have it highlighted as well. If you'll go
- 23 to that highlighted portion, there's a reference to Colonel
- 24 C.W. Patten?
- 25 A. Patten never mentioned it. I knew Colonel Patten. Never

- 1 mentioned him at all.
- 2 Q. You had never spoken with anyone concerning Dan Marvin?
- 3 A. Prior to Vietnam?
- 4 Q. Yes.
- 5 A. That's correct.
- 6 Q. Or prior to his arrival?
- 7 A. Not prior to his arrival either. But he was supposed to
- 8 be the real slick operator, because he's a quartermaster
- 9 officer commissioned in that field, and an expert when -- as I
- 10 said before, the conversion would not be an easy job.
- 11 Q. And by conversion, you're talking about --
- 12 A. From CIDG to Regional Force.
- 13 Q. And that would mean taking the Special Forces' control
- 14 away?
- 15 A. Control away, pay and everything. Pay was an important
- 16 thing, too. See, ARVN wanted to get in the pay business.
- 17 Because they --
- 18 Q. Now, ARVN is the Army --
- 19 A. Army of Vietnam. They wanted to get in the business of
- 20 coming in and paying them because, well, there's money in
- 21 that.
- 22 Q. Now, he states in the book, and I'm going to read this, in
- 23 the interest of time, quote, 'I knew then and there that he
- 24 had discussed my modus operandi with Colonel Patten. In
- 25 Patton's final evaluation of my performance as his chief

- logistics officer in 1965, he used almost those exact words in discussing my attributes that were, as he wrote in the formal
- 3 report, quote, well suited for unconventional warfare.'
- A. Never heard it before. We're not going to go through page

  by page of this book, are we?
  - Q. We're going to do some of it.
- 7 A. Good God.

- Q. And I will, because I know that you are feeling horrible,
- 9 we'll skip some of them. The main ones that I'm going to make
- 10 reference to --
- 11 A. Well, how about the one where he said he relieved --
- 12 Q. On page 275?
- 13 A. -- relieved Lieutenant Garcia, the Mike Force commander.
- 14 That never happened. He was an outstanding officer. He also
- 15 said that his counterpart, the LLDB, the Vietnamese Special
- 16 Forces, responsible for relieving Marvin's predecessor, and
- 17 that was not true. What was his name? Hell, that would ruin
- 18 his career."
- MR. BOBBY DEAVER: Then there was an objection by
- 20 Mr. Bachrach.
- 21 Q. "And -- question: Did Marvin make any reference to a Mike
- 22 Force commander that was relieved?
- 23 A. Yes. In the book he said that he had relieved -- he may
- 24 relieve the Mike Force commander, Lieutenant Garcia, the
- 25 Reaction Force.

- 1 Q. And that is not true?
- 2 A. It's not true.
- Q. Regarding Lieutenant Garcia, was he not the only -- he was
- 4 the only Latino officer in C-4, wasn't he?
- 5 A. Only Latino?
- 6 Q. Yes.
- 7 A. Oh, no, I mean, you're going back.
- 8 Q. Excuse me. Excuse me. Only Latino officer in the Mike
- 9 Force.
- 10 A. Oh, I don't know about that. I mean, officers came and -
- 11 gone. I mean, you can't -- I can't say that, no.
- 12 Q. If you will, please, go to page 275. If you will, look at
- 13 the summary heading, we'll call that, for Chapter 13,
- 14 Apocolypse at An Phu.
- 15 A. Yes, that chapter talks about us deserting the --"
- MR. BOBBY DEAVER: Hoa Hoas.
- 17 MR. COLLINS: Thank you, Mr. Deaver.
- 18 A. "80 percent of the troops of the CIDG were --"
- 19 MR. COLLINS: One more time?
- 20 MR. BOBBY DEAVER: Hoa Hoas.
- 21 A. "-- Hoa Hoas, a very fine religious outfit.
- Q. Sir, are we on the same page, 275?
- 23 A. Yeah.
- 24 Q. Well, if you will --
- 25 A. We're talking about --

- Q. -- read the highlighted portion. The highlighted portion on page 275, if you will, and just read it into the record, 1300 hours."
  - MR. BOBBY DEAVER: There was an objection by Mr. Bachrach: "You're going to have him read it into the record?"

And you -- then you.

- A. "He said, in other words, I am even ordered to abandon --
- Q. Excuse me. To make it simple, if you would just read the portion of the book that you have highlighted there at the top of the chapter, starting with 1300 hours.
- A. '1300 hours, 17 June '66. Marvin Woolley came running in from the communications bunker and interrupted my meeting with John Strait and Jim Taylor. He stepped in front of me, a message in his hand. That is a hot one, I knew you'd want it right away. I took it and read it aloud. Secret. To Marvin. Urgent. Repeat. Urgent. Must depart An Phu and report to B-42 NLT 2000 today. Advised. SGD Tuttle.'

That's an obvious lie, because I was gone by 17 June. I wasn't even in Vietnam. I was back in the States.

- Q. You were not in Can Tho or in command of C-4 or --
- 22 A. No, I wasn't in command of C-4.
  - Q. -- on the 17 of June?
  - A. I wasn't even there. And there's proof of that on my orders, special orders.

- Q. So that order for him to take A-424 and abandon An Phu, 1
- 2 that is the same kind of bull that we've been talking about
- 3 earlier?
- 4 A. Yes."
- 5 MR. BOBBY DEAVER: And objection to the form of the 6 question. I suppose, in retrospect, it was.
- 7 Q. "So this is an attribution of a secret message that you --8 that this is an attribution of a secret message that you sent
- to Marvin on June 17th?
- 10 A. I couldn't have sent it. I wasn't there.
- 11 Q. Let's look at page 277. There's a reference to another 12 message. If you would, read that, what it states.
- A. I read it aloud: 'Secret to Marvin. Urgent. Group 13
- 14 headquarters received priority message from LLDB Ky, that's
- 15 Premier Ky, has withdrawn amnesty from CIDG of An Phu. All
- 16 will go before military tribunal after conversion to Regional
- 17 Forces. Do nothing until advised. SGD Tuttle.' Absolute
- 18 lie.

- 19 Q. And then on page 278 there's another reference to you on
- 20 about the middle of the page, and what does that reference?
- 21 Which one are you referring to, your team would be --
- 22 Q. Are you on page 278?
- 23 I'm on 278. A.
- 24 The paragraph that starts, Colonel Tuttle.
- 25 'Colonel Tuttle has told me nothing, Thieu-ta, just to

- wait for orders from him. Do you have any idea what's going on?' None of this makes sense.
  - Q. Well, was there anything going on between you and An Phu and A-424?
- 5 A. Nothing.

3

4

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

23

- Q. Then on page 279 there are a series of secret urgent
  messages attributed between you and Marvin. When you read
  the --
- 9 A. Yes, it says --
- Q. Question: I think the question was, will you read the message, the referenced messages?
  - A. Yes. 'Secret. Urgent. To Tuttle. Regarding your message this day on amnesty. I stand with Major Le. We demand total amnesty for Strikers. Southward regiment poised to attack within next three days. An Phu is closed to all us and RVN outsiders until amnesty is restored. SGD Marvin.'"
  - MR. BOBBY DEAVER: That that you read as us, would you read it just the letters?
    - MR. COLLINS: I'm sorry.
  - MR. BOBBY DEAVER: An Phu is closed to all US.
- 21 A. "Is closed to all US and RVN outsiders until amnesty is restored."
  - MR. BOBBY DEAVER: Signed Marvin?
- 24 A. "SGD Marvin.
  - Q. Now, is that saying that there are no US forces of any

- 1 nature are able to go into An Phu unless Marvin concedes it?
- 2 A. Yes, sir, that's what he's saying.
- 3 Q. Are you familiar with the UCMJ, the Uniform Military Code
- 4 of Justice on mutiny? Have you had an opportunity to examine
- 5 Article 94 of the UCMJ?
- 6 A. Any person subject to this --
  - Q. Excuse me. Have you had an opportunity to view this?
- 8 A. Yes.

- 9 MR. BOBBY DEAVER: I want to put this into
- 10 evidence --
- 11 A. Oh, sure.
- 12 MR. BOBBY DEAVER: -- as Deposition Exhibit 3. Thank
- 13 you. These are just specifications.
- Q. Now, if you will recall, we just read the message that was
- 15 attributed to you that told him and A-424 to leave An Phu no
- 16 later than 2000 hours and report to B-42, and then you just
- 17 read that he is denying that he's not going to do that, or not
- 18 even you or the Army or either nation will be able to come
- 19 into where he is holed up at An Phu?
- 20 MR. BOBBY DEAVER: The message of the first one was
- 21 on June -- 17th of June. I think we related that. This
- 22 follows a series of messages, and it's on the 17th as well, I
- 23 believe, of June.
- 24 A. And I was long gone. I wasn't even there.
- Q. Now, let me just read this and see if this is Article 94

- 1 that you made reference to. 'Any person subject to this 2 chapter who, with intent to usurp or override lawful military 3 authority refuse, in concert with any other person, to obey orders or otherwise do his duty or fails to do his utmost to 4 5 prevent and suppress a mutiny or sedition being committed in 6 his presence, or fails to take all reasonable means to inform 7 his superior commissioned officer or commanding officer of a 8 mutiny which he knows or has reason to believe is taking 9 place, is guilty of a failure to suppress or report a mutiny.' 10 Now, those are two separate offenses, right?
  - A. Right.

11

20

21

22

23

24

- .12 Q. Does this series of messages, does that attribute mutiny
  13 to the whole A-424 unit?
- 14 A. It sure does.
- Q. And what is the penalty for --
- A. Well, the penalty there, by death or such punishment as court-martial may direct.
- 18 Q. Are you reading from Article 90?
- 19 A. Yes, I am reading from Article 90.
  - Q. Now, if you'll go down next was a message -- well, we don't need to include that, because it's between the CIDG company commander.
    - Then on page 281 in the middle of the page, is there another secret message that's attributed to you?
  - A. Yes. It said, "Secret. To Marvin. Urgent. Repeat.

- 1 Urgent. A-424 must depart An Phu and report to B-42 NTL 2000
- 2 hours today. B-42 advised. SGD Tuttle."
- 3 Q. Did you ever send that message?
- 4 A. No, sir, I never did.
- 5 Q. Or anything similar to it?
- 6 A. No, never did.
- 7 Q. If, just hypothetically, if a commander of one of your
- 8 detachments had sent any messages that he was doing, as Marvin
- 9 has alleged that he did at An Phu, in disobeying the orders
- 10 and ordering no one else to come into the command, what would
- 11 you have done?
- 12 A. I'd have him court-martialled.
- 13 Q. And would you put someone else in command to relieve him?
- 14 A. I sure would.
- 15 Q. Now, if you'll turn to page 282, there's another message,
- 16 secret message attributed to Marvin. Would you read that in
- 17 paragraph four?
- 18 A. It says, 'Secret. To Tuttle. Amnesty must be granted
- 19 Strikers of An Phu. Will advise later today if I will send
- 20 team members to B-42. I am staying. SGD Marvin."
- 21 MR. BOBBY DEAVER: The SGD is for signed.
- 22 MR. COLLINS: Signed Marvin, excuse me.
- 23 MR. BOBBY DEAVER: Just for clarification.
- Q. "Did you ever receive that message?
- 25 A. Never received that message.

- 1 Q. We'll just leave it at that.
- 2 A. That's it.

- Q. And that was on page 282.
- On 289 there's another of a series of radio -- secret
  radio messages that are attributed to you and to Marvin. If
  you will read --
- 7 A. 'Message. Secret. To Marvin. Situation very dangerous.
- 8 SF has no control. Your team must leave An Phu ASAP. Report
- 9 to Colonel Brewer. No amnesty. ARVN under orders to take
- 10 control of An Phu NLT 201500 June --'" I guess that is "'-- No
- 11 danger for your movement to B-42 location this date. Urgent
- 12 reply requested. From Tuttle.'" Of course, I wasn't even
- 13 there then. I couldn't have sent that.
- 14 Q. And then there's a response to you at the bottom of page
- 15 289.
- 16 A. "Secret. To Tuttle. Your message to abandon Strikers.
- 17 Suggest SF gain control of situation. We wait with Major Le
- 18 for amnesty. Signed Marvin."
- 19 Q. And what's the substance of that message?
- 20 A. I never sent that. I wasn't even there.
- 21 Q. Well, this was a message to you from Marvin that you just
- 22 read?
- 23 A. Yes.
- Q. What is the substance of that message?
- 25 A. Abandoning Strikers. We never did have any intent ever to

- 1 abandon Hoa Hoas."
- MR. BOBBY DEAVER: Hoa Hoas.
- 3 MR. COLLINS: Hoa Hoas.
  - Q. "None of that occurred?
- 5 A. Never occurred.
- 6 Q. Let's see then on page 290 -- 291. Excuse me. It starts
- 7 at 290. Yes. If you would read that portion on 291 -- excuse
- 8 me -- 290.
- 9 A. Whereabouts on 290?
- 10 Q. It was on 289 and 290. I believe I have it highlighted
- 11 there.

- 12 A. Marvin and --
- 13 Q. Let's go on to 360.
- 14 A. Well, Major Le was, of course, head of the -- he was the
- 15 LLDB commander, and he said, Major Le was given a copy of my
- 16 message. This is Marvin talking. And he quickly wrote a note
- 17 and had it hand carried to me. It read, men are very brave to
- 18 stay with us -- in other words, the Special Forces were very
- 19 brave to stay with us -- in this very dangerous situation.
- 20 Thank you.
- Q. Well, there's a reference on page 306 to a conversation
- 22 between Colonel Brewer and Dan Marvin, where Marvin asked --
- 23 well, what was it he told Colonel Brewer about you?"
- 24 MR. BOBBY DEAVER: And an objection and --
- 25 Q. "Can you read line 11?

- 1 A. 'Colonel Brewer seemed to be paying attention, as I added,
- 2 I knew all along that one day we'd have this confrontation.
- 3 It was inevitable.'
- Q. Now go to the bottom of that paragraph.
- A. 'Ask Colonel Tuttle about what he sent me to do in An Phu
- 6 now that we're out there. Maybe he'll tell you the truth,
- 7 maybe he won't.' Total lie.
- 8 Q. And if you told Colonel Brewer that you had sent Marvin
- 9 out there to do, what was it?
- 10 A. Well, you mean as far as --
- 11 Q. To An Phu. Why was he sent to An Phu?
- A. Well, he was sent to An Phu to be responsible for a smooth
- 13 transition to Regional Force.
- Q. Now, An Phu was a -- Can you describe what type of
- activity was going on at An Phu during the period of time made
- 16 reference to in the book?

- 17 A. Well, An Phu was -- I had, you know, some outstanding team
- 18 captains, Joe Parent and Captain Leo Donker and Captain
- 19 Shelton, all A Team commanders, one at Foopah Island, one
- 20 there in Kai Kai, another one at Tuinon, all KIA. Never
- 21 mentioned them at all. He mentioned about Major Arnn getting
- 22 killed by snipers. I got there right after it happened, and
- 23 he was killed by remotely fired land mine. I mean, it goes on
- 24 and on, the book is just riddled with lies.
  - MR. BOBBY DEAVER: I'm going to put two more, if you

1 will, please, two more exhibits into evidence."

MR. BOBBY DEAVER: And they were marked Exhibits 4 and 5 for identification. Exhibit 4, it's for the accusations that were made against Colonel Tuttle. And Mr. Bender wanted to know -- didn't see a heading. What is that? At the top, UCMJ Article 92, failure to obey order or regulation. And then Article Five would be Article 92. Exhibit 5 would be Article 92. It would be. And there was no objection.

Q. "I'm going to just show them to you for you to identify

- them, and then we'll have them put into evidence. This is
  Deposition Exhibit 4 and 5.
- A. Yes.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

1.5

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

- Q. And these are articles of -- Uniform Code of Military
  Justice articles that he's accused you of violating.
- A. Yes.

MR. BOBBY DEAVER: The Colonel just showed me, he wants to know if you're about finished, and his health is not going to permit much more.

- A. I just want to make one final statement that --
- Q. Let me first ask a couple of other questions. Did you have any communication, e-mails or written communications about Marvin's suggesting or informing people that you were
- 23 deceased?
- 24 A. I don't know.
- Q. Then let me -- two other points, if you will, please.

- Have you been damaged, do you feel, by these libelous statements?
- 3 A. Yes, there's no question about it, it's hurt my
- 4 reputation. I spent 30 some odd years in the Army. Damn 5 right it has.
- 6 Q. What have the damages -- been the damages on that?
- 7 A. Well, it's hurt my character for sure, and --
- 8 Q. Has it harmed you professionally?
- 9 A. Well, yes. Of course, I'm retired now, but it makes us
- 10 all in Special Forces look sort of ridiculous, really.
- 11 Q. If you could have sold your reputation, what would have
- 12 been the value to you of that?
- 13 A. Oh. You know what --
- 14 Q. Monetary?
- 15 A. Your reputation is your whole life, worth untold millions.
- Q. Now, one other final question and I'll be through. Marvin
- 17 filed a counterclaim against you in this lawsuit, alleging
- 18 that you had libeled him.
- 19 Have you ever made any statement, verbally or written, of
- 20 a libelous nature about Dan Marvin?
- 21 A. To him?
- 22 Q. Communicated to --
- 23 A. No, I have not communicated with him at all.
- Q. Or to anyone else that was a statement of a libelous
- 25 nature about him?

1 A. No.

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

MR. BOBBY DEAVER: Thank you, sir."

MR. BOBBY DEAVER: All right. So the examination by

4 counsel for the defendant by Mr. Bachrach.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. COLLINS: How are you, Mr. Bachrach?

MR. BACHRACH: Good, thank you. I hope I don't chirp

up here. We all set?

MR. COLLINS: Colonel Tuttle is ready.

BY MR. BACHRACH:

- 11 Q. "Colonel Tuttle, I'd like you to look at Exhibit 1, which
- 12 is the book Expendable Elite. Can you point to me exactly
- 13 where at the time Captain Marvin was told by you to go into
- 14 Cambodia?
- 15 A. If you're stating that I said, 'Now you're crossing the
- 16 line of departure into Cambodia,' hell, no. He was told --
- 17 it's in the first page of the book.
- 18 Q. What was said exactly?
- 19 A. At the first page of the book he talks about getting a
- 20 secret mission going into Cambodia and assassinating Prince
- 21 | Sihanouk.
- 22 Q. Did he say that that secret mission came as an order from
- 23 you?
- 24 A. Did he ever same say it came from me?
- 25 Q. Yes. Does it say anywhere in the book that you gave him

- 1 an order to go into Cambodia and assassinate Prince Sihanouk?
- 2 A. I'll have to do some researching for the exact words.
- 3 Q. Well, sir, you earlier today said on your direct testimony
- 4 | that Colonel Marvin stated in the book that you ordered him to
- 5 go into Cambodia and assassinate Prince Sihanouk. I challenge
- 6 you to show me anywhere in the book where that statement is
- 7 made.
- 8 A. Well, let's say it's -- of course it's not there, that I
- 9 said before, you will now cross the line of departure and do
- 10 this. It's implied in the book that I told him that.
- 11 Q. Sir, is there anywhere in the book where it's expressly
- 12 stated that you gave Colonel Marvin, then Captain Marvin, an
- 13 order to go into Cambodia and assassinate Prince Sihanouk?
- 14 A. All I can say is it's implied in the book well enough. I
- 15 didn't say we need to cross the LLD or anything like that, no,
- 16 I didn't.
- 17 Q. And Colonel Marvin never said in the book that you gave
- 18 him the order, does he?
- 19 A. As far as I'm concerned, the book implies that I told him
- 20 to go into Cambodia. That's the way I understand it. That's
- 21 the way I understand it, period.
- 22 Q. Did you read the entire book?
- 23 A. I sure did.
- 24 Q. And you indicated that you or your forces ultimately
- 25 reported to the CIA, correct?

- 1 A. What did he say?
- Q. Your forces ultimately reported to the CIA.
- 3 A. Nothing. Nothing from the CIA.
- 4 Q. Did your forces, while you were in Vietnam, have any
- 5 involvement with the CIA?
- 6 A. Me involved with the CIA?
- 7 Q. Yes.
- 8 A. No, not a single thing. The only thing we got -- the
- 9 Special Force got involved was they got the money from the CIA
- 10 to pay the troops. That's all.
- 11 Q. Did you, while you're in Vietnam, have any conversations
- 12 with anyone from the CIA?
- 13 A. A CIA officer was injured severely when Major Arnn was
- 14 killed with the sergeant major, but he was just going on a
- 15 recon with Major Arnn. He got severely injured. He didn't
- 16 get killed.
- 17 Q. Who was that CIA agent?
- 18 A. I don't know who it was.
- 19 Q. Do you know Walter Mackem?
- 20 A. No.
- 21 Q. Have you ever heard the name Walter Mackem?
- 22 A. No.
- 23 Q. Isn't it true that Colonel Marvin indicates in the book
- 24 that it was Mr. Mackem who gave him the order to assassinate
- 25 Prince Sihanouk?

- 1 A. No, I don't understand that, no.
- 2 Q. So you don't recall in the book that Colonel Marvin wrote,
- 3 that it was a CIA agent who provided him the order to
- 4 assassinate Prince Sihanouk?
- 5 A. No, I don't recall.
- 6 Q. You contend that the book states you were the one who gave
- 7 | him the order to go into Cambodia and assassinate Prince
- 8 Sihanouk.
- 9 A. Yes, I'm concerned about that. I am. No one knew about
- 10 it.
- 11 Q. If the book doesn't say that, you're wrong, right?
- 12 A. It's just him and me. Nobody else. That's what he says.
- 13 Q. Did you have any Special Forces training?
- 14 A. Have what?"
- MR. BACHRACH: And then it goes on.
- 16 A. "Yes, I did, I went through the Special Forces officer
- 17 course.
- 18 Q. Where was that?
- 19 A. 1962.
- 20 | O. Where?
- 21 A. Fort Bragg.
- Q. What training did that entail?
- 23 A. Trained for what?
- Q. What training did that entail?
- 25 A. It entailed weapons, demolition, communications,

- parachuting into an area and handling guerrillas, and
  directing guerrillas, advising guerrillas. Yeah, I graduated
  in December of 1962 in that course there. It was an officer
  course. Yeah, it was pretty intensive training.
- Q. Did you go through counterinsurgency special warfare staff officer course?
  - A. Special warfare officer -- staff officer course?
- Q. Let me restate that. Did you go through counterinsurgency
  special warfare staff officer course?
- 10 A. Yes. That's what I went to. That's what I graduated in 1962.
  - Q. And specifically, what were you taught in the counterinsurgency special warfare course?
- 14 A. What did he say?"

7

12

13

15

16

17

- MR. BACHRACH: Mr. Deaver said, "What were you taught about the counterinsurgency in that officer course?
- A. We talked -- it was -- we talked a lot about counterinsurgency."
- MR. BACHRACH: Mr. Deaver said, "What were you taught?" And then I also chimed in, "What were you taught?
- A. We were taught methods of learning -- getting the native
  on your side, communicating with the local populous, making
- 23 friends with them so that we can work our way into the
- 24 infrastructure of the guerrilla forces and so on.
- Q. Were you taught assassination techniques?

- 1 A. No. By the way, I --"
- MR. BACHRACH: Just then you went on.
- A. "I'm going to go ahead and say that I saw that in the
- 4 book. And even though I was director of the MATA, military
- 5 and training adviser course, and also the training group
- 6 commander for enlisted men and executive officer of the Fifth,
- 7 I never heard of an assassination course at Fort Bragg.
- 8 Q. Sir, you never heard of an assassination course at Fort
- 9 Bragg?
- 10 A. No, sir.
- 11 Q. Have you ever heard of an assassination course training
- 12 for anyone in the Special Forces during that time period?
- 13 A. No. We had training, of course, in demolition and how to
- 14 do things with all kind of explosives. But as far as training
- 15 to do assassination, no, we had no course like that."
- 16 MR. BACHRACH: Then that day ended and we -- I think
- 17 there's -- and then we proceeded to a second day. Do you have
- 18 that before you?
- MR. COLLINS: I believe so. About the 16th of
- 20 February?
- 21 MR. BACHRACH: Yes, and it begins on page 54.
- 22 MR. COLLINS: Yes.
- Q. "This is clarifying your testimony from the last
- 24 deposition, the day of deposition.
- 25 A. Well, we were discuss --"

MR. BACHRACH: Wait a second. I see, you opened up with a clarification that should go in.

MR. COLLINS: Would you like me to read that?

MR. BACHRACH: Yes, please.

- A. "Good morning, sir. I'd like to just take a minute to say that the course that I attended was a ten-week unconventional course for U.S. Special Forces. It was October, November, December, with a night jump loaded with rut trucks into the National Forest to marry up with the guerrillas. My classmates were all captains and lieutenants. There's only two lieutenant colonels in the course. It was an unconventional course, not counterinsurgency. Okay?

  Q. This is clarifying your testimony from the last
- deposition, the last day of deposition?
- A. Well, we were discussing that, and I think Captain Marvin there kibitzed and said it was the counterinsurgency course.
- 17 It was not. It was a U.S. Special Forces course, ten weeks.
  - Q. Okay. Have you had a chance to review your entire transcript from the prior deposition?
  - A. No, sir.

Q. Colonel Tuttle, I also have Colonel Marvin on the line just sitting in listening. Colonel Tuttle, it's your testimony that Colonel Marvin libeled you by stating that you gave him an order to invade Cambodia and assassinate Prince Sihanouk, is that correct?

- 1 A. Yes, sir.
- 2 Q. Where in the book --
- 3 A. No. No, sir. No, sir.
- 4 Q. -- does Colonel Marvin write that?
- 5 A. It was noted on page 11 of the book where I say,
- 6 "Dangerous Dan, I'd like you to take command of Camp Dan Nam
- 7 A-424, an independent operation. Your primary mission will be
- 8 to take the war into Cambodian territory. You will be the
- 9 first to do so."
- And then he implied, which came later on, particularly
- 11 when the CIA agent visited An Phu, that he wanted the members
- 12 of that team to do the execution. Is that clear, sir?
- 13 Q. Is there anywhere in the book where it says that you
- 14 ordered him to assassinate Prince Sihanouk?
- 15 A. No, sir, just implied.
- 16 Q. But it's not written in the book?
- 17 A. No, sir, I couldn't find it in the book.
- 18 Q. Are you familiar with Walter Mackem?
- 19 A. With -- No, sir, I'm not.
- 20 Q. You've never met Mr. Mackem?
- 21 A. No, sir.
- 22 Q. During your tenure in the An Phu region, did you ever meet
- 23 any CIA agents or operatives?
- 24 A. I never met any CIA operatives.
- 25 Q. Did you meet any CIA agents?

- 1 A. Did I what, sir?
- Q. Did you meet anyone involved with the CIA?
- 3 A. Yes, sir. The CIA would come by periodically and bring
- 4 the money to pay the CIDG. And as I said before, the A Team
- 5 commanders handled about 30,000 piasters worth of American
- 6 dollars to pay the troops. But I never did become acquainted
- 7 with any of them.
- 8 Q. Did you ever receive money -- take money from them?
- 9 A. No, sir, never received nothing.
- 10 Q. So who would take the money?
- 11 A. The A Team commanders would get the money, and they'd
- 12 pay -- he'd pay the CIDG soldiers. There were no CIDG at the
- 13 C Team, and none of the B Teams, only at the A Team level,
- 14 sir.
- 15 Q. So it's your testimony, Colonel, that you never met anyone
- 16 involved with the CIA during your tenure in Vietnam?
- 17 A. No, sir, I never met any of them.
- 18 Q. Who's paying for the lawsuit? Are you paying for lawsuit?
- 19 A. Yes. Yes, sir.
- 20 Q. You're paying personally out of your pocket? How much
- 21 have you paid for this lawsuit?
- 22 A. I retained an attorney.
- 23 Q. Have you paid this attorney?
- 24 A. Yes.
- 25 Q. Has anyone else -- who else has paid for the attorney in

- 1 | this lawsuit?
- 2 A. Nobody else.
- 3 Q. Are you the only one paying the attorney?
- 4 A. I'm the only one -- No. I mean, there are other members
- 5 of the A Team that have retained the same attorney.
- 6 Q. Is the Special Forces making any payments toward this
- 7 lawsuit?
- 8 A. No. The Special Forces Association, I understand they're
- 9 paying advanced expenses, sir.
- 10 Q. So the Special Forces Association is paying advance
- 11 expenses of this lawsuit?
- 12 A. Yes, sir.
- 13 Q. Is it paying for any of the attorney's fees?
- 14 A. I beg your pardon, sir? No. No.
- 15 Q. Have you had any discussions with any of the other
- 16 plaintiffs concerning this lawsuit?
- 17 A. Yes, sir. I've talked to Jim Taylor quite a bit, and I
- 18 met the others on one occasion, but I have talked to Jim
- 19 Taylor on the phone in Fayetteville a number of times.
- 20 Q. How many times have you spoken with Mr. Taylor?
- 21 A. Oh, I would say -- in fact, he came to my house here where
- 22 I live in Lake Wylie, sir, and we chatted here, and he brought
- 23 his wife here.
- Q. When did he come to your house?
- 25 A. Yes, sir, he came to my house.

- 1 Q. When?
- 2 A. He drove. He drove his wife, and we went to lunch
- 3 together and we came back.
- 4 0. No. When?
- 5 A. What? What?"
- 6 MR. BACHRACH: And then you answer on line --
- 7 A. "Oh, when did he come? Oh, that was last -- let's see,
- 8 last year.
- 9 Q. Okay. And what did you and he discuss at that time?
- 10 A. What's the -- Will you please say it again, sir?
- 11 Q. What did you and he discuss about the lawsuit at that
- 12 time?
- 13 A. At that time we were just discussing the lawsuit, and I
- 14 was talking to the other A Team commanders, I mean members,
- 15 that this was ridiculous, I mean, the fact that I told him to
- 16 invade Cambodia. It's against general orders, and none of us
- 17 ever did that. It was pure -- it was pure fantasy.
- 18 Q. You've read the entire book, correct? You've read the
- 19 entire book, the Expendable Elite?
- 20 A. I've read the entire -- the Expendable Elite, yes, sir.
- 21 Q. Do you recall the statement from General Westmoreland's
- 22 book, A Soldier Reports, that were quoted in Expendable Elite?
- 23 A. No, sir.
- 24 Q. Have you ever read General Westmoreland's book, A Soldier
- 25 Reports?

- 1 A. No, sir.
- Q. Were you aware of any independent operations in South
- 3 Vietnam during your tenure there?
- 4 A. I heard of the classified information -- that SOG took
- 5 classified -- they did some very very high level operations,
- 6 SOG, which was not the Fifth Special Forces.
- 7 Q. Who was involved in these high level operations?
- 8 A. There were a few. They were volunteers from the Fifth
- 9 Special Forces who had served maybe three or four months and
- 10 then returned, and they would say nothing. So I never knew
- 11 what took place.
- 12 Q. Who told you about these independent operations?
- 13 A. I just heard about it. SOG was operating it, I guess,
- 14 with the high level of the President with the CIA, I guess.
- 15 Q. Did you hear about this while you were in Vietnam or
- 16 after?
- 17 A. Well, I heard about this while I was there on duty.
- 18 Q. And what was the purpose of these independent operations?
- 19 A. I don't know, sir. I never heard what they did.
- 20 Q. Did any of these independent operations infiltrate
- 21 | Cambodia?
- 22 A. I do not know, sir.
- 23 Q. Do you know if during your tenure in South Vietnam, that
- 24 the North Vietnamese and Viet Cong were given safe haven in
- 25 Cambodia, by Cambodia?

- 1 A. We received fire from Cambodia. Captain Leo Donker, very
- 2 fine A Team commander, SEALS graduate, was killed by an
- 3 artillery shell that came from Cambodia.
- 4 Q. Were there any orders concerning how, if at all, the
- 5 Special Forces were to respond with respect to fire from
- 6 Cambodia?
- 7 A. No, sir, there were no orders ever to fire into Cambodia.
- 8 Q. There were never any orders to fire into Cambodia?
- 9 A. No, sir. I never did authorize it.
- 10 Q. Were there any orders with respect to enemy boats going
- 11 down the Mekong River? Let me ask it this way. Were enemy
- 12 boats allowed to traverse the Mekong River?
- 13 A. I don't remember, sir.
- 14 0. You don't remember?
- 15 A. No. sir.
- 16 Q. Do you recall ever stopping any boats traversing the
- 17 Mekong River?
- 18 A. No, sir, I never stopped a boat myself.
- 19 Q. Did you ever order a boat to stop?
- 20 A. Possibly some of the -- one of the A Team CIDG camps near
- 21 the Mekong may have stopped them. We all had assault boats,
- 22 and we -- you couldn't move without an assault boat going
- 23 somewhere. In the rainy season the roads were impassable, and
- 24 the VC controlled the roads. So we either went by air or went
- 25 by assault boat.

- 1 Q. Did you ever stop any VC boats going up or down the Mekong
- 2 River?
- 3 A. No, sir, I never did.
- 4 Q. You never ordered that?
- 5 A. I never ordered that.
- 6 Q. Were VC boats going up and down the Mekong River?
- 7 A. Well, if they're not in uniform -- I expect we passed a
- 8 lot of Viet Cong going up and down the river. And they have
- 9 just black pajamas on, what can you tell?
- 10 Q. You're not aware of any Viet Cong boats going up and down
- 11 | the river?
- 12 A. No, sir.
- 13 Q. Are you aware of any supplies of the Viet Cong going up
- 14 and down the river?
- 15 A. Well, all these sanpans had supplies on them. I mean,
- 16 they could be anything. I mean, when we finally got some air
- 17 boats, one camp got some air boats, and we got back in there
- 18 deep, and we could see more activity back in the VC, back in
- 19 the boonies there, because we could go over high grass, you
- 20 know, with an aircraft engine behind you pushing you there.
- 21 We had one camp -- we had one camp that -- we had one camp
- 22 had that, and they would go out on patrols.
- 23 Q. Where did they patrol?
- A. In the boonies there, in the planer reeds. The rainy
- 25 season, it's all covered with water.

- Q. Did you ever order any boat stopped to search it for supplies going to the Viet Cong?
- 3 A. No, sir, I never did.
- 4 Q. Why?
- A. Well, I expect I used common sense that if an A Team

  commander or a commander suspected something, he would go and
- 7 take a look at it.
- Q. So you were aware that Viet Cong supplies were being traversed up and down the Mekong River, correct?
- 10 A. Yes, sir.
- 11 Q. Yet you never stopped any boat to have it searched for
- 12 Viet Cong supplies?
- 13 A. No, sir, I never did.
- 14 Q. Why not?
- 15 A. Well, in the first place, you had to be sure they were
- 16 carrying supplies, you know. Not all of them were just
- 17 carrying plain cargo, I mean, and they're also Navy boats in
- 18 the area, too.
- 19 Q. But these were supplies that were used to kill American
- 20 soldiers, correct?
- 21 A. What was -- Yes, sir.
- 22 Q. And these were soldiers under your command, correct?
- 23 A. Yes, sir.
- Q. And you did nothing in retaliation with respect to the
- 25 Viet Cong firing from Cambodia?

- 1 A. Well, in the rainy season there's just water everywhere.
- Q. What about not in the rainy season?
- 3 A. Well, there's still water just about everywhere down there
- 4 in the planer reeds, and people coming and going.
- 5 Q. Men died under your command from fire in Cambodia,
- 6 | correct?
- 7 A. None of our people fired at Cambodia.
- 8 Q. No one fired into Cambodia. My question is, men under
- 9 your command died as a result of fire from Cambodia, correct?
- 10 A. Yes, sir, Captain Leo Donker. I got right out there after
- 11 it happened.
- 12 Q. And you took no action with respect to that, correct?
- 13 A. Well, it was shell fragmentation from a 105 Howitzer.
- 14 Q. My question is, men died under your command as a result of
- 15 fire from Cambodia, correct?
- 16 A. The policy was not to fire into Cambodia. Yes, sir.
- 17 Q. You were told not to fire into Cambodia?
- 18 A. No, sir. We were not to fire -- forbidden to fire into
- 19 Cambodia or go into Cambodia.
- 20 Q. Who forbid it?
- 21 A. Well, the general orders there. We all knew that in
- 22 Vietnam, Laos, Cambodia. I never got up near Laos.
- Q. But you were aware that independent missions to which
- 24 certain Special Forces members volunteered, went into
- 25 Cambodia, correct?

- A. I don't understand. Sir, this thing is so loud. I've got
  a hearing aid, but I'm sorry, but could you talk a little
- 3 lower?
- 4 Q. Okay. I'm usually asked to speak louder. Are you aware
- 5 of independent missions for which Special Forces members
- 6 volunteered, which went into Cambodia, while you were in
- 7 Vietnam?
- 8 A. No, sir. None of them -- none from the Fourth Corps area,
- 9 which were all of the 16 A Teams and the two B Teams. None.
- 10 Q. How often were your troops fired upon from Cambodia by the
- 11 Viet Cong?
- 12 A. In the daytime it was sort of unusual. That's when
- 13 Captain Donker got killed. It was unusual. But in the
- 14 nighttime you can receive fire most any time of night.
- 15 Q. Have you read any books or come across any information
- 16 since you've left Vietnam, which has led you to believe that
- 17 there were independent forces which -- American forces which
- 18 went into Cambodia?
- 19 A. I don't remember.
- 20 Q. You don't remember ever reading anything to that extent?
- 21 A. I retired shortly after I came back. I mean, I retired in
- 22 | 1973, before the war ended, and I got a job working. No, I
- 23 didn't.
- Q. Did you ever read General Westmoreland's book, A Soldier
- 25 Reports?

- 1 A. No, sir.
- Q. Did you ever read Douglas Valentine's book, The Phoenix
- 3 Program?
- 4 A. I met one individual when I was visiting Da Nang who was
- in the Phoenix Program. But I think I had lunch with him, but
- 6 we didn't discuss any activities.
- 7 Q. What was the Phoenix Program?
- 8 A. I don't know what it was. It was a program to clamp down
- 9 on security of the local officials, and to be sure that local
- 10 officials who were really secretly in favor of the VC, to
- 11 expose them and get rid of them.
- 12 Q. Were the Special Forces involved in the Phoenix Program?
- 13 A. No, sir, they never were, not a single man. That was all
- 14 conducted by the CIA.
- 15 Q. How do you know that?
- 16 A. Well, that's what I was told under contract. Just because
- 17 a man is working with the CIA, doesn't mean he's a CIA member
- 18 under contract, but I just heard that the men don't know -- I
- 19 know for certain that none of the Fourth Corps or none of the
- 20 other corps did either.
- 21 I talked to the C Team commanders. I know all the C Team
- 22 commanders, First, Second and Third Corps. No, none of us
- 23 participated in the Phoenix Program.
- Q. Did you ever hear of Operation Cherry?
- 25 A. No, sir, never heard.

- 1 Q. Have you ever spoken with John Strait?
  - A. What about John Strait?

- Q. Have you ever spoken with John Strait?
  - A. Yes, sir, I have. I've spoken to him. We met at my son's house last year and I chatted with him, and he made a remarkable comment, that he never even fired an M-16 one time.

As a matter of fact, An Phu was a pretty quiet camp, and that's why we would normally take Martha Raye and Hugh O'Brien, Robert Mitchem. I met Martha Raye and took her to -- in my Jeep, to meet Colonel Desobry and we chatted, and she said she was amazed how clean these camps were and how quiet they were. I said, well, at nighttime they're not so quiet. But she never stayed overnight at a camp.

Major Carr, retired Lieutenant Colonel Carr, out in Morehead City, North Carolina, right now you talk to him, and he will tell you that she never carried a black bag. He personally put her on the chopper and he met the chopper. Nobody ever reported any firing at any choppers.

- Q. Have you spoken to Martha Raye since you left Vietnam?
- A. Spoken to who?
- Q. Martha Raye.
- A. No, but I can tell you that Major Carr was given the privilege of escorting her throughout Vietnam and to escort her home. So he knows her real well. You want to talk to somebody who knows Martha Raye, it's George Carr.

- 1 Q. Where is Mr. Carr located now?
- 2 A. Sir?
- 3 Q. Where does he live?
- 4 A. Oh, he lived in Morehead City, sir, North Carolina. As a
- 5 matter of fact, I had a little chat with him not so long ago.
- 6 He's had a stroke or two, and he's coming along.
- 7 Q. Were you aware that John Strait had -- there was a tape
- 8 recording of comments John Strait made about the book
- 9 Expendable Elite?
- 10 A. No, all I heard was that there was -- that Captain Marvin
- 11 made a tape of them where he met them somewhere at Bragg. I
- 12 heard something about that, yes.
- 13 Q. Did you speak with Mr. Strait about that tape?
- 14 A. I didn't see the tape, no, sir.
- Q. But did you ever speak to Mr. Strait about that tape?
- 16 A. No, sir, I didn't.
- 17 Q. Did Mr. Strait ever make any comments to you about the
- 18 book Expendable Elite?
- 19 A. Yes, sir.
- Q. What did you and he discuss about the book?
- 21 A. Well, I got the impression that it was from fantasy.
- Q. I'm sorry, I didn't hear your answer.
- 23 A. Sir?
- Q. What was your answer?
- 25 A. It was fantasy, sir, it was all fiction, I mean.

- 1 Q. That's what Mr. Strait said to you?
- 2 A. Well, I know -- and I can't speak for the members of the
- 3 | team, but I can speak for me, because everything where it
- 4 mentions my name in there is pure fantasy.
- Q. But my question is, when you spoke with Mr. Strait, what
- 6 did Mr. Strait tell you about the book?
- 7 A. Well, he didn't give any details about -- he didn't talk
- 8 to me details about the book, and I didn't speak to him very
- 9 long. I talked to him last year very briefly, but he just
- 10 said it was a -- he's a plaintiff in this trial, as you know.
- 11 Q. I understand that. Did you ever see a transcription of
- 12 his taped conversation with Mr. Marvin --
- 13 A. No, sir.
- 14 Q. -- Colonel Marvin about the book?
- 15 A. No, sir. I never did.
- 16 Q. Would it surprise you if I told you that that taped
- 17 conversation supported much of what Colonel Marvin wrote in
- 18 book?
- 19 A. Yes, sir.
- 20 Q. Would it surprise you if Mr. Strait confirmed that a CIA
- 21 operative visited Colonel Marvin while he was in An Phu?
- 22 A. That a CIA operative visited him while he was in An Phu?
- 23 Q. Correct.
- 24 A. He probably had some CIA agent. All of them visited to
- 25 pay off the A Team commanders for payday.

- 1 Q. Other than payday.
- 2 A. Sir?
- Q. Would it surprise you if Mr. Strait confirms that CIA
- 4 agent -- a CIA agent met with Lieutenant Colonel Marvin while
- 5 he was in An Phu?
- 6 A. No, sir.
- 7 Q. It wouldn't surprise you?
- 8 A. No, sir.
- 9 Q. You never met any CIA agents or operatives while you were
- 10 in An Phu?
- 11 A. No, sir. I have to admit I didn't visit An Phu very
- 12 often, because it was such a quiet camp. I tried to go where
- 13 the activity was, and that was one of our quietest camps.
- 14 Q. Where was the activity?
- 15 A. What was the activity?
- 16 Q. No. Where was the activity?
- 17 A. Well, the activity was up on Phuoc Hoa Island and down in
- 18 the Kumao Peninsula. That team there in the Seven Mountains
- 19 areas, where Major Arnn got killed, and over in Thien Ngon,
- 20 where Captain Shelton, the A Team commander, got killed. But
- 21 An Phu, which is really not too far from Chau Duc, the
- 22 province chief would lose face if that camp got overrun, he
- 23 sure would lose face, and I understand he also had support. I
- 24 never did see it, but support from 105 Howitzers, 155
- 25 Howitzers from the Regional Force there located at Chau Doc.

- 1 Q. Who commanded the camp at Can Chau?
- 2 A. Who commanded who, sir?
- 3 Q. Who commanded the camp at Can Chau?
- 4 A. Captain Corette.
- Q. Have you ever heard the name Captain Jim Miles, sir?
- 6 A. Jim Miles?
- 7 Q. Correct.
- 8 A. No, sir.
- 9 Q. You never heard Jim Miles?
- 10 A. No, sir, I haven't.
- 11 Q. Do you recall a Jim Miles being mentioned on page 83 of
- 12 Expendable Elite?
- 13 A. No, sir.
- 14 Q. Do you recall a Jim Miles being mentioned on page 83 of
- 15 Expendable Elite, as quoted from General Westmoreland's book?
- 16 A. No, sir.
- 17 Q. Do you recall, in reading Expendable Elite, Colonel Marvin
- 18 quoting from General Westmoreland's book, about a ship with
- 19 10,000 tons of toys going down the Mekong River?
- 20 A. No, sir.
- 21 Q. How carefully did you read the book Expendable Elite?
- 22 A. I read the book Expendable Elite. I particularly paid the
- 23 attention to where he was using my name all the time. That's
- 24 what I particularly paid attention to. I can't speak for the
- 25 other members. They can speak for themselves. But anything

- 1 that dealt with me, I made a note of it.
- Q. Do you recall reading about the five-day battle in Chapter
- 3 Ten entitled Hell's Fury Unleashed at Khanh-Binh?
- 4 A. At where?
- Q. Hell's Fury Unleashed at Khanh-Binh.
- 6 A. At the firefight I was not there, and I can't verify it.
- 7 I can't verify it. I didn't --
- 8 Q. You didn't have any involvement in that?
- 9 A. No, I was not involved in that.
- 10 Q. You don't know one way or the other?
- 11 A. I'm not sure really who was involved in that. There was
- 12 so much fantasy in the book.
- 13 Q. Explain to me every bit of fantasy in the book that
- 14 pertains to you.
- 15 A. All of it.
- 16 Q. Well, what do you mean, all of it? Specify exactly what
- 17 is fantasy in the book concerning you.
- 18 A. Concerning what now?
- 19 Q. What is fantasy in the book concerning you? I'd like you
- 20 to specify exactly what you claim is fantasy in the book
- 21 concerning you.
- 22 A. Oh, well, the fantasy concerning me was the very
- 23 beginning, having a private breakfast with him and giving him
- 24 a top secret order that said he would be going -- he would be
- 25 the first to leave going into Cambodia. That's pure fantasy.

- I never told anybody to go to Cambodia.
- 2 Q. Anything else?
- 3 A. I never told anybody.
  - Q. I understand that. Is there anything else?
- 5 A. Oh, yes. Well, throughout the book here, I mean, it
- 6 mentions Chapters 11, and I believe I mentioned before the
- 7 chapters -- 13 and Chapter 12, I wasn't in the country there.
- 8 All those dozens and dozens of messages from Marvin to Tuttle,
- 9 Tuttle to Marvin, I was back in the States.
- 10 Q. Do you know if any such orders were issued?
- 11 A. I shipped out -- I shipped out to Tan Son Nhut around 10
- 12 June.

- 13 Q. So are you aware who took your place?
- 14 A. Lieutenant Colonel Peters. I never met him.
- 15 Q. Now, how were messages transmitted between your encampment
- 16 and Colonel Marvin's?
- 17 A. Yeah, all those messages, there's dozens and dozens of
- 18 them in Chapter 13 and Chapter 12.
- 19 Q. I understand that. How would they be transmitted? By
- 20 radio?
- 21 A. Yes.
- 22 Q. And would you personally -- Would you personally give your
- 23 name, if you were to give an order to Colonel Marvin?
- 24 A. I rarely talked to Captain Marvin, because there was
- 25 nothing going on there.

- Q. But when you did talk to him, did you talk to -- give
- Colonel Marvin orders by radio or telephone?
- 3 A. I talked to him primarily by radio.
- 4 Q. How many times did you talk to him by radio?
- 5 A. I can't recall.

- Q. Did you ever give written orders to Colonel Marvin?
- 7 A. No, sir, didn't need to. There was nothing going on
- 8 there. He knew he was getting ready to receive the Regional
- 9 Forces there. They were going to convert over to Regional
- 10 Forces, and that's what he was sent there for. He was the
- 11 quartermaster expert to handle the transition from CIDG. They
- 12 had different weapons, different ammo, different pay, uniform.
- 13 Q. So it's your testimony that there was never any fire from
- 14 Cambodia into An Phu?
- 15 A. There may have been some stray rounds. Every camp got
- 16 some stray rounds coming in.
- 17 Q. Wasn't An Phu right on the Cambodian border?
- 18 A. Not every camp was maybe as close. But what did he say
- 19 how close the camp was, four miles? Is that what he said?
- Q. Well, did you know how far his camp was from Cambodia?
- 21 A. We never fired into Cambodia.
- Q. No. How far was Colonel Marvin's camp from Cambodia?
- 23 A. Oh, Can Tho was well over a hundred kilometers.
- 24 Q. 400 kilometers?"
- MR. BACHRACH: Restate that.

- Q. "What was the distance of Colonel Marvin's camp from Cambodia?
- 3 A. I think it was about four miles, wasn't it?
- 4 Q. I'm asking you if you know.

province headquarters.

- A. Well, I expect, no, not exact. As I said before, his was
  a relatively quiet camp. They had some maybe four operating
  bases get some action, but the province chief was never going
  to lose a fortified encampment next to -- so close to Chau Duc
- 10 Q. So it's your testimony that you never gave Colonel Marvin
  11 any of the messages that are identified in Chapters 12 and 13?
- 12 A. Yes.

- Q. Do you know if Colonel Marvin received those orders from anyone else?
- 15 A. No.
- Q. Do you know if Colonel Marvin was ever written up for disobeying any orders?
- 18 A. No.
- Q. Would he have to be written up for disobeying an order, to be punished under the Code of Military Justice?
- A. Now, when it comes that ARVN regiment showing up to take over An Phu, I was long gone. I wasn't there, and that's --
- 23 so I understand that's pure fantasy also.
- Q. How do you understand that's pure fantasy?
- 25 A. Because no ARVN regiment was ever dispatched to take over

- 1 An Phu.
- 2 Q. How do you know?
- 3 A. Well, I heard that from the team members.
- 4 Q. From what team members?
- 5 A. Sergeant Taylor, Lieutenant Strait.
- 6 Q. Would it surprise you if I told you that Colonel Strait is
- 7 on a tape recording corroborating that an ARVN regiment was
- 8 sent toward An Phu to wipe it out?
- 9 A. Yes, sir.
- 10 Q. That would surprise you?
- 11 A. Yes, sir.
- 12 Q. Have you -- you know that your attorney has a tape of John
- 13 Strait's comments concerning the book, correct?
- 14 A. I understand that he met him somewhere, some reunion
- 15 someplace. Maybe it was at Fort Bragg. I don't know where it
- 16 was. And he did some taping. Yes, I heard about that.
- Q. And have you ever asked to listen to that tape?
- 18 A. No, sir.
- 19 Q. Have you ever discussed with John Strait the tape
- 20 recording?
- 21 A. No, sir, I never did.
- Q. Don't you think it would be important for you to listen to
- 23 that tape?
- A. Well, no, when it seems so far-fetched that -- no, I
- 25 didn't.

- Q. What if you assume that John Strait confirms what Colonel
  Marvin says in his book in that tape?"
  - MR. BACHRACH: I think you say no, sir.
- A. "No, sir.

- Q. You still would not feel it is important to listen to that tape?
- 7 A. No, sir.
  - Q. Why?
  - A. Well, because -- well, a lot happened since that's taken place. In fact, this whole thing started back in 1997. We got a phone call from a member of -- director of Special Forces Association at Fayetteville, saying that I had been involved in Cambodia and involved in an assassination of Cambodia.

Let me see where that thing -- it goes way back. Let's see here. I don't have it real handy, but --

MR. BACHRACH: Okay. What papers does he have?

A. Well, I was notified back in '97 from Rudy Gresham by phone. And I said what -- he's written a book about he's claiming that you participated in the attempted, well, I guess, assassination of Prince Sihanouk. I said, that's crazy as hell.

I wrote a letter back to him to the Special Forces
Association. That was back in 1997. I just forgot all about
the thing, thinking it was just some kind of kook getting on

- 1 | the Internet.
- Q. Where in the book does it indicate that you participated
- 3 in a plot to assassinate Prince Sihanouk?
- 4 A. When was that?
- 5 Q. Where? Where in the book does it indicate that you
- 6 participated in a plot to assassinate Prince Sihanouk?
- 7 A. It doesn't say so in the book.
- 8 Q. It doesn't say so in the book, correct?
- 9 A. That's right, it does not say that in the book.
- 10 Q. Okay. Do you recall attending a victory celebration after
- 11 a five-day battle at Khanh-Binh?
- 12 A. No, sir.
- 13 Q. Have you looked at the photos that are in the book
- 14 Expendable Elite?
- 15 A. I've looked at the photos, and a lot of them are -- just
- 16 like that one with Martha Raye with a black bag that Major
- 17 Carr said she never carried one on the chopper. I've looked
- 18 at that, too.
- 19 Q. Who was escorting Martha Raye in that picture?
- 20 A. Who was escorting her?
- 21 Q. Correct.
- 22 A. It appears to be a lieutenant. I can't recognize who it
- 23 is.
- 24 Q. It wasn't Major Carr, correct?
- 25 A. It looks like it's an officer. I can't tell from the

- 1 photo who it is. I don't recognize it.
- Q. It's not Major Colonel Carr, correct?
- 3 A. Major Carr is available. You would like to talk to him,
- 4 because he personally placed her on every chopper when she
- 5 visited a camp, and he met the chopper coming back.
- Q. And that photo shows Martha Raye with a black bag,
- 7 | correct?
- 8 A. What? She never had a black bag. That was a set-up
- 9 quoting Major Carr.
- 10 Q. So that photo was a set-up, her carrying that black bag?
- 11 A. Major Carr said that.
- 12 Q. Major Carr said that was a set-up?
- 13 A. That's right.
- Q. So Major Carr is claiming that the photo is a lie?
- 15 A. Yes, sir.
- Q. So if Major Carr is wrong, he's committing libel, correct?
- 17 A. Major Carr what? Say that again, please, slowly.
- 18 Q. Colonel Tuttle, you understand that if you're repeating
- 19 what Major Carr said, and if that's false, you're committing
- 20 libel, correct?
- 21 A. That's what he told me. It was set up. He said she never
- 22 had a black bag getting on a chopper or getting off a chopper.
- 23 She didn't carry anything.
- Q. And if it's proven that she did, then Major Carr's lying,
- 25 correct?

- A. Well, he's not lying. I believe Major Carr. What would
- 2 he want to lie about?
- 3 Q. I don't know; you tell me.
- A. I'm not going to blame Major Carr. Major Carr had no reason to lie.
- Q. Is that a picture of you dated June 4, 1966, on a helicopter with Colonel Marvin?
- 8 A. A picture of me with Marvin on a chopper?
- 9 0. Yes.
- 10 A. Well, I'll take a look at it. It's in the book?
- 11 Q. Yes.
- 12 A. There's no number on these pages, I notice there's no number."
- MR. BACHRACH: Then I withdrew the question, and then
- 16 MR. COLLINS: Okay.
- 17 Q. "Now, you indicate that it's the CIA that paid the CIDGs?
- 18 A. The CIA, yes. All the funding came from the CIA for
- uniforms, pay, weapons, ammo, yes, sir. They are much better equipped than Regional Forces.
- Q. Are you sure it wasn't the B Team that paid the CIDGs?
- 22 A. No, sir, it was the A Team.
- 23 Q. Are you sure it wasn't John Strait who paid the CIDGs?
- A. Well, I mean to say that I can't swear that maybe on one occasion somebody from the B Team paid them. I mean, I wasn't

- there every payday. I mean, my understanding is the A Team
- 2 commanders at the camp paid them. That's my understanding.
- Now, possibly a B Team may have paid them one time. That
- 4 | could be.
- 5 Q. In your lawsuit you allege Colonel Marvin further
- 6 incredulously alleged that an ARVN regiment force had arrived
- 7 in Chau Duc under the command of and accompanied by agents of
- 8 the Central Intelligence Agency.
- 9 A. What was that?
- 10 Q. And what is your basis of that statement?
- 11 A. Well, I was gone. As I said, I was gone when all that
- 12 took place. So I told you -- I think the members of the team
- 13 told me that that was ridiculous.
- 14 Q. Well, who specifically told you that?
- 15 A. I don't recall.
- 16 Q. When did they tell you that?
- 17 A. I guess last year.
- 18 Q. You recall someone telling you that it's ridiculous, but
- 19 you don't recall who did?
- 20 A. I don't recall who told me that.
- 21 Q. Okay. Colonel, are you familiar with the monthly
- 22 operational report?
- 23 A. I used to be.
- Q. What was the purpose of those reports?
- 25 A. Well, it gives the offensive operations, defensive

- operations, and any contact with the VC and intelligence information.
- 3 Q. Could I have you turn to Appendix 14 of the book.
- 4 A. Appendix 14.
- Q. Colonel Marvin, is there a page on that? You see there
- 6 are monthly operational reports?
- 7 A. Yes, sir, I see it.
- 8 Q. And showing combat statistics?
- 9 A. Yes, VC contacted, killed, captured, yes.
- 10 Q. Can you publish for the jury what statistics are given in
- 11 connection with -- under those reports for the month of
- 12 May 1966?
- 13 A. For the month of May? This says 1 January through July.
- 14 Q. What statistics are given through July?
- 15 A. Oh, okay, it gives a lot of statistics here. I am
- 16 assuming that what he placed in here is correct. I mean, I
- 17 can't verify it. How can I verify it, when there's so much in
- 18 the book that is not true?
- 19 Q. Do those monthly operational reports in Appendix 14, do
- 20 you have any question that they're not accurate -- true and
- 21 accurate copies?
- 22 A. I don't have any -- Yes. Yes, sir.
- 23 Q. You do question whether they are?
- 24 A. Yes, I question it. I question it.
- 25 Q. What's the basis of your statement that they may not be

- 1 true and accurate copies of monthly operational reports?
- 2 A. Well, the KIA 532, wow, I mean, then 240 in March, yeah, I
- 3 question those.
- Q. You question those figures?
- 5 A. Yes, sir.
- 6 Q. Now, who reports those figures?
- 7 A. Every A Team.
- 8 Q. And you were commander of the A Team?
- 9 A. Who was the commander?
- 10 Q. Who was the commander of the A Team?
- 11 A. You're talking about Captain Marvin? Commander of the A
- 12 Team?
- 13 Q. I guess my question is, is it your position that those
- 14 statistics that you see reported in those monthly operational
- 15 reports, is it your contention that someone falsified those?
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 Q. And who do you say falsified them?
- 18 A. Classified confidential.
- 19 Q. Well, do you believe that they were falsified?
- 20 A. Yes, sir. I thought all this was classified confidential.
- 21 Q. No, the word was falsified.
- 22 A. Oh, falsified. Oh, falsified. I, after, you know,
- 23 reading the first part of the book and so on, I can't verify
- 24 this as true. I mean, he says it's true. I mean, I have
- 25 nothing to compare it with. I can't go back and dig out the

- 1 files of the Special Forces and compare them. I can't do
- 2 that. I assume you have to get official records. That's what
- 3 he put in here is --
- Q. Well, these are official reports, aren't they?
- 5 A. No.
- 6 Q. Why do you say that?
- 7 A. Well, one thing, it's not classified, and they're supposed
- 8 to be classified. So I don't know what he's put in here.
- Q. If they are official reports, do you dispute the
- 10 information contained in them? Do you have any factual basis
- 11 to say those are not official reports?
- 12 A. The only thing I can say that they're not official
- 13 reports, is the fact that there's so much fantasy in the book
- 14 that I just question any of the stuff in here.
- 15 Q. So other than your contention that the book is full of
- 16 fantasy, you have no basis to state that these are not
- 17 official records?
- 18 A. Well, I think I can go back to the Fifth Special Forces
- and get the official records and see, but I think that I
- 20 certainly would have heard at the C Team headquarters for the
- 21 month of May, 532 KIA. I certainly would have heard that.
- Q. Well, this is inconsistent with your testimony that An Phu
- 23 was very quiet, isn't it?

- 24 A. It's a quiet camp, yes, sir, it was a quiet camp.
  - Q. But the report as to the number of Viet Cong killed in

- 1 action is inconsistent with your statement, correct?
- 2 A. Sir, I said there's so much fantasy in the book, I cannot
- 3 verify that being true or not.
- 4 Q. Is 532 Viet Cong killed in action reflective of a quiet
- 5 camp or not? Well, what do you consider a quiet camp?
- 6 A. What kind of camp?
- 7 Q. What do you consider a quiet camp?
- 8 A. A quiet camp, according to one month's activity there.
- 9 There was FOB action activity, but the camp was never
- 10 attacked. The An Phu camp was never attacked or tried to be
- 11 overrun, like some of the other A Teams. The other captain
- 12 was rotated, by the way. He wasn't relieved. Major Carr just
- 13 told me that Major Le didn't get that officer relieved. Hell,
- 14 that would have been the end of his career. He was normally
- 15 rotated, the one that left before Captain Marvin got there.
- Q. So the person in charge of the camp before Colonel Marvin
- 17 got there, was rotated out of the area?
- 18 A. Yes, sir.
- 19 Q. What was his name?
- 20 A. He was gone before -- he was gone before Captain Marvin
- 21 got there. I can't recall his name. He was just normally
- 22 rotated. We had, you know, we had a total of 343 in that --
- 23 in Special Forces in the Delta. I can't recall all the names.
- Q. And it's your contention that the person before Captain
- 25 Marvin, was rotated out of the area?

- A. Yes, sir. That's what Major Carr told me. He was normal
- 2 rotation, was not relieved as Captain Marvin said, that Major
- 3 Le wanted him relieved. So Major Arnn removed him. Never
- 4 heard of that. I never heard of it.
- 5 Q. Did you have any discussion with anyone from the Special
- 6 Forces Association as to whether to bring this lawsuit?
- 7 A. I talked to Jimmy Dean, yes, sir.
- 8 Q. Who is Jimmy Dean?
- 9 A. Just Jimmy Dean. Well, I talked to -- back in '97 I told
- 10 you I talked to Rudy Gresham, who is a director of the board,
- 11 how a ridiculous thing that he was talking about on the
- 12 Internet. That's about all. And then Jimmy Dean. I met
- 13 Jimmy Dean here in Rock Hill.
- 14 Q. When did you first have any discussions with the other
- 15 plaintiffs about bringing a lawsuit against Colonel Marvin?
- 16 A. Let me ask my attorney what you said. I'm sorry. I do
- 17 wear a hearing aid. What did he say? When? That was last
- 18 fall.
- 19 Q. That was the first time you discussed bringing a lawsuit
- 20 against Colonel Marvin?
- 21 A. Fall of '93, not '94.
- 22 0. 2003?
- 23 A. 2000. 2003.
- Q. And who did you have that discussion, first discussion
- 25 with bringing a lawsuit against Colonel Marvin? With whom did

- 1 you have that first discussion about bringing a lawsuit
  2 against Colonel Marvin?
- 3 A. I had a first discussion with -- yes, sir, with
- 4 Mr. Deaver, sir.
- 5 Q. With your attorney?
- 6 A. Yes, sir.
- 7 Q. When did -- when was your first discussion -- with whom
- 8 did you have a discussion about bringing a lawsuit, other than
- 9 your attorney?
- 10 A. Nobody else except Deaver.
- 11 Q. Did you ever discuss with the other plaintiffs about
- 12 bringing a lawsuit?
- 13 A. Yes, sir. We met the fall of 2003 in Rock Hill, and we
- 14 discussed it for about an hour, and then --
- 15 Q. Was your attorney present during that discussion?
- 16 A. Yes, sir.
- 17 Q. Then I won't ask you what was discussed. Did you ever
- 18 meet with the Special Forces Association about bringing a
- 19 lawsuit against Colonel Marvin?
- 20 A. No, sir.
- 21 Q. Did you ever discuss with the Special Forces Association
- 22 whether to bring a lawsuit against Colonel Marvin?
- 23 A. No, sir.
- Q. Have you ever had any discussion with the Special Forces
- 25 Association about your lawsuit against Colonel Marvin?

- A. Well, I talked to Jimmy Dean, secretary, on the phone
  about three or four times. He's retired now.
- 3 Q. You spoke to Jimmy Dean's secretary?
- 4 A. Yes, sir.
- Q. When was the last time you spoke to Jimmy Dean?
- 6 A. Oh, it's been over a year.
- 7 Q. What did you and he discuss the last time you talked to
- 8 him?
- 9 A. Well, I think we discussed what's going on, and he said
- 10 they're -- it's in the lawyers' hands. That's about all.
- 11 Q. Who said it was in the lawyers' hands?
- 12 A. Jimmy Dean.
- 13 Q. How does he know it was in the lawyers' hands?
- 14 A. I don't know.
- 15 Q. What role does the Special Forces Association have in
- 16 connection with this lawsuit?
- 17 A. Well, they're financing it, and from what I understand,
- 18 they're going to pay the expenses.
- 19 Q. Who is going to pay the attorneys' fees? Did you hear my
- 20 question?
- 21 A. I guess whatever the court costs are, they pay the
- 22 expenses.
- 23 Q. Okay. So the Special Forces Association is paying
- 24 attorneys' fees for this lawsuit?
- 25 A. No, sir.

- 1 Q. Who's paying the attorneys' fees?
- 2 A. A contingency.
- 3 Q. Oh, it's a contingency fee case?
- 4 A. It's a contingency, I guess. Nobody has paid anybody yet.
- Q. Okay. I understand. So this is a contingency fee case?
- 6 A. Yes, sir.
- 7 Q. And the Special Forces Association is paying the expenses
- 8 associated with the lawsuit?
- 9 A. Yes, sir.
- 10 Q. Do you recall going to An Phu to celebrate major victories
- 11 during the month of May of 1966?
- 12 A. No, sir.
- 13 Q. Do you recall there being a reviewing stand from which
- 14 Major Phoi Van Le reported the May statistics as you sat in
- 15 the reviewing stand?
- 16 A. I don't recall, sir.
- 17 Q. You don't recall that?
- 18 A. No, sir.
- 19 Q. Do you recall ever going to An Phu and sitting in a
- 20 reviewing stand in connection with victories won?
- 21 A. Yes, sir, I saw the photos.
- 22 Q. You saw the photos?
- 23 A. Yes, sir.
- Q. Are those true and accurate photos?
- 25 A. I expect they are. I mean, I was there for some kind of

- 1 award ceremony or whatever.
- Q. Do you recall what award ceremony you were there for?
- 3 A. No, sir, I don't.
- 4 Q. Do you recall -- you don't recall that being a ceremony to
- 5 celebrate victories in May of 1966?
- 6 A. No, sir.
- 7 Q. You have no recollection as to why you were in An Phu at
- 8 that time?
- 9 A. I was probably there for an award or some decoration.
- 10 Probably that's why I went there.
- 11 Q. Who was getting an award or decoration?
- 12 A. I don't know, sir. There were 16 A Teams. It would be
- 13 impossible to recall.
- 14 Q. Now, with respect to fire coming from Cambodia, you
- 15 testified previously that men under your command died as a
- 16 result of fire from enemy fire from Cambodia, correct?
- 17 A. Yes, sir, Captain Leo Donker.
- 18 Q. Was there anyone else?
- 19 A. Just that one shell fragmentation went through his flak
- 20 jacket.
- 21 Q. That was the only person under your command who died as a
- 22 result of fire from Cambodia?
- 23 A. I wouldn't say that. I said that particular round that
- 24 came across, the 105 round, that particular round. In the
- 25 past, I can't say.

- Q. What's your best estimate as to how many men under your command died as a result of enemy fire from Cambodia?
- A. Oh, I was -- right offhand, I would take a guess and say probably just one.
- Q. Were you in favor of this country's policy of permitting the enemy to have safe havens in Cambodia?
- 7 A. No. Don't make it policy. No.
  - Q. There was no such policy?
- 9 A. The general rule was we didn't fire or go into Cambodia.
- 10 That was a general rule.
- 11 Q. But you were aware that the enemy had camps and were
- 12 firing from Cambodia, correct?
- A. Yes, I was aware of that. I could tell -- I could identify the artillery fragmentation.
- Q. Did you agree or disagree with the order not to return fire into Cambodia?
- A. Well, that's -- I just obey orders. Naturally, if you
- have one of your A Team commanders killed, you don't think
- much of that at all, but still we had orders not to fire into
- 20 Cambodia.

- Q. And are you aware of whether there were independent operations that went into Cambodia to fight the enemy?
- 23 A. Aware of -- say that again, please.
- Q. Were you aware of any independent operations that went into Cambodia to fight the enemy?

- 1 A. No, sir. No, none. After I departed, a long time after I
- 2 had been gone, President Nixon went into Cambodia. That was
- 3 years after I was gone.
- 4 Q. But while you were there, you're not aware of any
- 5 independent operations of Americans going into Cambodia?
- 6 A. That's correct, sir.
- 7 Q. Has anyone ever discussed that with you?
- 8 A. No, sir.
- 9 Q. And you've never read anything to that effect?
- 10 A. No, sir.
- 11 Q. Have you ever watched any television programs where that's
- 12 been reported?
- 13 A. No, sir.
- 14 Q. Do you watch the History Channel?
- 15 A. Watch what?
- 16 Q. The History Channel?
- 17 A. No, I don't have the History Channel. I don't have it on
- 18 my TV.
- 19 Q. So you didn't watch a recent program reporting independent
- 20 operations going into Cambodia, correct?
- 21 A. Correct.
- 22 Q. Would it surprise you that it was reported on television
- 23 that there were independent operations that went into
- 24 Cambodia?
- 25 A. I don't know, sir. I just don't know.

- 1 Q. Colonel, are you familiar with Colonel Chaun?
- 2 A. Colonel Major Chaun? No, I'm not familiar with him.
- 3 Q. Who was your counterpart in ARVN Special Forces?
- 4 A. Who was commander of the Army?
- 5 Q. Who was your counterpart?
- 6 A. Oh, my counterpart. Major Chaun.
- 7 Q. And you're familiar with Major Chaun?
- 8 A. Familiar with what, sir?
- 9 Q. Have you spoken to Major Chaun?
- 10 A. I talked to Major Chaun, I had an office right across the
- 11 hall from him.
- 12 Q. Have you ever spoken to him about the book Expendable
- 13 Elite?
- 14 A. No, sir, I never have. I don't even know where he is.
- 15 Q. Have you spoken to him since you left Vietnam?
- 16 A. No, sir, I have not.
- 17 Q. Would you be surprised that if Major Chaun wrote -- would
- 18 you be surprised that if Major Chaun wrote to Colonel Marvin
- 19 and indicated that he read the book thoroughly, and the book
- 20 was direct and precise?
- 21 A. Wow. Boy, I am surprised. You said it, sir. Sir, this
- 22 happened 40 years ago. I'm 85 years old. Give me a break,
- 23 will you?"
- MR. BACHRACH: And that's the conclusion. Thank you
- 25 very much.

1	MR. COLLINS: Thank you.
2	THE COURT: That's it. Why don't we take our
3	afternoon break at this time. Go to your jury room and start
4	again in 15 minutes.
5	(Jury excused.)
6	THE COURT: We'll start again at 20 minutes till.
7	(A recess was held at this time.)
8	THE COURT: Bring the jury back in for me, please.
9	(Jury present.)
10	THE COURT: Call your next witness.
11	MR. BOBBY DEAVER: Your Honor, I move to introduce
12	the exhibits that were attached to that deposition.
13	THE COURT: Any objections?
14	MR. BACHRACH: I'd have to check, Your Honor. I
15	don't believe that we I think they're agreed upon already.
16	THE COURT: Unless you're going to use them right
17	now, why don't you fool around with them when the jury's not
18	here.
19	MR. BOBBY DEAVER: Yes, they're not going to object
20	to it.
21	THE COURT: So you can separate them out and give
22	them to the clerk and she'll put numbers on them and we'll go
23	from there.
24	MR. BOBBY DEAVER: We'd call James Taylor as our next
25	witness.

#### JAMES TAYLOR

- THE COURT: Sure enough, Mr. Taylor, come on up and be sworn, please.
- 3 THE CLERK: State your name for record.
- 4 A. James A. Taylor.

5

6

7

9

James Taylor, a witness called by the plaintiff, first having been duly sworn, testified as follows:

### DIRECT EXAMINATION

- 8 BY MR. BOBBY DEAVER:
  - Q. Your name, please?
- 10 A. James A. Taylor.
- 11 Q. Where do you reside, Mr. Taylor?
- 12 A. Fayetteville, North Carolina.
- 13 Q. And how long have you been in Fayetteville?
- 14 A. 23 years.
- Q. Were you ever in the Army? Were you ever in the Army?
- 16 A. Yes, sir, I spent a little over 20 years in the Army.
- 17 Q. What was that period of time?
- 18 A. From June 1955 till October of -- 31st of October '75.
- 19 Trick or treat.
- 20 THE COURT: 20 years today.
- 21 A. 20 years today.
- 22 Q. You were -- When you went in, were you trained in
- 23 infantry?
- A. Most of my time was with infantry type. I was -- most of it was Special Forces.

#### JAMES TAYLOR

- Q. After your basic training, you went -- Where did you go
- 2 from there?
- 3 A. After basic training I went to Fort Dix, New Jersey, for
- 4 AIT.
- 5 Q. You have to explain that, please.
- 6 A. That's advanced infantry tactical training. Then I went
- 7 from a Fort Dix, New Jersey to Fort Bragg, North Carolina, to
- 8 the 77th Special Forces.
- 9 Q. What was your training with the 77th?
- 10 A. We had various training. When you start, first start out
- 11 in Special Forces, you're on -- you have to go through
- 12 weapons, demo --
- 13 Q. Demolition? You're going to have to use the --
- 14 A. Okay.
- 15 Q. -- the full term.
- 16 A. Weapons training, demolitions training, intelligence
- 17 training, medical training, tactical training, raids and
- 18 ambushes, communications, and all the basic MOSs.
- 19 Q. Basic what?
- 20 A. Basic MOSs that --
- 21 Q. Military occupational specialty?
- 22 A. Occupational MOSs that they use in Special Forces.
- 23 Q. Now, when you went into Special Forces, was it a
- 24 relatively young organization?
- 25 A. Yes, sir, when I went in Special Forces -- they'd

#### JAMES TAYLOR

- organized in 1952, and when I -- just prior to me getting
- 2 there, they had deployed part of the -- it was the
- 3 10th originally, and they deployed it to Germany, then we, at
- 4 Fort Bragg, became the 77th Special Forces.
- Q. And it was a relatively small unit?
- 6 A. Yes, sir, when I got there after they had moved the
- 7 | 10th out, there was approximately 480 some people in Special
- 8 Forces.
- 9 Q. What did you do while you were in Special Forces during
- 10 that period of time?
- 11 A. Well, my basic MOS, which is military skills, was light
- 12 weapons. For years, I was a weapons man. However, being a
- 13 weapons man, you're both light weapons man and a heavy weapons
- 14 man. Light weapons being that you handled the basic small
- 15 arms. The heavy weapons training means that you went into
- 16 your mortar training and your bigger type weapons.
- Q. So you were weapons in general then?
- 18 A. Yes, sir.

jobs.

- 19 Q. Were you assigned as a weapons man in a particular unit?
- 20 A. Yes, sir, I was assigned as a weapons man in a Special
- 21 Forces A Team, that's a 12-man A Team, most of the time, until
- 22 later -- you get promoted, then you go into different type of
- 23
- 24 For example, I went from weapons trainings, then I went to
- 25 intelligence school and became an intelligence sergeant for an

- A Team, and then later I became a team sergeant, which is the senior enlisted man on the team.
- 3 Q. Did you have any overseas assignments?
- 4 A. Yes, sir, I sure did. I spent a year in Korea,
- 5 approximately six and a half years in Germany, approximately
- 6 three years in Vietnam, and then back to Germany, and then
- 7 back to the States here.
- 8 Q. What did you do in Vietnam?
- 9 A. In Vietnam I was a light weapons specialist most of the
- 10 | time, until I went to An Phu, A-424, and I started there as
- 11 light weapons man and later became the team sergeant.
- 12 Q. Were you at An Phu with the Detachment A-424 when
- 13 Mr. Marvin was a captain there?
- 14 A. I arrived in Detachment A-424 just a few days after Marvin
- 15 took over as team leader. That would have been in the --
- 16 probably the latter part of December '65, or the first part of
- 17 January 1966.
- 18 Q. What were the -- what was going on in -- with A-424 when
- 19 you arrived there?
- 20 A. When I arrived there, there was absolutely nothing going
- 21 on. It was really a pacified area. It was a nice area to be
- 22 in, because you really had nothing to worry about. You go
- 23 about your daily chores, like mine, when I first got there
- 24 would be checking weapons on the perimeter, making sure they
- 25 were okay, just basically checking the defense of the camp.

- All right? But basically in An Phu, it was quiet. There was nothing to do.
  - Q. Over what period of time was it that way?
- A. The whole period of time I was there, it was a -- what was considered a pacified area. In other words, there was no imminent danger of any attack or any hostilities in that area.
- Q. Was the headquarters of the -- your unit there, was that 8 Camp Dan Nam?
- 9 A. Yes, it was known as Camp Dan Nam. An Phu was a province,
  10 the town in province, and camp at that time was Dan Nam, but
  11 we all referred to it as An Phu.
- 12 Q. Was the camp there at An Phu ever attacked?
- 13 A. Not during the period of time I was there.
- 14 Q. Have you read the Expendable Elite?
- 15 A. Yes, sir, I have.
- 16 Q. You are referenced in there on numerous occasions, are you not?
- 18 A. Yes, I am.

24

25

- Q. Were the activities that you were involved in, in
  actuality at camp -- at the camp, were you involved in civic
  affairs and working with the -- some of the people of An Phu?
- A. We done what we called a lot of civic action programs. We done med cap.
  - Civic action is where you go out and you assist the community in building churches, schools. And we had a lot of

medical patrols, which you go out around amongst the local
villages, and the medic would go with you, you would give them
shots, you would take care of them, you teach them hygiene.

And then we had a lot of what they call psychological operations, that you just go out and you just talk to the people and help them in any way that you can.

- Q. Well, do you have your copy of Expendable Elite there?
- A. Yes, I do.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

- Q. Would you look at the first reference to you in that, please?
- THE COURT: Since we're all following along, what page are you looking at?
  - MR. BOBBY DEAVER: Yes, sir, he's going to --
- 14 THE COURT: Okay.
- MR. BOBBY DEAVER: -- find the first reference, and then --
- 17 BY MR. BOBBY DEAVER:
- 18 Q. Will you look at --
- 19 A. It's on page 84.
- Q. Okay. And what is -- what is the -- would you read that portion that related to you?
- A. Okay. "Sergeant First Class James A. Taylor arrived via assault boat at 1730 hours, a welcome addition to the camp.
- Team sergeant Val brought Taylor to my office after he'd gotten settled into his cubicle located at the aid station."

- Q. Was that essentially what happened?
- 2 A. That part, yes, that did happen like that.
- 3 Q. Go down to the middle paragraph, "I welcomed him aboard."
- 4 A. Okay.

1

- 5 Q. Would you read that portion, please?
- 6 It says, "I welcomed him aboard, and told him, take over A. 7 on the four deuce -- " which is a mortar "-- would be responsible for maintenance of all mortars, training all crews 8 9 and even at FOBs. 'I also want you to take over supply room activities. After Val shows you around camp and you begin to 10 11 know where everything is, I want you to take a good look at 12 inventory of our ammunition stocks. Order what we need to get 13 up to snuff and scrounge up whatever extra mortar ammunition 14 you can get your hands on to support our new top secret

15 mission. We have been short people and even shut down for too

long. We have to be ready for whatever comes across the

17 border.'"

16

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Do you want me to keep going?

- Q. Yes, please.
- A. "'Yes, sir, I'll do my best.' With a questioning look, he asked, 'but what is -- I asked, but what is top secret mission and what did you mean when you said the team was shut down?'

"'I'll let Val explain that to you. He was here for the shut down and knows about our new mission that will take a lot more mortar ammunition than the B Team is going to understand.

- 1 Unfortunately, we can't tell them what our mission is.' I let
- 2 Taylor know that I'd be counting on him to get the ammo we
- 3 needed. 'Got it, Sergeant?' 'Yes, sir. Anything else?'"
- 4 Q. Did -- were you told about any top secret mission when you
- 5 got there?
- 6 A. No, sir, I was never informed of any top secret mission
- 7 while I was in An Phu.
- 8 Q. So this accounting here is inaccurate; is that what you're
- 9 saying?
- 10 A. That's right here, is -- it's just a fabrication.
- 11 Q. All right. Well, let's go over to page 97, and there's a
- 12 section that starts that -- supposed to be a team meeting that
- 13 was called by Captain Marvin?
- 14 A. Okay.
- 15 Q. All right. Would you read that, please?
- 16 A. It says, "I called a team meeting as soon as I'd finished
- 17 meeting with Major Le. I told them we'd be conducting
- 18 operation out of the FOB at Phu Hiep and that Sergeant Taylor,
- 19 myself, Flash and Quang would be going with the lead element
- 20 at 0600 hours. I also told them Major Le would be at the
- 21 Province in a meeting with Major Re and that I would be
- 22 leading the operation out of Phu Hiep. 'Everything is set for
- 23 the movement by the LLDB of the mortars and the machine guns
- 24 and to go to Dong Co Ki and Phu Loi outposts. Menkins, I want
- 25 you to make sure that they have 15 days load of ammo plus

1 whatever they need for weapons trainings at the outposts.'"

- Q. Let's go to back to page 90, if you will, please, and start with the, "Early on the morning of January 12th."
- A. "Early on the morning of January 12th we received word 4 5 that Martha Raye would be visiting our camp. There's not a man who wears a Green Beret who wouldn't want Colonel Maggie, 6 7 as we called her, to visit him no matter where he was. There was none other -- there was none other as brave and loyal as 8 9 she was to Special Forces troops. She would regularly go to 10 every SF camp, no matter how remote or how dangerous, to visit 11 her friends. She was a Lieutenant Colonel in the U.S. Army 12 Nurse Corps and an honorary Green Beret. She always came with 13 a black bag in her hand, ready to help whenever there was a

Keep going?

wounded or whenever she was needed."

2

3

14

15

16

17

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. Yes. It was stated here that she was a Lieutenant Colonel in the Army Nurses Corps?
- 18 A. No, sir, she was not a Lieutenant Colonel in the Army
  19 Nurses Corps.
  - Q. Then start, "When we heard the familiar sound of choppers."
  - A. "We heard a familiar sound of a chopper's beating rotors, we looked up and saw a single Huey coming towards our landing zone. I sent Menkins to the commo bunker to tell Eleam to make contact with the chopper and turn it away.

"Though Eleam got through to the pilot, Colonel Maggie insisted she visit her friends and ordered him to land.

"Assuming the chopper would turn back, I began to walk toward the operations center and glanced up to see the chopper circling toward the west, on a course that took it within range of the VC heavy weapons company. John Eleam came charging out of the commo bunker to tell us that Colonel Maggie had gotten on the radio herself and told him, 'I don't care about purple zones. I don't -- I told that pilot to take me down!'

"We all watched as the chopper began approaching from the west and our worst fears were realized when we saw .50 caliber tracers racing up from the other side of Phu Hoi PF Outpost, searching out Colonel Maggie's chopper. If one of those bullets were to hit the right place the chopper would have become a ball of fire. The pilot saw what was coming at him and veered sharply toward our camp, gave the engines a full throttle and came towards us like his tail was on fire.

"We knew he may have been hit by the way he brought the chopper down kind of hasty-like, cut the engines, got out -got everybody out fast. The crew chief circled the ship quickly on foot, checking for smoke and ended up standing next to the chopper looking at two .50 caliber holes in the fuselage and scratching his head.

"Colonel Maggie strode toward us, black leather nurse's

- 1 bag in hand, a Green Beret pulled down over her right ear,
- 2 looking calm and cool in her Hollywood sunglasses. Unruffled
- 3 by what had happened, she got within ten feet of us and
- 4 shouted, 'You really should do something about your neighbors.
- 5 They're not very friendly!'"
- 6 Q. Excuse me. Were you at An Phu at the time that Colonel
- 7 Maggie came in?
- 8 A. Yes, sir, I was.
- 9 Q. Did you see her chopper come in and land?
- 10 A. Yes, sir, I watched her chopper as it came in, and up to
- 11 the point where it landed.
- 12 Q. Did you see any veering of it or any bullet holes in it?
- 13 A. No, the chopper just came in and it just sat down and
- 14 landed just as nice and easy as if, you know, like a
- 15 helicopter is supposed to do.
- 16 Q. How long was she there at the camp?
- 17 A. She wasn't at the camp, I would say probably not more
- 18 than, at the most, 15, maybe 20 minutes.
- 19 Q. Did you go on any combat patrols with Captain Marvin or
- 20 any other of the men in the -- at An Phu?
- 21 A. No, I never went on operation with Captain Marvin.
- 22 Q. Did you ever go on a combat patrol?
- 23 A. As far as the other -- we would have patrols occasionally.
- 24 We'd go out around the camp and just check and maybe talk with
- 25 some of the people in the area, and then we'd head back to

- 1 camp.
- Q. Did you read anywhere in the Expendable Elite that you were on a combat patrol and under fire?
- 4 A. I read in here in several places in the book, it indicates
- 5 | that I was on combat patrols under heavy fire. In one case
- 6 myself and an interpreter, and I think in this book it says
- 7 five CIDG chased off a whole Viet Cong company or battalion or
- 8 | something. And I sure don't remember. And I'm sure if I'm
- 9 carrying a machine gun and the interpreter is carrying the
- 10 ammunition and I'm shooting like that, I would have a
- 11 recollection of that.
- 12 Q. Can you find the reference to that?
- 13 A. I'd have to look through it and find it. It is in the
- 14 book.
- 15 Q. Will you turn to page 282, please? There is a reference
- 16 in here to, in the middle of the page reads, and I think we've
- 17 read this before, that, "Secret. To Marvin."
- 18 A. Right.
- 19 Q. Would you start there, please?
- 20 A. At the thanks Jim Woolley? Or just the secret to Marvin.
- 21 Q. Secret to Marvin.
- 22 A. It's a message that says, "Secret. To Tuttle. Amnesty
- 23 must be granted --"
- 24 Q. Are you on page 281 or 282?
- 25 A. That's 282. Okay. Here we go. "Secret. To Marvin

- 1 Urgent. Repeat. Urgent. A-424 must depart An Phu and report
- 2 to B-42 no later than 2000 hours today. CO, B-42 advised.
- 3 Signed Tuttle. SGD Tuttle."
- 4 Q. And the next paragraph, please?
- 5 A. "'In other words,' I told John Strait and Jim Taylor,
- 6 we'd been ordered to abandon ship, to desert the Hoa Hoas, to
- 7 betray our pledge to help them against the communists. I
- 8 can't do that. They'll have to come and take me out of here.
- 9 How do you guys stand on this?'"
- 10 Q. Now, was -- you were the Sergeant Taylor referred to here?
- 11 A. I'm the Sergeant Taylor referred to here. I was the only
- 12 Sergeant Taylor in the camp.
- 13 Q. Well, was there any message, anything resembling this that
- 14 ever occurred?
- 15 A. No. To my -- these messages never occurred. If they had
- 16 came into the camp, me, at this time, being the team sergeant,
- 17 I would have seen these messages.
- 18 Q. Well, in the book he says right there that he told you and
- 19 John Strait, something about it.
- 20 A. That's true, that's what the book says. But again, this
- 21 is the fantasy. It did not happen.
- 22 Q. And in the book where he says that -- right the next
- 23 paragraph, that John Strait was going to be staying with him,
- 24 did that -- in your presence, was that ever happen -- did that
- 25 ever happen?

- 1 A. No, sir.
- Q. If you'll go over to page 282 and read -- start with the
- 3 first full paragraph.
- 4 A. And --
- Q. Start with the first full paragraph.
- 6 A. Okay. Says, "'Jim, I want you to go with Sergeant Hung
- 7 and his demolition people, make certain there's enough plastic
- 8 explosives wired in two big ammo bunkers and each commo bunker
- 9 to blow this whole camp to the moon if we have to pull the
- 10 | switch. We're not going leave this to the VC, the company or
- 11 anyone else.' 'Sure thing, Dai-uy. And, I'm staying with
- 12 you, too.'"
- 13 Q. Was that quote attributed to you?
- 14 A. No, that quote is definitely not true.
- 15 Q. Was it attributed to you, that quote, "And, I'm staying
- 16 with you, too."
- 17 A. No, I never made that statement. It was -- supposedly I
- 18 made it. In the book it says I made it. But I never made
- 19 such a statement.
- 20 Q. Do you know now, reading it, what it is saying as far as
- 21 what he's accusing you of?
- 22 A. Yes, sir, he's accusing me of directing -- or disobeying a
- 23 direct order from our C Team, which is the higher level of the
- 24 Special Forces company.
- 25 Q. And did you comply with making sure that everything in the

- camp was ready to blow all to pieces when you were attacked?
- 2 A. No. There was -- there was no reason to. We had the --
- 3 the camp, you know, was wired, but we had demo people that
- 4 were -- that would take care of this business. All right? We
- 5 might assist them, but we never made any preparations to blow
- 6 the camp up.
- 7 Q. This conversation never took place?
- 8 A. This conversation never took place.
- 9 Q. Okay. Well, let's go on over to the -- to page 287, and
- 10 start with in the first -- in the first paragraph where it
- 11 starts, "We have an ambush in place."
- 12 A. Okay. Says, "'We have an ambush in place on the Bassac
- 13 River three kilometers from Chau Duc. They have orders to
- 14 fire warning shots at all intruders. If the warning goes
- 15 unheeded, they are to do whatever necessary to stop them.'
- "'Even kill them?' asked Wolley.
- 17 "'Yes,' I answered.
- "Sergeant Taylor lifted up his voice in support saying, 'I
- 19 agree with Dai-uy. This is serious business. Our Hoa Hoas
- 20 could be slaughtered if we don't stand tough."
- 21 Q. Did that conversation ever take place?
- 22 A. That conversation, again, never took place.
- 23 Q. You never heard Woolley have -- ask about are you going to
- 24 | kill them?
- 25 A. No.

- Q. And that you agreed with Mr. Marvin that that was the thing to do?
  - A. No, I would never agree to something that stupid.
- Q. Well, there are a lot of other references in here to you --
- 6 A. Excuse me, Ben, I've got to get a drink of water here.
  - Q. Did you ever prepare any map, like the one that is shown on page 285?
- 9 A. No, sir, that's not my work.

3

7

8

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- 10 Q. Well, read starting in the middle of the page, "You know better than I do."
  - A. It says, "'You know better than I do, Thieu-ta, that we must be prepared to fight the southwest regiment and the ARVN regiment at the same time.'
  - "'Yes, Dai-uy, with 200 fewer Strikers than we had yesterday.'
  - "As if on signal, Sergeant Taylor and Sergeant Hung, who had been standing in Major Le's office doorway, cleared their throats to get our attention. They each had proud look on their faces and a map in their hand.
    - "'Got something for us, Jim?'
  - "'Yes, sir, Hung and I have put together a map showing both friendly and enemy order of battle. We thought you and Major Le would like to -- like one for your meeting.'
    - "'Sure would. Thanks to both of you. It's uncanny that

we were just talking about the tactical situation.' Major Le echoed my thanks and took the map and laid it on the desk in front of the Major Le where we could both review the situation. In the margins they had noted the strength of opposition forces in Phu Hiep area where we were outnumbered almost four to one. Over around Khanh-Binh there was a worse scenario which was VC outnumbering our forces five to one.

Down around our main camp area, the 346th VC battalion had been relocated to threaten our FOB at Vinh Hoi Dong, Camp An Phu and three PF outposts at that Phu Hoi, Vinh Hoi and Vinh Lon with a two-to-one strength ratio in the enemy's favor.

"It showed the southwest regiment had been brought up to 24,000 men strength with one --"

- Q. Would you read that number again, please?
- A. "It had -- the southwestern regiment had been brought up
  to 2400 men strength with one 75 millimeter mountain gun, four
  75 millimeter recoilless rifles, 14 of the 57 recoilless
  rifles, and six mortars against our two 155 Howitzers, and
  five 81 mortars and one four deuce."
- Q. Did you ever prepare a map as he's describing there?
- 21 A. No, I did not.

- 22 Q. Did you ever prepare a map ever for him at all?
- 23 A. No, I did not prepare a map for him.
- 24 Q. So none of that occurred?
- 25 A. None of that is true.

Q. Are there any other references to you and your activities that -- that you can recall that -- in reading the book, that you don't agree with?

A. I can make that easy. Any reference to me in this book, I can tell you right now is basically fantasy. I hate to say it, but -- and I've read this book through twice. And I can find nothing -- and I've highlighted all these portions in my book that are -- pertain strictly to me. And some of them I've highlighted in red, and some in yellow. The ones in yellow are just flat lies. The ones in the red margin I have are big lies. Anything mentioned about me in this book is nothing but pure lies.

The only thing that is really true in this book about me is yes, I am from Beardstown, Illinois. All right? That, I'll give him credit, it did happen.

And yes, we did have a fire in the An Phu village and we did help put it out. But basically everything in this book about me, if you see my name, if you read through it and you see my name and it mentions me, is just basically a bunch of lies.

- Q. Now, you know that these accounts make you out to be a big hero, a big warrior, big fighter?
- A. Yeah, I really guess I should be flattered, you know? I really should be, because according to the book, not only me, but in some way, every member of this team is a hero, all

right? But if I had done everything that it reflects that I
done in this book, then I should have gotten some kind of
award.

4

5

6

7

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

The only person that got an award out of that camp was Dan Marvin. Isn't this strange?

- Q. And that was a Meritorious Service Award, the Bronze Star?
- A. Bronze Star Meritorious Service Award. Not one other member in that team even got so much as Good Conduct Award.
- 9 After all this brave stuff we supposedly did, nobody received 10 an award out of that camp.
- 12 Q. Was anybody, any Special Forces member in An Phu, ever wounded?
  - A. Never. My duration in that camp, there was never a Special Forces or any American wounded in that camp.
    - Q. Now, have you had any problem with anyone or any criticism of you because of this book?
    - A. I belong to the Special Forces Association, and I'm retired in Fayetteville, North Carolina. There are a lot of retirees and a lot of Special Forces retirees there. I belong to the Retired Military Association, Special Forces

      Association, the American Legion, and I'm in contact with these people, mostly being retired military or active duty.

      They see this book and they -- my God, did you really do that?

      No, I didn't do it. It didn't happen. You know.
      - I have a daughter-in-law that to this day reads this book,

because she's never been connected with the military, she thinks it's true. That I just don't want to -- I'm too modest to let people know what I've done. Well, I'm not modest. If I've done something, I'll let people know about it.

Other people in the community come up to you, and they ask you about this book. Well, you're constantly defending your honor, when you have to tell them that no, this book is not true.

- Q. Do you get any type of harassing from other members of the Special Forces Association?
- A. Oh, yeah, people come up and they will say, boy, I didn't know you was a hero, you know? And it's a joke, you know, they're laughing about it. And some people though, you know, take this book serious, because they've never been in the military. And they're still laughing at it.
- Q. Why did you bring this lawsuit? Why did you bring this lawsuit? Why did you file this lawsuit?
- A. Well, after I read the book -- now, I had been through
  the -- there was three other manuscripts, the Bassac Bastards,
  the Apocolypse of An Phu and one called Snuff Crown.

Now, when I first seen the Bassac Bastards -- and by the way, we're not bastards, okay, we're soldiers. When I first seen that, it was supposedly going to be a nonfiction book. All right? Or a fiction book. All right? But then when it came out, it came out as a true book. Well, this manuscript

---

didn't get anywhere, so he came out with another one called the Apocalypse of An Phu, the same story, different name.

When I got this manuscript and I read through it, Marvin called my house one day, this was in probably 1995, '96, around there. I got on the phone and I told him I wanted nothing to do with him or his damn book. That's my exact words. And I hung up the phone.

Now, you would think then that he would just leave me out of it and forget me, all right? But no, he has to keep using my name, my picture, and telling it that this book is a true story.

And so we are constantly defending ourselves. We're being accused of mutiny, treason, invading a sovereign country and disobeying a lawful order.

I'm a career soldier, I'm proud of my military career. I
don't disobey orders. If I'm a civilian, I work for a
civilian out here, I don't disobey my boss' orders. I'm loyal
to whoever I'm working for.

At the time when Captain Marvin was there and I was his weapons sergeant and his team sergeant, I was loyal to him. I done my job in that camp. I did not do any of this nonsense though. I done my job as I was supposed to do as a weapons man and a team sergeant.

- Q. Were you proud of what you did in An Phu?
- A. I was -- yeah, I was exceptionally proud of what I did at

An Phu. Because we had a good team, all right? We worked good with the people. This Sergeant Hung here, which is my LLDB counterpart, Vietnamese Special Forces and I became real good friends. And we accomplished a lot of things in An Phu. All right? A lot of good things in An Phu. But these are really not reflected in this book.

So I'm very proud of what I done in An Phu, all right?

And I'm very proud of my service. All my military service.

So I really don't need to be ridiculed by a book like this.

MR. BOBBY DEAVER: That's all the questions I have, Your Honor.

## CROSS-EXAMINATION

BY MR. BACHRACH:

- Q. Now, it's your testimony that no one other than Colonel Marvin received medals for their time in the An Phu?
- A. Nobody in that camp that I'm aware of was awarded an
  American medal of any kind.
  - Q. What about any other types of medals?
  - A. I believe that two of them got what they call a Vietnamese Cross of Galantry. But -- which is nothing uncommon, you know, you do anything in Vietnam, they give you a Vietnam Cross of Galantry. It's not even recognized by the American
- 23 government.
  - Q. Do you recall what the circumstances under which they received those Crosses of Galantry?

- A. Yeah, I can recall what it was supposed to have been. It
  was supposed to have been for one of these big battles that
  never transpired. Exactly which battle, I don't know, but
- this is what was -- it was supposed to have derived from. All right?
- Q. So is it your testimony that there was not a battle at Khanh-Binh?
- A. Not as far as the Special Forces team is concerned. There
  was a little action with the -- what they call Regional Force
  Popular Force, but the American forces were not involved in
  that. That was the Regional Forces Popular Forces.
- 12 Q. So it's your testimony that none of the soldiers in A-424

  13 were involved in the battle at the outpost at Khanh-Binh?
- 14 A. That's my testimony.
- 15 Q. Now, have you had an opportunity to review the tape that
- Mr. Strait made in connection -- after having read the
- 17 manuscript of Colonel Marvin's book?
- 18 A. Yes, I've heard the tapes.

21

22

23

- Q. And isn't it true that he discusses heavy action at Khanh-Binh?
  - A. He -- he discusses that on the tape. But I think this is something you should discuss with him, because just as he's told me, but I'll let him tell you, the circumstances to which those tapes were made.
- Q. But on that tape, you -- he acknowledges that there was

- 1 heavy fighting at Khanh-Binh and he was involved.
- 2 A. Yes. But on that tape he also acknowledges the fact that
- 3 when he made those tapes, he had been to the Legion all night,
- 4 he'd been drinking beer. See, this is something that you need
- 5 to ask him, not me. I wasn't there when he made the tapes.
- 6 Q. Well, when you listened to the tapes, did it sound like
- 7 he'd been drinking? If you listen to the tapes, did it sound
- 8 like he'd been drinking?
- 9 A. Well, how do you tell by sound if a person has been
- 10 drinking?
- 11 | O. Did he sound coherent?
- 12 A. I've seen a lot of people that drink that sound coherent.
- 13 I'm not going to judge whether he was drinking or drunk or
- 14 intoxicated or not.
- 15 Q. Did you recall that -- since you listened to that tape, do
- 16 you recall him saying on the tape that Colonel Marvin saved
- 17 his life during the battle at Khanh-Binh?
- 18 A. Yes, and I also recall that John Strait told me personally
- 19 | that that was a lie.
- 20 Q. But you --
- 21 A. After the tape was made. After the tape was made. See,
- 22 John Strait, when he made them tapes --
- 23 Q. Excuse me, sir, please, just answer my question. You
- 24 heard him on the tape indicate that Colonel Marvin saved his
- 25 life, correct?

- 1 A. I heard that, yes. But he also stated --
- MR. BACHRACH: Your Honor --
- THE COURT: No, he gets to complete his answer. You

  an't cut him off halfway through. Go ahead.
- 5 A. John Strait also stated to me personally that when he made
- 6 that, he had been drinking, and that portions where Marvin
- 7 said he'd saved his life, was a lie.
- 8 Q. So is it your testimony there was no combat in the An Phu
- 9 district?
- 10 A. There was no combat in the An Phu camp area or in the An
- Phu district, to my knowledge. An Phu was a very pacified
- 12 area.
- 13 Q. Is it your --
- 14 A. We were preparing to turn the camp over to the ARVN Army.
- Now, you don't turn it over unless the area is pacified, so
- 16 that when you turn it over, you're out of there.
- 17 Q. Is it your testimony that -- and you include in the An Phu
- 18 district, all the outposts that were up bordering the
- 19 Cambodian border?
- 20 A. I'm talking about the area that An Phu A-424 was
- 21 responsible for. An Phu. The camp.
- 22 Q. Were soldiers from A-424 positioned in Khanh-Binh at
- 23 times?
- 24 A. On the FOB? Yes.
- Q. Were they positioned at Phu Hiep?

- 1 A. Yes, that's another FOB.
- Q. Was there activity up in -- firefight activity up in Phu
- 3 Hiep?
- 4 A. No.
- 5 Q. There was no --
- 6 A. No.
- 7 Q. -- firing back and forth across the border?
- 8 A. No, absolutely not.
- Q. Did you hear -- listen to Mr. Strait's tape where he confirms that there was activity along -- up at the Phu Hiep
- 11 FOB?
- 12 A. I heard that on the tape, but there again, you know, the
- 13 circumstances that them tapes were made under, were more or
- 14 less like Marvin asked him to make the tapes, and he agreed
- 15 to do it. All right? But when he done it, he was under the
- 16 impression that this first book was going to be a fiction.
- So he was just one GI buddy talking to another GI buddy,
- 18 you know, like you get in a bar with your buddy and say,
- 19 well, I done this and he done that, and mostly just
- 20 nonsense.
- MR. BACHRACH: Can I have Plaintiffs' Exhibit 11,
- 22 please?
- 23 May I approach the witness, Your Honor?
- 24 THE COURT: Sure.
- 25 (Defendants' Exhibit 11 received.)

- 1 BY MR. BACHRACH:
- Q. I'd like to show you a premarked copy of Plaintiffs'
- 3 Exhibit 11. Is this the copy of the Bassac Bastards
- 4 manuscript that you first saw?
- 5 A. You mean the manuscript?
- 6 Q. Yes.
- 7 A. It appears to be, yeah.
- 8 Q. May I have it back, please? And you'll notice that this
- 9 is the first one you saw, and on page one, it -- Could you
- 10 read the second line, please?
- 11 A. This says a true account of independent warfare December
- 12 '65 to August '66.
- 13 Q. Thank you.
- 14 A. Now, I don't know when that was put out though.
- 15 MR. BACHRACH: May I approach the witness again, Your
- 16 Honor?
- 17 THE COURT: Sure.
- 18 (Defendants' Exhibit 7 received.)
- 19 BY MR. BACHRACH:
- 20 Q. And you subsequently received a copy of what's been
- 21 premarked as Defendants' Exhibit 7, correct?
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 Q. And you received that on or about June 17, 1989, correct?
- 24 A. Yes.
- 25 Q. In fact, could you read the inscription that Colonel

- 1 Marvin wrote to you?
- 2 A. "To Jim Taylor: You are by far part of the story and I'm
- 3 sure proud to know you. Your comrade in arms, Daniel Marvin."
- 4 Q. And --
- 5 A. Or Dangerous Dan. I'm sorry.
- 6 Q. Would you please read -- publish this to the jury.
- 7 A. The true story. U.S. Army Special Forces Detachment
- 8 A-424.
- 9 Q. This is the second manuscript you saw?
- 10 A. That's the one I got -- yeah, in 1989.
- 11 Q. Okay.
- 12 A. A copy of that.
- 13 Q. Were you aware of Viet Cong and North Vietnamese in
- 14 Cambodia while you were stationed in An Phu?
- 15 A. No, I never paid much attention to Cambodia, because it
- 16 wasn't, you know, part of our concern.
- Q. But you do acknowledge having seen maps in Colonel
- 18 Marvin's tent, or wherever he stayed, that showed enemy
- 19 positions in Cambodia, correct?
- 20 A. I seen maps in his office that he said showed enemy
- 21 positions. That Marvin said showed enemy positions.
- 22 Q. And you saw those in his --
- 23 A. I seen them in there once or twice, yes.
- Q. Now, you discussed on your direct exam that Colonel Marvin
- 25 attributed to you making a map that you claim you didn't make.

- 1 A. That's true.
- Q. How is that -- how does the attributing of making the map
- 3 to you hurt you?
- 4 A. Well, that portion has nothing to do with it. You know?
- 5 It's just a fact that I didn't make the map. It's in the
- 6 book. I don't know who made it. That portion doesn't bother
- 7 me. It's -- other than the fact that, you know, I can say
- 8 that it's just another one of his fantasies, you know. That
- 9 people look at it and say, did you do that? I say no, I
- 10 didn't.
- Q. And it bothers you that you were portrayed as a hero in
- 12 this book?
- 13 A. It really does. You know, I don't mind being a hero, if I
- am a hero, but I don't like to take credit for things that I
- 15 didn't do, that I didn't accomplish.
- 16 Q. But you're not claiming any damage by the fact that the
- 17 book claims that you're a hero?
- 18 A. What do you mean by damage?
- 19 Q. By how -- how have you been harmed by the book claiming
- 20 that you're a hero?
- 21 A. Well, I guess you could call heckling, verbal abuse, you
- 22 know, that's damage.
- 23 Q. Who has heckled you?
- 24 A. Several people that have read the book. I've got a
- 25 brother that knows that -- I told him it's a false book, and

- 1 every time he sees me, he laughs about it. All right?
- Q. But you've got a daughter who thinks you're a hero.
- A. She thinks, but like I explained, she has never been
- 4 around the military community until she met me. She likes to
- 5 believe that. I tell her it's not true, you know, but she
- 6 said, well, you know, you're just saying that. You know,
- 7 because she is like many other civilians that read this, and
- 8 they say, my God, this is a true story.
- 9 MR. BACHRACH: May I approach the witness again, Your
- 10 Honor?
- 11 THE COURT: Yes.
- 12 (Defendants' Exhibit 9 received.)
- 13 BY MR. BACHRACH:
- Q. I'd like to show you Defendants' Exhibit 9. Do you
- 15 recognize this document?
- 16 A. Yeah, that's a questionnaire I filled out, personal data
- 17 sheet for Colonel Marvin.
- 18 Q. At what point in time did you fill that out?
- 19 A. I'm looking for the date on it now. I don't see the date,
- 20 but it had to be after 1988.
- Q. So it was after you received the first draft of the book?
- 22 A. Probably, yeah, would make it 1989.
- Q. And nowhere in this did you tell Colonel Marvin, in
- 24 filling this out, that the book was false or untrue.
- 25 A. No, never crossed my mind, because I thought he was going

- 1 to write a fiction book, a fiction story, a non-true story.
- Q. But I've shown you the two manuscripts that you had
- 3 reviewed, which indicate that it was a true account.
- 4 A. Yes, and let me say this. The first manuscript you showed
- 5 me, I can't tell you if that's the manuscript I looked at.
- 6 That one says it's a true story. All right? That says it's a
- 7 | true story, but I don't recall if the first one I looked at
- 8 had that statement on there.
- 9 Q. But not to quibble, when I showed it to you, you said it
- 10 did look like the first one you saw.
- 11 A. It looked like it, but I don't know if that first one had
- 12 that very same statement on there. It's a similarity to what
- 13 I looked at.
- 14 Q. And at some point after reviewing the manuscripts, you
- 15 filled out this questionnaire?
- 16 A. Yeah.
- Q. And you never said in the questionnaire that what you've
- 18 written about me is false.
- 19 A. No, because I probably hadn't even received a copy of the
- 20 Bassac Bastards when he sent me that questionnaire. That was
- 21 right after the '88 convention.
- 22 Q. Do you know -- What was after the '88 convention?
- 23 A. When these were filled out.
- Q. Didn't you receive the Bassac Bastards before the '88
- 25 convention?

- 1 A. No, sir.
- 2 Q. You didn't?
- 3 A. The convention was 1988. It says right on that book I got
- 4 it in June of 1989.
- Q. That was the second draft you received.
- 6 A. That was the second. I never personally received a copy
- 7 of the first one. I seen it at the convention.
- 8 Q. Did you read it while you were at the convention?
- 9 A. I read through it. I didn't really take it serious.
- 10 Q. And you filled this out after the convention?
- 11 A. Yeah.
- 12 | O. And --
- 13 A. I'm saying yes. I'm pretty sure it was after the
- 14 convention.
- 15 Q. And in this, you discuss some information, and you
- 16 indicated that Dangerous Dan's best traits were willing to
- 17 learn, ability to listen, and realized we had to work as a
- 18 team, good sense of humor in good and bad times. Is that
- 19 | true?
- 20 A. Yeah.
- 21 Q. And that's still true today?
- 22 A. I told you, when I work for a person, I'm loyal to them.
- 23 All right? No, that's not true today, I don't feel that way
- 24 today.
- 25 Q. You don't feel that he's willing to learn, ability to

- listen and realize he had to work as a team, and sense of humor in good and bad times?
- 3 A. No, I don't feel that way today.
- Q. The only worse traits you listed was that he was
- 5 headstrong at times, impatient at times, and he had bad
- 6 cigars.
- 7 A. Pardon?
- 8 Q. The only worse traits you listed at this point in time was
- 9 that he was headstrong at times, impatient at times, and he
- 10 had bad cigars?
- 11 A. He did.
- 12 Q. What did he smoke?
- 13 A. I don't know what brand they were, but they were big.
- 14 Q. Now, you contacted Jimmy Dean of the Special Forces to try
- 15 to get some action taken against the book, correct?
- 16 A. Yes, I did.
- 17 Q. And why did you contact Jimmy Dean?
- 18 A. Well, when -- this was about the time the Apocolypse of An
- 19 Phu came out. And I was really getting fed up with it. So I
- 20 wanted to know what type of action I could take, and knowing
- 21 that the Special Forces Association had experience in this,
- 22 and I was a member of them, I went to them and said hey,
- 23 advise me on this, what can we do.
- Q. And is it Jimmy Dean who indicated -- suggested that a
- 25 lawsuit be filed?

- 1 A. No, I don't believe it was. I believe we done that as a
- 2 group effort.
- Q. And you assisted in preparing a questionnaire to the
- 4 various team members, correct?
- 5 A. No, I did not assist in that.
- 6 Q. Who prepared that?
- 7 A. The Association put that questionnaire out.
- 8 Q. And the -- and you filled one out?
- 9 A. I filled one out, yes.
- 10 Q. And in this, you accuse Colonel Marvin, in writing, of
- 11 certain falsehoods, correct?
- 12 A. I'd have to have the questionnaire to look at it, but I'm
- 13 sure I did.
- 14 MR. BACHRACH: May I approach?
- 15 THE COURT: What number is that?
- MR. BACHRACH: This is premarked as Defendants'
- 17 Exhibit 27.
- 18 THE COURT: Okay, sure.
- 19 (Defendants' Exhibit 27 received.)
- 20 BY MR. BACHRACH:
- 21 Q. Do you recognize this document?
- 22 A. Yes, I filled it out.
- 23 Q. This is the document you filled out and sent to the
- 24 Special Forces?
- 25 A. Yes, it is.

- Q. And for what purpose was it sent to the Special Forces?
- 2 A. The Special Forces was just trying to get a feeling from
- 3 everybody in the team, their feelings to certain questions
- 4 that are in that book, and get our response to it, so they
- 5 could start forming a better -- have a better knowledge as to
- 6 what was going on.
- 7 Q. Did you know General Quang Dang?
- 8 A. Personally, no.
- 9 Q. Did you ever meet him?
- 10 A. No, I don't believe so.
- 11 Q. Did he ever present you with a medal?
- 12 A. No, not --
- Q. I may be reading this wrong, but I direct your attention
- 14 to page three of --
- MR. BOBBY DEAVER: What is that you're reading,
- 16 please?
- MR. BACHRACH: Exhibit 27. Defendants' Exhibit 27.
- 18 BY MR. BACHRACH:
- 19 Q. Direct you to question --
- 20 A. This first question?
- 21 Q. Yes.
- 22 A. Says Dan Marvin states he first met General Quang -- Do
- 23 you want me to read it?
- Q. Here, I can -- it says here, Dan Marvin states he first
- 25 met General Quang at a victory celebration on June 4, '66, at

- 1 Camp Dan Nam. Do you recall this visit? Question. Answer:
- 2 And this is your writing, correct?
- 3 A. Yeah.
- 4 Q. General Quang presented me with the Vietnam Cross of
- 5 Galantry at Camp Dan Nang. I do not know what victory.
- 6 A. Okay, that probably happened then.
- 7 Q. And so you were presented by General Quang with a Cross of
- 8 Galantry?
- 9 A. Pardon?
- 10 Q. You were presented by General Quang with a Cross of
- 11 Galantry?
- 12 A. The Vietnamese Cross of Galantry, yes.
- 13 Q. That was true.
- 14 A. Vietnam cross, yeah.
- 15 Q. And that was at a victory celebration?
- 16 A. That's what they say it was. You notice the last part of
- 17 it I -- you know. Read the last part of that paragraph.
- 18 Q. You claim that you do not know what victory.
- 19 A. Right.
- 20 Q. But you've heard the tape of John Strait, where he talks
- 21 about what battle this was in connection with, correct? The
- 22 battle at Khanh-Binh?
- 23 A. Well, it doesn't say that's in connection with that
- 24 battle. I don't know what battle that was.
- Q. Well, when was the battle of Khanh-Binh?

- 1 A. I don't really recall.
- 2 Does it refresh your memory if I told you it was May of
- 3 1966?
- 4 Okay, yeah.
- 5 And so this celebration took place in June 4th of 1966?
- I would have to assume so, somewhere around there, yeah. 6
- So can you assume that this was in conjunction with the 7 victory celebration of the battle at Khanh-Binh? 8
- No, I would not say I --
- Q. You don't know one way or the other? 10
- 11 No, I was not in Khanh-Binh at the battle, all right? So
- 12 it wouldn't have been that.
- Q. You discussed a fire in the Village of An Phu. What was 13
- 14 that?

- 15 The village just about burnt down to the ground.
- 16 Q. How did that occur?
- A. I don't know what started it. I have no idea what started 17
- 18 it.
- 19 Q. Was it a short mortar?
- 20 A. No, it was no -- nothing to do with enemy fire. It was
- 21 probably some of them hibachis they cook on something like
- 22 that tipped over, and them straw huts and them huts over
- 23 there, they're gone just like that. There was definitely
- nothing to do with any type of enemy action. 24
- 25 Q. Did anyone suffer death at that time?

- 1 A. I don't know if there was any Vietnamese that were killed
- 2 in it or -- there was some, I think, that was burned in it,
- 3 but I don't know if they were serious or not. We was more
- 4 | concentrating on putting the fire out.
- Q. Are you familiar with an incident where a mortar round
- 6 went off and hit a house and killed a young boy?
- 7 A. Well, they indicated that happened. I'm not sure it
- 8 | happened. All right? Because also I think you'll find in
- 9 there, there's a reference to a 155 that had a short round.
- 10 So -- you know?
- 11 Q. Who's they indicated?
- 12 A. Hum?
- 13 Q. Who's they indicated?
- 14 A. I think it was in the book or in some of the -- the
- 15 paperwork that Marvin had.
- 16 Q. Now, you believe in the chain of command, correct?
- 17 A. I definitely do.
- 18 Q. And the chain of command was -- in your direct command,
- 19 was taking orders from then Captain Marvin, correct?
- 20 A. Yes.
- 21 Q. So if he gave you an order, you had to follow it.
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 Q. Now, you're aware in the book, the way he's written the
- 24 book, and what he says happened, is that he ordered you and
- 25 Lieutenant Strait to stay and defend the Hoa Hoas, correct?

- 1 A. That's what he says.
- Q. Correct. And so that was an order in this direct chain of
- 3 command that you were required to follow.
- 4 A. No, you're not. The order from your C Team, your higher
- 5 command, was -- is the one you follow. A captain does not
- 6 have the authority to override an order from a higher
- 7 headquarters.
- 8 Q. But Colonel Marvin, according to the book, ordered you to
- 9 stay.
- 10 A. That's what the book says. But I will repeat, that's
- 11 far -- that conversation did not take place. That order was
- 12 not given to me.
- Q. So it's your contention none of that ever happened?
- 14 A. None of that happened. And it's not my contention, that's
- 15 | the truth.
- 16 Q. And you've heard on the tape of Lieutenant Strait, his
- 17 | confirmation --
- 18 A. I didn't say I agreed, I said I heard it.
- 19 Q. No, I'm moving to another topic. You have heard, when
- 20 listening to the tape of Lieutenant Strait, you heard him
- 21 discuss that there was an ARVN regiment coming down towards
- 22 the camp, correct?
- 23 A. On the tape, yeah.
- 24 Q. Correct. Now, you didn't -- Did you review the
- 25 questionnaires of the other plaintiffs when they were

- 1 submitted to the Special Forces?
- 2 A. No, sir.
- Q. What involvement have you had with the Special Forces in
- 4 connection with getting out the word that Colonel Marvin's
- 5 book is purportedly false?
- 6 A. In connection with the Special Forces Association?
- 7 Q. Yes.
- 8 A. We haven't been getting out the word. We've been dealing
- 9 through our lawyers, all right? And we have been dealing
- 10 through them.
- 11 Q. Well, you're familiar with The Drop.
- 12 A. Yes, I am.
- 13 Q. What is The Drop?
- 14 A. It's a quarterly magazine that Special Forces Association
- 15 puts out.
- 16 Q. Has The Drop printed information in it which claims that
- 17 Colonel Marvin's book is false?
- 18 A. It has had some articles in it, yes.
- 19 Q. And to what extent were you involved --
- 20 A. I was not involved in any way with those articles.
- Q. Did you provide information for those articles?
- 22 A. No, I did not provide any information on those articles.
- 23 Q. Who wrote the articles?
- 24 A. It was Jimmy Dean, who was the administrator of the -- at
- 25 that time was the administrator of the Special Forces

- 1 Association.
- 2 Q. Did he or did he not get information from you upon which
- 3 he wrote the articles claiming --
- 4 A. No, he did not.
- Q. From whom did he -- Do you know from whom he got the
- 6 information from?
- 7 A. I have no idea who he got the information from. As a
- 8 matter of fact, when I read the articles in The Drop, that was
- 9 my first knowledge of it. We were informed not to discuss
- 10 this with anybody except our lawyers.
- 11 Q. So it's your contention that you had no involvement in the
- 12 articles -- other than -- strike that.
- Other than providing the Special Forces with a
- 14 questionnaire, answer to questionnaire as to what you
- 15 considered false about the book, it's your contention you had
- 16 no involvement in preparing or disseminating the publications
- of The Drop, which claim that the book is false?
- 18 A. I had nothing to do with that.
- 19 Q. Do you know who had anything to do with that?
- 20 A. Jimmy Dean, the administrator of the Special Forces
- 21 Association. He is the person that puts out The Drop.
- Q. And the Special Forces Association is paying for this
- 23 lawsuit, correct?
- 24 A. On contingency.
- Q. But it's paying your expenses?

	OTAMO ITILION
1	A. Yes.
2	Q. Was it the Special Forces who retained Mr. Deaver to
3	represent you?
4	A. At our request.
5	MR. BACHRACH: May I just confer with counsel for a
6	moment?
7	THE COURT: Sure.
8	MR. BACHRACH: It's late in the day and my notes have
9	disappeared. Just bear with me for one second.
10	THE COURT: Sure.
11	MR. BACHRACH: I have no further questions.
12	THE COURT: Okay. Any redirect?
13	MR. BOBBY DEAVER: Yes, Your Honor, please.
14	THE COURT: Okay.
15	REDIRECT EXAMINATION
16	BY MR. BOBBY DEAVER:
17	Q. You were shown this Plaintiffs' Exhibit 11 as being the
18	transcript of the Bassac Bastards. Would you look at that,
19	please, and tell me, what is the name of that transcript?
20	A. The Bassac Bastards and the People of An Phu.
21	Q. That is not the Bassac Bastards, is it?
22	A. No.
23	Q. Look on the next page, please. And it says on it where
24	you read that this is a true account. Is that what it says?
25	A. That's what it says.

"More than two decades have passed since I lived the

- Q. Read the first two paragraphs.
- The state of the s

- 3 experience candidly expressed in the book. My notes,
- 4 documents and photos, what has been highly classified
- 5 unconventional mission gathering dust over the first 12 years.
- 6 In 1978 I began putting together a military diary, a
- 7 chronological record of events structured as a teaching
- 8 vehicle, hardly exciting reading, but important in my mind. I
- 9 queried Department of the Army to determine their interest in
- 10 my book as a reference for Special Forces soldiers, and was
- 11 told to clear my manuscript with the Central Intelligence
- 12 Agency and the Special Operations Office of the United States
- 13 Army. In my judgment, that would have resulted in watering
- down version, a distortion of the facts, with few revelations
- of high level subterfuge, the majority of the real truth of
- 16 covert operations relegated to the editing room floor. No
- 17 longer book of hard truth, but, at best, prodemocracy manual
- 18 pertaining to depict unconventional warfare aspects of that
- 19 far off conflict. Thus, in 1988 I began rewriting it as a
- 20 fiction, based on the fact a novel called the Bassac
- 21 Bastards."
- Q. Okay. In 1988 he says he began rewriting everything as a
- 23 novel. Is that correct?
- 24 A. That's right.
- Q. And called the Bassac Bastards. That manuscript that he

- showed you there is not the manuscript of the Bassac Bastards, 1 2 is it?
- 3 A. No, it isn't.
- Q. Mr. Taylor, you were shown Defendants' Exhibit 27 as a 4 5 questionnaire.
- 6 A. Yes, sir.
- 7 Q. Will you just start reading what this is, what the questions were and what the answers are? 8
- 9 You want to start up here with individual references?
- 10 Yes.
- 11 Individual references, page 84. What it states that I arrived in camp and that Val would brief me on the special 12 secret mission. I was never briefed on a secret mission by 13 14 anyone.
- 15 Q. Now, you went through the book, did you not, and took the accounts of the book that you disagreed with. 16
- 17 A. That's right.

- Q. Are they any different than what you've testified to? Are 18 these accounts any different than what you've testified to? 19
- 20 A. No, they're all saying that I was never briefed, that the 21 book is false. Every one of these questionnaires will verify
- what I've said about the book. 22
- 23 Q. Read a few more of those, and then I want you to go to 24 the --
  - Okay. Page 90. When Martha Raye arrived in camp, there

1	were no .50 caliber rounds fired at her chopper, let alone
2	hitting it. She was in camp for a very brief period.
3	Page 97. I was not called to a meeting and told about an
4	operation that was about to take place at 0600 hours.
5	Page 98 and page 99. None of this took place. I'd have
6	to look at the book to refer to that.
7	Page 101, where it suggests that I wanted to cross the
8	border into Cambodia is a flat lie.
9	Q. Keep going.
10	A. I never fired any support with a 60 millimeter mortar, and
11	never stated that I thought Major Le would test Marvin.
12	That's in the book, where Marvin said he thought Major Le was
13	testing him.
14	Page 104. There was never 700 pipe bombs disarmed or
15	destroyed in our area. We never ran across any hand grenades,
16	booby traps or any other weapons.
17	It also states on page 105 that we all took our first
18	steps into Cambodia territory. I am sure this did not happen.
19	I can't even read my own writing.
20	Let me sum up this operation by saying that it did not
21	take place. Furthermore, I don't remember Marvin ever leaving
22	the camp, unless he and Major Phoi were joy riding in Major
23	Le's Jeep.

Keep going?

24

25

Q. Let's turn now to the questionnaire that he was asking you

- 1 about.
- 2 A. Pardon?
- 3 Q. The questionnaire that's a part of that?
- 4 A. This is it.
- Q. Okay, well, there's another questionnaire in there. Is
- 6 that the one that was sent to you by your attorney?
- 7 A. This was given to me by Jimmy Dean. The attorneys -- the
- 8 questionnaire, you're talking about?
- 9 Q. Yes. There is a questionnaire in there further deep down.
- 10 A. Here it is.
- 11 Q. And that's one that you filled out for us?
- 12 A. That's the one I filled out, yes.
- 13 Q. Okay. Now, at the time that these questions were sent to
- 14 you or asked of you by Jimmy Dean, were you contemplating any
- 15 lawsuit or anything, or just --
- 16 A. No, not at this time.
- 17 Q. You were just responding to --
- 18 A. We were just -- this is in response -- when I went and
- 19 talked to Jimmy Dean and the Association, not, you know, just
- Jimmy Dean in particular, I just went to the Association for
- 21 some guidance on how to handle this -- the book, you know, and
- 22 everything.
- 23 So they -- Jimmy Dean and I met, and we discussed it, and
- 24 he says he's going to make up a questionnaire and send it to
- 25 everybody in the team and let them fill it out and return it

- 1 to him. All right.
- 2 0. Trying to verify --
- 3 A. Trying to gather information not only from me, but from 4 other members in the team, so that he could sit down -- not me -- he could sit down and evaluate so that he could tell me 5 if he felt that we had enough basis for a lawsuit.
- Q. Okay. Then just continue, if you will, there are a couple 7
- 9 From the questions in print?

more pages of what you responded.

10 0. Yes.

6

8

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

All right. This is going by pages in the book. say page 104, that will be on page 104.

Okay. On page 110 and 111, Marvin never went on any operation while I was in the camp. As a matter of fact, he never went anywhere unless he was with Major -- was with Major Le alone.

And we'll go down to page 104. Mr. O'Brien did arrive, this reference is accurate, Hugh O'Brien, when he came into An Phu, Mr. O'Brien did arrive in An Phu and John Eleam did, in fact, outdraw him.

Hugh O'Brien was supposed to be an original quick draw artist. And they had a -- a routine he would go through where he would draw his pistol, and John Eleam would catch it with his hands. If he caught it, that means he outdrew him. And John outdrew him, I think five out of five times.

1 I'm skipping through pages. Is that what you want me to do?

Q. Yes.

- A. Page 139, we had no Spooky in our area. If you remember, we were at a top secret operations with no support of any kind from anyone. There was no need to call in a gun ship in the area anyhow, because you remember, when Captain Marvin said he got this top secret mission and they told him he would have no support, he would be entirely on his own? And then later on he's saying we called in Smokey, a gun ship, that's a plane that shoots Gatling gun in support of an A camp under fire.
- Q. Were you just being facetious there?
- A. Hum?
  - Q. Were you just being facetious there on that answer?
- 15 A. Yeah, I was really being facetious here, but there was no need to call one in.

On page 258, these are things in the book about me that I answered, you know. I don't recall a CIA agent coming into our camp. I am sure that I would remember a young blond and drinking coffee with her. Supposedly when this agent come in, he had a young lady with him, and I sat in our little team area there and had coffee with her. I think I would really remember that.

- Q. Did it happen? Did it happen?
- A. No, it didn't happen. That's where -- I was being

facetious there, too, it did not happen.

On page 276. I would never agree to send a man on a chow run and R and R, if we were about to be attacked or ordered to report to the B Team. We have -- member of the team would go into Can Ton and go to Saigon and buy food for the team and fly it back out. And what this is referring to was one of the members was getting ready to go on to -- on a chow run and then go on R and R for a few days. If we're under such heavy fire and under attack, as a team sergeant, I'm not going to let a guy take off on me and go on chow run and R and R, while I'm out there fighting. So this could not have happened, would not have happened.

Page 281. Once again, there was never a message to report to the B Team. If there was such a message to -- a message, the B Team would have departed -- the team would have departed for the B Team as ordered. I would never disobey an order from Colonel Tuttle, and never in my career, and never in my career of -- and never the career of the team in a position to be considered as committing treason. There was never any conversation about the whole team staying in An Phu. I never rigged the camp with demolitions or -- to destroy the camp.

And it's getting close to the end of it.

Q. Okay. We'll just stop there then. But that was the -what you said in response to the -- to the questionnaire that
was sent by Special Forces --

- 1
- Yes. A.

14

- 2 -- Association? Okay. Mr. Bachrach asked you if you
- 3 would obey Captain -- then Captain Marvin's orders, and that
- 4 you were ordered to stay, whenever the orders came down for
- you to go for -- for everybody to go to B-42, to Chau Duc, you 5
- were asked if -- that you said Captain Marvin ordered you to 6
- 7 stay, and you would have dis -- would not have obeyed his
- 8 order?
- 9 No, sir, I would not have.
- Well, if you will read, please, here, just what was said 10
- 11 in the book, this one paragraph there.
- 12 THE COURT: What page are you looking at?
- 13 Q. It's 281, 282.
  - THE COURT: Okay.
- 15 0. Which page is it?
- 281. It says, "I shook his outstretched hand. 'Thanks, 16
- John. I appreciate it. But, look, you men know what the 17
- 18 message said. So far none of you has gone against orders. I
- can't and won't ask you to stay. If you decide to stay, I'll 19
- 20 cover you by ordering you to stay and I'll send a message to
- the B-42 telling them so. You'll have that on your file for 21
- your protection later, if there is a later. Just think about 22
- it for now and let me know your answer when we get back from 23
- 24 the FOB. "

25

Q. Well, there really wasn't an order in that book for you to

- 1 stay. Didn't he state that he would not and could not order
  2 you to stay?
- A. No. No, they -- Right. He -- there was no order for us
- 5 Q. I mean in the book.
- 6 A. Yeah, in the book.
- Q. You were asked about the embarrassment by your -- by your daughter's adulation of you for what you allegedly did in An Phu, and whether you were harmed by these accusations, or these glorifications of you.
  - Could you put a monetary damage on a -- figure on your -on the damages you feel that you've -- have incurred and/or
    continue to?
  - A. For the liability portion of it, or --
- 15 Q. Yes.

11

12

13

14

16

18

23

25

- A. I put a monetary figure of \$100,000 on it.
- 17 Q. And you've thought about that and considered it?
  - A. Yeah.
- 19 MR. BOBBY DEAVER: That's all I have, Your Honor.
- 20 MR. BACHRACH: I know the time is late, I just have a
  21 couple of follow-up questions, and then I'll let everyone be
  22 done.

### RECROSS-EXAMINATION

- 24 BY MR. BACHRACH:
  - Q. How did you arrive at a figure of \$100,000?

- 1 A. How? I just thought of a figure that I was satisfied
- 2 with.
- 3 Q. That's it?
- 4 A. Hum?
- 5 Q. That's it?
- 6 A. That's it.
- 7 Q. I just want to direct your attention to page 286 of the
- 8 book. The third paragraph from the bottom reads -- Could you
- 9 read that into the record, please?
- 10 A. The what?
- 11 Q. Third paragraph from the bottom.
- 12 A. About Woolley?
- 13 Q. Yes.
- 14 A. Do you want me to read it?
- 15 Q. "Grabbing a pen."
- 16 A. Hum?
- 17 Q. Yes, please read, "Grabbing a pen."
- 18 A. Okay. Do you want me to read it?
- 19 Q. Yes, please.
- 20 A. "Grabbing a pen I wrote a quick answer and read it aloud
- 21 before handing it to Woolley to send it. 'Secret. Urgent.
- 22 To Brewer. I have ordered my men to remain in An Phu. Do not
- 23 send escorts. This area is closed to all military traffic --
- 24 to all military. Traffic will be fired on. We demand
- 25 immediate amnesty for all Strikers. Signed, Marvin."

- 1 Q. So Colonel Marvin wrote that he ordered you to stay?
  - A. That's what he wrote in the book.
    - Q. That's what he wrote in the book.

One last question just to clarify. What's been premarked as Exhibit 11 is a copy of the Bassac Bastards and the People of An Phu. You notice this is a copyright 1988. You had initially said this is what you reviewed. The second line,

- 8 you'll agree with me, says a true account of the independent
- 9 warfare?

2

- 10 A. No, I didn't agree with you. That's what -- I said that's
- 11 what that says.
- 12 Q. No, but -- No. But you agreed with me that this is what
- 13 you had reviewed.
- 14 A. I reviewed a copy -- a small copy of the Bassac Bastards,
- 15 all right? At the convention.
- 16 | O. In 1988.
- 17 A. At the convention in 1988.
- 18 Q. And you will agree with me that this says a true account
- 19 of the independent warfare, correct?
- 20 A. Well, that's what that says, yes.
- 21 Q. Correct. And what your counsel had you read to the jury
- 22 on that page, was a history of what led up to this, correct?
- 23 A. I'd have to reread it, just to make sure.
- Q. Because I just want to clarify for the jury, what you read
- 25 was the history leading up to this manuscript.

- A. Yeah, this, to me, is not the history leading up to it,

  it's just the fact that he decides he's going to change it and

  make it a better story.
- Q. But it's what happened in the past. This is discussing what had happened up until this point.
- A. This is -- this is a discussion of what he said happened to that point.
- Q. Correct. That he's putting in here what had happened up until this draft.
- 10 A. Yeah. That I -- that I disagreed with.
- 11 Q. And on the face of this draft it says a true account.
- 12 Whether you agree or disagree, it says a true account.
- 13 A. That's what that says, yes.
- 14 Q. Correct.

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

- MR. BACHRACH: Thank you. I have no further questions.
- THE COURT: All right. Thank you very much. You're excused. Ladies and gentlemen, so are you. Don't discuss the case among yourselves, don't let anybody discuss it with you. We'll start again 9:30 tomorrow morning.
  - (Jury excused.)
- 23 (Court adjourned at 6:26 p.m.)
- 24

# REPORTER'S CERTIFICATION

I, Debra L. Potocki, RMR, RDR, CRR, Official Court
Reporter for the United States District Court for the District
of South Carolina, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true
and correct transcript of the stenographically recorded above
proceedings.

िंं

12 Debra L. Potocki, RMR, RDR, CRR

Mu L Potruch-